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#### Abstract

let-65 was first identified in a screen for lethal mutations in C. elegans' unc-22 region. I have demonstrated the molecular identity of let-65 to be F58B3.5 (mars-1), which encodes a methionyl tRNA synthetase (MARS-1).

To develop a deeper insight into MARS-1 activity, I experimentally confirmed, from its sub-cellular localization, that it is a cytoplasmic enzyme. I also attempted to determine the sub-cellular localization of every known C. elegans amino-acyl tRNA synthetase using computational methods and, in collaboration with WormBase, renamed the genes appropriately. In addition, I studied let-65 transcription regulation by analyzing its $5^{\prime}$ promoter containing region.

Haplo-insufficiency phenotypes manifest as a consequence of reduction in copy number of genes that encode proteins involved in translation. I have investigated this in C. elegans. I used two alleles of each of let-65 (mars-1) and let-336 (rps-27) and examined putative haplo-insufficiency phenotypes for both these genes.
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## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Essential genes, as defined in our laboratory, include not only ones necessary for an organism to grow to fertile adulthood but also genes required for the subsequent generation to reach fertility (Kemphues 2005). Mutations that identify essential genes are categorized as embryonic, larval lethal, sterile, and maternal-effect lethal. Embryonics block development before the eggs hatches while larval lethals block development in one of the four larval stages (L1-L4), which occur before adulthood. Sterile organisms reach adulthood but do not produce fertilized oocytes. Maternal-effect lethals results from mutations in genes whose wild type expression is required in the $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ for development of its progeny (Kemphues 2005).

The study of essential genes is important for understanding an organism's development, survival, and reproduction. Such studies have been carried out in many organisms besides the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans these include mice, Drosophila, and yeast (Jones 1994).

Sydney Brenner (1974) was the first to use C. elegans as a model system. The nematode's small size and its short life cycle make it an excellent experimental organism. C. elegans' two sexes, self-fertilizing hermaphrodite (5AA: XX) and males (5AA: XO), allows easy strain maintenance in addition to standard genetic analysis (Brenner 1974). In addition C. elegans have a transparent body that permits examination of internal development and defects. Also, it allows in vivo gene expression using fluorescent reporter genes, such as green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Chalfie at al.1994). Moreover,
the genome of C. elegans was the first genome of a multicellular organism to be entirely sequenced and it continues to have the most completely annotated genome. In addition, the public availability of C. elegans genome sequences and the availability of genome sequences of other closely and more distantly related species provides a powerful tool for comparative genomic analysis (Lee 2009). All these qualities combine to make $C$. elegans an excellent organism for essential gene studies.
let-65 is an essential gene, which was first identified in an ethyl methanesulfunate (EMS) screen and mapped to the unc-22 region in the right half of Chromosome IV (LGIV) (Moerman 1980). In subsequent screens more alleles of let-65 were isolated (Rogalski et al. 1982; Moerman 1980; Rogalski and Baillie 1985; and Clark et al. 1988). let-65 is intriguing because of its high mutability. In the above screens nine let-65 alleles were isolated and eight of them display a mid larva arrest phenotype while one allele (s694) causes sterility (Clark 1990). In the first chapter of this thesis I determine, by sequencing and complementation test, that the molecular identity of let-65 corresponds to the predicted gene F58B3.5.

F58B3.5 (mars-1) encodes for a methionyl-tRNA synthetase MARS. MetRS-1 is one of at least 20 cytoplasmic aminoacyl tRNA synthetase enzymes (AARSs) involved in biology's central dogma (information flows from DNA to RNA to proteins). These enzymes act in the translation of mRNAs by catalyzing aminoacylation of their cognate tRNAs (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Schulten 2003).

It is crucial for a protein to be in its correct sub-cellular location. Knowing the protein's sub-cellular location provides insight into protein's function. The sub-cellular location of a protein can be demonstrated experimentally or predicted by computational
methods. One experimental method takes advantage of reporter proteins such as GFP that I employed to locate three C. elegans AARSs. In C. elegans, beside the cytoplasmic AARSs, the nuclear genome encodes AARSs that function in mitochondrial protein translation. In this thesis, I used computational approaches to predict the sub-cellular locations of all known AARSs enzymes in C. elegans' genome.

At the pre-transcriptional level, non-coding DNA sequences play important regulatory roles in gene expression. RNA polymerase II binds to specific sequences of promoter region and functions in transcribing RNA chains from DNA genes. Activator and repressor proteins, known as transcription factors (TFs), bind to upstream cisregulatory elements and manage the expression by alternating the association between RNA polymerase II and promoter sequence (Blackwell and Walker 2006). C. elegans provides a powerful tool for studying these elements, since the basal transcriptional machinery is highly similar to that in other eukaryotes (McGhee and Krause 1997). The largest subunit in C. elegans' RNA polymerase II has high sequence similarity (80\%) to even distant related animals such as vertebrate mammals (McGhee and Krause 1997). Moreover, the TATA-element-binding protein's (TBP) carboxy-terminal is highly conserved with that of yeast, Drosophila, and humans with $75-85 \%$ sequence identity and can interact directly with basal transcription factors of both humans and Drosophila (McGhee and Krause 1997). Reporters have also been used to locate these transcriptionally important regulatory sequences by taking advantage of promoter driven reporter constructs. This methodology is based on sequentially truncating the genes' upstream promoter containing regions (from the $5^{\prime}$ end) and then fusing each fragment to a reporter, followed by micro-injecting the constructs into nematodes to generate reporter
transgenics. Such transgenic strains can be screened for loss of expression or expression alternations in order to identify promoter elements necessary for proper gene expression (Lee 2009).

For a variety of organisms, mutations in genes encoding ribosomal proteins (RPs) have been shown to cause cellular and developmental dominant phenotypes. In Drosophila melanogaster, disruption of RP genes can result in a haplo-insufficient phenotype (Minute). This phenotype includes prolonged development, short and thin bristles, and poor fertility (Lambertsson 1998). In Arabidopsis haploinsufficiency of the ribosomal protein RPS5 results in disruption of cell division (Marygold et al. 2007). In humans, haplo-insufficiency of RPS19 results in Diamond Blackfan anemia (Marygold et al. 2007).

An explanation for these defects is that the reduction in the amount of a single RP results in impaired cytoplasmic ribosomal function. This is due to the assembly of incomplete nonfunctional ribosomal subunits that cause a general reduction in protein synthesis (Marygold et al. 2007). These mutations and their phenotypes have been extensively studied in D. melanogaster. However, in C. elegans, there have been no studies of such mutations and their haplo-insufficient phenotypes for components of the cytoplasmic translational mechanisms. The main objectives of this thesis are:

1. To determine the molecular identity of let-65.
2. To determine the sub-cellular location of C. elegans amino-acryl tRNA synthetase enzymes.
3. To investigate the 5 ' cis- regulatory elements of let-65(mars-1) those are required for its transcription.
4. To investigate C. elegans haplo-insufficiency phenotypes associated with mutations in genes involved in the translation process.

## CHAPTER 1: DETERMINATION OF THE MOLECULAR IDENTITY OF LET-65

### 1.1 Introduction

C. elegans' nuclear genome consists of six chromosome pairs with sizes ranging from $14 \times 10^{6}$ to $22 \times 10^{6}$ base pairs (bp) (Coulson et al. 1991). Part of LGIV $\left(17 \times 10^{6}\right.$ bp ) is genetically balanced by a reciprocal translocation called $n T 1(I V ; V)$ (Clark et al. 1988).
$s D f 2$ is a deficiency which extends approximately two map units on LG IV. The breakpoints of this deficiency define the unc-22 region, which is on the right half of LGIV. This region is also referred to as the $s D f 2$ region (Clark 1990). The unc-22 region was named after unc-22, which encodes twitchin, a large protein expressed in muscle (6,839 amino acids). Mutant unc-22 animals have an uncoordinated "twitching" recessive phenotype (Brenner 1974) and a dominant "twitching" phenotype in a $1 \%$ nicotine alkaloid solution (Moerman and Baillie 1979). These "twitching" worms are also thinner, with slower movement and longer developmental time than wild type (N2) C. elegans (Moerman and Baillie 1979). Thus unc-22 is a useful marker for mutations in genes within the region. The unc- 22 region has been sub-divided by 34 deficiencies (Moerman and Baillie 1981; Clark and Baillie 1992; Rogalski and Baillie 1985; Rogalski et al. 1982, Clark et al. 1988; Schein et al. 1993; Marra and Baillie 1994). Two methods were used to determine the extents of these deficiencies: Standard complementation tests and polymerase chain reaction based method (Schein 1994). Recently, Oligonucleotide
array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (oaCGH) has been used for the molecular characterization of several deficiencies and duplication containing strains within the unc22 region. The results of incorporating the oaCGH data into the genetic map allowed for direct positional cloning of essential genes and rapid identification of some mutations (Jones et al. 2007).

Essential genes include not only genes necessary for an organism to grow to fertile adulthood but also genes required for the next generation to reach fertility (Kemphues 2005). Mutations within the unc-22 region were generated by EMS mutagenesis which commonly induces $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T}$ transitions (Anderson 1995).
let-65 is an essential gene in the unc- 22 region. It has nine alleles all of which were generated by EMS treatment (Rogalski et al, 1982; Moerman 1980; Rogalski and Baillie 1985; Clark et al. 1988, and Clark 1990). Molecular identification of let-65 is of particular interest because of its large size (as determined by it mutational rate). It is one of four genes in the region with a large number of alleles (let-56, let-65, let-59, and let68). Teresa Rogalski and Jackie Schein conducted preliminary investigations of let-65 (Rogalski and Baillie 1985; Schein 1994) and I have summarize their studies here:
T. Rogalski conducted complementation tests between mutations and deficiencies in the unc- 22 region. Complementation testing of let- 65 with $s D f 8$ and $s D f 10$ deficiencies showed that both $s D f 8$ and $s D f 10$ fail to complement let-65 (Rogalski and Baillie 1985). Schein (1994) subsequently demonstrated that sDf84 complements let-65. From the results of these two studies it was concluded that let-65 resides between sDf10 left breakpoint and $s D f 84$ left breakpoint.

Martin Jones identified molecularly the breakpoints of $s D f 10$ and $s D f 84$ by oaCGH (Jones, M. pers. comm). This technique showed that $s D f 10$ left and right breakpoints are at $11,629,654 \mathrm{bp}$ and $12,243,134 \mathrm{bp}$ respectively. The deficient region of $s D f 84$ is between $11,639,813 \mathrm{bp}$ and $12,749,450 \mathrm{bp}$ (Fig. 1). These results limited the candidate genes for let-65 to F58B3.4 and mars-1 which are located between the left breakpoints of $s D f 10$ and $s D f 84$ (Fig. 2). I determined the molecular identity of let- 65 by sequencing the genomic regions for each of the candidate genes in a strain (BC962) containing let-65(s254). I amplified the two candidate genes (F58B3.4 and mars-1) by PCR, and sent the products to Macrogen Korea for sequencing. I found a $G$ to $A$ transition in mars-1. Accordingly I sequenced mars- 1 in seven more alleles and found GC to A-T transitions. Alignment of mars-1 with its orthologus genes in Caenorhabditis briggsae, Homo sapien, and Drosophila melanogaster showed that most of the mutations I found resulted in amino acid changes in evolutionarily conserved residues. I confirmed the sequencing results by rescuing two let-65 alleles (s1083 and s1222) with the fosmid WRM0615dH10 that shows that it spans the genomic region containing mars- 1 .


Figure 1. sDf10 and sDf84 oligonucleoyide array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (oaCGH) assay data.
sDf10 and sDf84 deletions breakpoints found by oaCGH (Jones, M. pers. comm).


Figure 2. let-65 chromosomal location and candidate genes.
Physical map and genetic map correlation in the let-65 region. A. unc- 22 region defined by $s D f 2$. B. By complementation test, $s D f-8$ and $s D f-10$ do not complement let-65 (Rogalski and Baillie 1985), sDf-84 complements let-65 (Schein 1994). let-65 locates between the left breakpoints of $s D f-10$ and $s D f-84$. C. F58B3.4 and F58B3.5 (previously named mrs-1), are the two candidate genes for let-65 locate they are between $s D f-10$ and sDf-84 left breakpoints. WRM0615dH10 is the fosmid that was used for let-65 complementation testing (Modified from Clark 1990, C. is modified from WormBase, WS212).

### 1.2 Materials and Methods

### 1.2.1 Maintaining nematode strains

Maintaining the nematode strains was on Petri plates containing Easiest Worm Plate Agar streaked with Escherichia coli OP50 (Brenner 1974). The strains used in this study had the genotype let-65 mutations that were previously induced on LGIV in strains with the markers unc-22(s7) or unc-22(s7) and unc-31 (e169). unc-22 is a conditionally semi-dominant mutation in that $u n c-22(s 7) /+$ individuals twitch in a $1 \%$ nicotine solution (Sigma) whereas unc-22 homozygote's are unconditional twitchers (Moerman and Baillie 1979). To prevent recombination of the lethal alleles away from these markers the lethal bearing chromosomes were balanced over the reciprocal translocation $n T 1(I V ; V)$. $n T 1(I V ; V)$ was first analyzed by Ferguson and Horvitz (1985). For the list of let-65 strains analyzed by sequencing, refer to Appendix A. The used wild-type strain was N2 (var. Bristol, Baillie Laboratory strain, BC49).

### 1.2.2 Homozygous let-65 isolation

To isolate homozygous individuals, gravid let-65 hermaphrodite's for each strain were selfed and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to lay eggs for four-hour. All viable L4 stage progeny were removed leaving developmentally arrested individuals which constantly twitch (let-65/let-65) on the plates.

### 1.2.3 C.elegans genomic DNA extraction

Genomic DNA was isolated from worms using single worm lysis. One worm was picked into $2 \mu \mathrm{l}$ of lysis buffer $(50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{Kcl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris $\mathrm{pH} 8.2,2.5 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,0.45 \%$ NP-40, $0.45 \%$ Tween $-20,0.01 \%$ DNA free gelatine and $100 \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{ml}$ proteinase K ) then stored in Liquid Nitrogen. PCR procedure was used on the lysed worms' genomic DNA. The PCR program starts by incubation at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 60 minutes followed by heating to $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes to inactivate proteinase K . Genomic DNA samples were stored at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until needed as a template for a $20 \mu \mathrm{l}$ PCR reaction. Two $\mu \mathrm{l}$ of genomic DNA was then used as template for the $20 \mu \mathrm{PCR}$ reaction.

### 1.2.4 PCR amplification and sequencing

Sequences for wild type $F 58 B 3.4$ and $F 58 B 3.5$ genomic region were obtained from WormBase (WS200, 20-Mar-2009). F58B3.4 PCR amplification primers: forward: TTCAA TTGTT GACCG TTTGG, nested forward: CCAAA AAGAG ACTGA ACCGA, reverse: GGAAA GATCG CATTG GATTT, nested reverses: TCGGA AATGC TTATC AAACG. F58B3.5 was dividing into two parts, part 1 and part 2. F58B3.5 part 1 PCR amplification primers: forward: CGAGC TGAGG AACAT GATGA, nested forward: GTGAG TCGCG TTGTT ATTCG, reverse: ATGCA TTGTG TGCAG CTCTC, nested reverse: CACTG TTCAC GGATT GTTGC. F58B3.5 part 2 PCR amplification primer: forward: CCTCG TTGCA TCACA AGAGA, nested forward: CAACA GAGCA CTTTC GTTCG, reverse: CGATT CCACC TTCCT TCTCA, nested reverse: TCATC AGCAT CTTCC AATGC. Sequencing primers were designed to be staggered approximately every 500bp on either strand. Primer 3 (Rozen and Skaletsky 2000) was used to design all primers. For the wild
type $F 58 B 3.4$ and $F 58 B 3.5$ sequences and the list of sequencing primers used, refer to Appendix B. All samples were shipped to Macrogen Korea for sequencing.

### 1.2.5 Agarose gel electrophoresis

PCR products were resolved by electrophoresis through one-percentage agarose gels in 1X TAE buffer. Ethidium bromide $(0.05 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml})$ was added to the agarose gels that were electrophoresed in the appropriate buffer (1X TAE). A 1 kb ladder was used as a marker DNA. DNA band were made visible by exposure to UV light.

### 1.2.6 Sequence alignment and analysis

Nucleotide mutations and their corresponding amino acid changes were found by aliening sequences using Biological Sequence Alignment Editor (BioEdit) (http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/Bio Edit/BioEdit.html).

### 1.2.7 Microinjection

Filament capillary tubes ( $1.0-\mathrm{mm}, 6$ ") (World Precision Instruments) were made into microinjection needles by pulling with a Sutter P-97 horizontal needle puller and mounted into a Leitz Wetzlar micromanipulator. Transgenic organisms were created by microinjecting the fosmid WRM0615dH10 into the nematode's gonad using these needles. Injected PCR constructs had a finale concentration of $3 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$, and were injected along with $p \operatorname{Ceh} 361(100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l})$ and $m y o-2 \because G F P(10 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l})$. $p \operatorname{Ceh} 361$ is a $d p y-5$ rescue construct and myo-2 is driven by the myo-2 promoter and is used as a GFP marker that expresses in the pharynx, including pharyngeal bulbs.

Using mineral oil (Sigma, M-3516), dpy-5/dpy-5 worms were placed atop dry agarose pads covered by $48 \times 65 \mathrm{~mm}$ microscope cover slips (Gold Seal Cover Glass,
reorder number 3335). Olympus BH2-HLSH or Zeiss 473016 inverted microscopes were used to conduct all microinjections. The injected worms were plated as (five $\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{~s}$ per plate). All the injections were done by Domena K. Tu. To isolate the construct carrying worms, from the injected strains, wild-type F1s were individually plated and allowed to self. The only lines with wild-type (non- $d p y$ ) $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ lines with the fosmid and expressing GFP were selected to set up a transgenic line; only one wild-type $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ line was kept per original $\mathrm{P}_{0}$ plate. Fosmid strain generated: BC8556, seX2251; dpy-5(e907)/dpy5(e907)[WRM0615dH10+pCeh361+ myo-2::GFP]. Fosmid PCR check was conducted using PCR primers designed by ShuYi Chua specific to the fosmid backbone. Fosmid-F: GCGAC CACGT TTTAG TCTAC G, Fosmid-R: TCAAT ACTTG CCCTTG CCCTT GACAG G.

### 1.2.8 let-65 rescue using fosmid WRM0615dH10

WRM0615dH10 fosmid was used to rescue let-65 (s1083 and 1222). This fosmid covers F58B3.5 (mars-1) genomic region but not unc-22. The fosmid was microinjected into worms as described in the previous section and the generated worms with dpy$5(e 907) / d p y-5(e 907)[W R M 0615 d H 10+m y o-2: \because G F P+p C e h 361]$ genotype were crossed to +/+ N2 males. This cross obtained males with $d p y-5(e 907) /+[W R M 0615 d H 10+$ myo$2: \because G F P+p C e h 361]$ genotype. Only the GFP- expressing males (carrying the fosmid) were isolated and then crossed to let-65(s1083 or sl222): Subsequently, wild type $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ hermaphrodites allowed to self in separate plates. $\mathrm{F}_{2}$ progeny were screened for rescued worms that have a phenotype of unconditional twitchers. These have the genotype let65(s1083 or s1222) unc-22(s7) llet-65(s1083 or s1222) unc-22(s7) (IV) [WRM0615dH10+myo- $2: \because G F P+p C e h 361]$. To indicate a successful fosmid rescue, $\mathrm{F}_{2}$
unconditional twitchers individuals were separately plated and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for four to five days and then screened for full rescue indicated by the presence of progeny or partial rescue when no progeny were observed.

### 1.3 Results

### 1.3.1 $F 58 B 3.4$ and $F 58 B 3.5$ sequencing results

No mutations were found in F58B3.4 in the sequenced let-65(s254). In the other hand, F58B3.5 was sequenced in eight out of nine alleles and in all cases, G-C to A-T transitions were found. The mutations found in F58B3.5 are consistent with EMS mutagenesis (Fig. 3). Transitions found in s1083, s1084, s254, s1154, s1730, s694, s1777 are in well-conserved residues. The mutation in s1777 truncates the protein prematurely at 408 amino acids, while the mutation in s 1222 mutates the first base in the first intron thus preventing splicing of the first intron and resulting in a premature truncation of the protein at amino acid 28. Table 1 summarizes the nucleotide changes and their corresponding amino acid mutations for each allele. For domain locations of the found mutations, please refer to figure 4.

### 1.3.2 let-65(s1083 and s1222) rescue using the fosmid WRM0615dH10

The fosmid WRM0615dH10 that spans the genomic region containing F58B3.5 but not unc-22 fully rescued let-65(s1083 or s1222). Lines were retained and designated BC8656 (sEX2251) for $s 1083$ and BC8696 (sEX2251) for $s 1222$.

Table 1. EMS mutations found in $F 58 B 3.5$ alleles.

| let-65 Allele | Nucleotide change | Amino acid change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s 254$ | G1188A | Glu364Lys |
| $s 694$ | G670A | Gly186Glu |
| s1083 | C429T | Gly126Glu |
| s1084 | G922A | Gly275Arg |
| s1154 | C1297T | Pro400Leu |
| s1222 | G96A | -27 Arg |
| s1730 | C174T | Ala41Val |
| s1777 | G1324A | Trp408Stop |

### 1.4 Discussion

From previous work conducted in our lab and from some of the published RNAi results targeting F58B3.5 (mars-1), that result in larval lethal (Simmer et al. 2003) and embryonic lethal (Sonnichsen et al. 2005) and for F58B3.4 resulted in larval lethal (Maeda et al. 2001), larval arrest (Simmer et al. 2003), I deduced that the most likely candidate genes for let-65 are F58B3.4 and F58B3.5 (mars-1). These two candidate genes are located between the left breakpoints of $s D f 10$ and $s D f 84$. By sequencing, I found that eight let-65 alleles had mutations in mars-1 thus showing it to be the corresponding gene. I then rescued let-65(s1083) and let-65(s1222) lethality by WRM0615dH10, which further proved that mars- 1 is the molecular identity of let-65.

Mars-1 encodes a methionyl tRNA synthetase. This enzyme is one of at least 20 aminoacyl tRNA synthetases enzymes in the family that catalyzes aminoacylation of their cognate tRNAs. This is a two-step process that involves activation of the amino
acid by ATP that produce aminoacyl adenylate in the first step (Equation 1), followed by transferring the amino acid onto the 3 '-end of the tRNA (Jakubowski 2001).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{AARS}+\mathrm{AA}+\mathrm{ATP} \Longleftrightarrow \mathrm{AARS} . \mathrm{AA}-\mathrm{AMP}+\mathrm{PPi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { AARS.AA-AMP }+ \text { tRNA }^{\mathrm{AA}} \Longleftrightarrow \text { AARS }+\mathrm{AA}^{2}-\mathrm{tRNA}^{\mathrm{AA}}+\mathrm{AMP} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

AARS enzymes are multi-domain proteins. These proteins are divided into two major classes (Eriani et al. 1990) in which the catalytic domain is conserved among all members of each class (O’Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003). Catalytic domain of Class I enzymes exhibit a typical Rossmann fold consisting of three $\alpha / \beta / \alpha$ topology layer. This fold has an inner core of five parallel beta sheets (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003). Class I enzymes also exhibit two conserved consensus motifs, the HIGH (His-Ile-Gly-His) and KMSKS (Lys-Met-Ser- Lys-Ser) (O’Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003). The class II synthetases exhibit a fold of mixed $\alpha+\beta$ with a central core of anti-parallel $\beta$ sheets flanked by $\alpha$ helices (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003). This fold grants the structure a rigid template for amino acid and ATP binding (Ochsner et al. 2007).

Class I AARS enzymes: CysRS, MetRS, ValRS, IIeRS, LeuRS, ArgRS, GlnRS, GluRS, LysRS, TyrRS, and TrpRS.

Class II AARS enzymes: AlaRS, GlyRS, AspRS, AsnRS, HisRS, PheRS, ProRS, SerRS, ThrRS, and LysRS.

Lysyl-tRNA synthetase (LysRS) is the only AARS enzyme that has members in both classes. Class I LysRS has been found in most Archaea and in some Bacteria, while Class II LysRS has been found in all examined eukaryotic genomes, the majority of Bacteria and in some eukaryotes (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003).

MetRS recognizes an initiator tRNA as well as the tRNA delivering methionine for elongation of translated protein chains (Deniziak and Barciszewski 2001). MetRS is evolutionary well conserved with $58 \%$ identity and $71 \%$ similarity between the human and C.elegans proteins (BlastP alignment, (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul 2005)). Seven out of eight of the identified $\mathrm{G} / \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{T}$ transitions in mars-1 resulted in amino acid changes in highly conserved residues. One of these changes resulted in a pre-maturely truncated protein let-65(s1777), while another mutation resulted in mis-splicing the first intron thus also giving a truncated protein let-65(s1222) (Fig. 3).

MARS-1 in C. elegans is a 917 amino acids long protein that consists of multiple functional domains. The catalytic core domain (from amino acid 36 to amino acid 407 (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2009)) is the main domain and is distinguished by the Rossmann fold that is a characteristic domain of Class I AARS enzymes. In let-65 alleles, six out of the eight identified mutations are in the core domain (s1730, s1083, s694, s1084, s254 and sl154) (Fig. 4). The tRNA binding domain (from 755 aa to 857 aa (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2009)) and the anticodon-binding domain (from amino acid 416 to amino acid 545 (Marchler-Bauer et al. 2009)). The EMS induced mutation in s1777 creates a truncated protein that lacks both the tRNA binding domain and the anticodon-binding domain (Fig. 4).

There are 36 known AARS genes in C. elegans. So far, let-65 (mars-1) is the only genetically identified one with a lethal phenotype. Nevertheless, all but one of the AARS genes have lethal RNAi phenotypes (WS208, 27-Nov-2009) (Table 2). In C. elegans, AARS genes have a non-uniform distribution throughout the genome and are on five of six chromosomes (Fig. 5). LGI contains the largest number (12) of the AARS genes:
aars-2, cars-1, cars-2, ears-1, fars-1, iars-2, Iars-2, nars-1, pars-1, vars-2, Y105E8A.19, and Y105E8A.20. LGIV contains eight: ears-2, qars-1, hars-1, iars-1, mars-1, sars-1, sars-2, and yars-1. LGIII contains seven: dars-1, pars-1, wars-2, gars-1, rart-1, lars-1, and Y66D12A.23. LGII contain five AARS: aars-1, fars-3, kars-1, rars-2, and tars-1. LGV contains four genes: fars-2, wars-1, dars-2, and vars-1. None of the AARS genes is on LGX. This could be explained by the fact that X-linked genes are transcriptionally silenced (Kamath et al. 2003) in which hermaphrodite worms have half the X chromosome expression during mitosis and early meiosis, therefore, necessary genes for essential cellular processes, which are important for the viability of all cells, are expected to be absent from LG-X (Kamath et al. 2003).


Figure 3. MARS-1 conservation and location of amino acid mutations in let-65 alleles. BioEdit alignment of mars-1 with its orthologs in human, D. melanogaster, and S. cerevisiae. let-65 mutations occur in well conserved residues. MARS-1 is evolutionary well conserved with $58 \%$ identity and $71 \%$ similarity between the human and $C$. elegans orthologs (BlastP alignment, (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul 2005)). For domain boundaries, please refer to Fig. 4).

Table 2. AARS enzymes family, their protein size and observed RNAi phenotype (WS215, 18-jun2010).

| AARS enzyme | Ch location | Gene and sequence name | Protein size | Observed <br> RNAi <br> phenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alanyl (A) tRNA synthetase | II | aars-1, W02B12.6 | 793 aa | 5 |
| Alanyl (A) tRNA synthetase | I | aars-2, F28H1.3 | 968 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Cysteinyl (C) tRNA synthetase | I | cars-1, Y23H5A. 7 | 909 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Cysteinyl (C) tRNA synthetase | I | cars-2, Y23H5A.1 | Not attached to a protein | 4 |
| Aspartyl (D) tRNA synthetase | III | dars-1, B0464.1 | 531 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Aspartyl (D) tRNA synthetase | V | dars-2, F10C2.6 | 593 aa | 1, 3, 4 |
| Glutamly (E) tRNA synthetase | I | ears-1, ZC434.5 | 1149 aa | 1, 2 |
| Glutamly (E) tRNA Synthetase | IV | ears-2, T07A9.2 | 481 aa | 1, 2, 3, 5 |
| Phenylalanyl (F) tRNA synthetase | I | fars-1, T08B2.9 | 552 aa | 1, 2, 3, 4 |
| Phenylalanyl (F) tRNA synthetase | V | fars-2, Y60A3A. 13 | 458 aa | 1, 4 |
| Phenylalanyl (F) tRNA synthetase | II | fars-3, F22B5.9 | 591 aa | 1, 2, 4, 5 |
| Glycyl (G) tRNA synthetase | III | gars-1, T10F2.1 | 742 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Histidyl (H) tRNA synthetase | IV | hars-1, T11G6.1 | 520 aa | 1, 2 |
| Isoleucyl (I) tRNA synthetase | IV | iars-1, R11A8.6 | 1141 aa | 1, 4, 5 |
| Isoleucyl (I) tRNA synthetase | I | iars-2, C25A1.7 | 970 aa | 1 |
| Lysyl (K) tRNA synthetase | II | kars-1, T02G5.9 | 572 aa | 1, 2, 4, 5 |
| Leucyl (L) tRNA synthetase | III | lars-1, R74.1 | 1186 aa | 1, 2, 4 |


| AARS enzyme | Ch location | Gene and sequence name | Protein size | Observed RNAi phenotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Leucyl (L) tRNA synthetase | I | lars-2, ZK524.3 | 859 aa | 1, 4 |
| Methionyl (M) tRNA synthetase | IV | mars-1, F58B3.5 | 917 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Methionyl (M) tRNA synthetase | I | Y105E8A. 20 | 406 aa | 1, 5 |
| Asparaginyl (N) tRNA synthetase | I | nars-1, F22D6.3 | 545 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Asparaginyl (N) tRNA synthetase | III | Y66D12A.23 | 448 aa | 1, 4 |
| Prolyl (P) tRNA synthetase | III | pars-1, T20H4.3 | 581 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Prolyl (P) tRNA synthetase | I | pars-2, T27F6.5 | 454 aa | X |
| Glutaminyl (Q) tRNA Synthetase | IV | qars-1, Y41E3.4 | 786 aa | 1, 2 |
| Arginyl (R) tRNA synthetase | III | rars-1, F26F4.10 | 713 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Arginyl (R) tRNA synthetase | II | rars-2, C29H12.1 | 512 aa | 1, 3 |
| Seryl (S) tRNA synthetase | IV | sars-1, C47E12.1 | 487 aa | 1, 2, 4 |
| Seryl (S) tRNA synthetase | IV | sars-2, W03B1.4 | 441 aa | 1 |
| Threonyl (T) tRNA synthetase | II | tars-1, C47D12.6 | 725 aa | 1, 2, 5 |
| Valyl (V) tRNA synthetase | V | vars-1, ZC513.4 | 918 aa | 1, 2, 3 |
| Valyl (V) tRNA synthetase | I | vars-2, Y87G2A.5 | 1050 aa | 1, 2, 4, 5 |
| Tryptophanyl (W) tRNA synthetase | V | wars-1, Y80D3A.1 | 417 aa | 1, 2, 5 |
| Tryptophanyl (W) tRNA synthetase | III | wars-2, C34E10.4 | 650 aa | 1, 2 |


| AARS enzyme | Ch location | Gene and sequence <br> name | Protein size | Observed <br> RNAi <br> phenotype |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tyrosiny (Y) tRNA <br> synthetase | IV | yars-1,K08F11.4 | 447 aa | $1,2,3,4$ |
| Tyrosiny (Y) tRNA <br> synthetase | I | $Y 105 E 8 A .19$ | 722 aa | $1,2,4$ |

All AARS genes have lethal RNAi phenotypes (WS215, 18-jun-2010). AARS genes have a non-uniform genomic distribution over the autosomes in C. elegans. RNAi phenotypes: 1. Embryonic lethal, 2. Larval lethal, 3. Sterile, 4. Maternal sterile, 5. sterile progeny, X. No lethal phenotypes observed.


Figure 4. Graphical representation of MARS-1 domains and positions of let-65 found mutations. Blue bar represents MARS-1. Numbers indicate amino acid positions. Red, green, and purple bars represent the three major domains: Core domain (the catylatic domain), anticodon binding domain and tRNA binding domain (respectively). Seven out of the eight identified let-65 mutations are located in the core domain. No mutations were found in the anticodon binding domain or the tRNA binding domain. The brown bar indicates the location of the point mutations in let-65(s1777) (Modified from MarchlerBauer et al. 2009).


Figure 5. AARS genes distribution in C. elegans' genome.
LGI contains the largest number (12) of the AARS genes; followed by LGIV with eight genes. LGIII contains seven genes, LGII contains five AARS genes and LGV contains four genes. No AARS genes are on LGX.

## CHAPTER 2: AARS SUB-CELLULAR LOCALIZATIONS

### 2.1 Introduction

Eukaryotic cells have functionally distinct, membrane-enclosed compartments and organelles each of which contains its own characteristic set of enzymes and other specialized molecules (Herrmann and Neupert 2000). In eukaryotic cells, most proteins are synthesized by cytosolic ribosomes, while some are synthesized by mitochondrial ribosomes (Herrmann and Neupert 2000). Proteins that do not reside in the cytosol as their final destination have amino acid sequences (sorting signals) that are specific to direct them to their correct compartment (Paetzel et al. 2002).

There are at least two types of sorting signals. One type consists of terminal sequences (Paetzel et al. 2002). Once the sorting process has been completed, signal peptidases remove some of these signals from their proteins (Paetzel et al. 2002). The second sorting signal type consists of internal amino acids residues that are not adjacent in linear amino acid sequences. Unlike the first type, these signals are not processed by the signal peptidases and persevere in the finished protein (Lingappa et al. 1979). Examples of these proteins with such signals include proteins that are translated in endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane-bound ribosomes (Palade and Siekevitz, 1956). Each particular protein destination requires specific signal sequences. Mitochondrial proteins have an N-terminal signal sequence (Paetzel et al. 2002) which mostly consists of positive and hydrophobic amino acids (Herrmann and Neupert 2000).

To better understand a eukaryotic cell, it is essential to know where proteins locate and function. Protein sub-cellular localization can be determined either by experimental methods or by computational tools. I experimentally characterized mars-1 's sub-cellular localization in C. elegans. To do this I made use of a reporter construct in which GFP encoding sequence was fused, in-frame, with mars- 1 amino acid encoding sequence. I also used computational methods (BlastP (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul et al. 2005 ) and WolF PSORT, (Horton et al. 2007, http://wolfpsort.org/) to determine the subcellular localization of all known C. elegans AARS enzymes. In order to confirm the computational results, I experimentally characterized the sub-cellular localization for two AARS genes $s r s-1$ and $s r s-2$. As a consequence of this analysis of this chapters work, and in consultation with Dr. J. Hodgkin, Oxford University, we renamed the C. elegans AARS genes from xrs- to xars- and I recommended numbering the genes as "xars-1" for genes encoding cytoplasmic proteins and "xars-2" for genes encoding mitochondrial proteins. In cases where there is a second cytoplasmic enzyme xars-3 is to be used. However, in this chapter I will use the previous AARS gene nomenclature as it was before I modified them in this thesis to minimize nomenclature confusion.

### 2.2 Materials and Methods

### 2.2.1 Construction of translational transgenes

Fusion PCR was used to generate let-65 promoter: let-65:: GFP (Fig.6) (Hobert 2002) using DNA template amplified from N2 genomic DNA (Bristol, Baillie Laboratory strain, BC49). The encoding sequences with their promoter containing region were fused upstream to GFP encoding sequence that was amplified off from the $p P D 95.75$ (GFP) encoding cassette. pPD95.75 contains artificially introns, and a 3 ' un-translated region
(UTR) from C. elegans' unc-54 (Fire Vector Kit, 1995; Boulin, et al, 2006). Amplification of the GFP reporter was carried out using these primers: GFP-C: AGCTT GCATG CCTGC AGGTC G, and GFP-D: AAGGG CCCGT ACGGC CGACT A (Boulin et al. 2006).

The forward primer used to amplify let-65 including 2,596 bases of upstream region of the ATG start codon (putative promoter containing region) was F58B3.5-A: CAAGG TGAAC AAATT AGAAA CGA. The reverse primer had additional sequence complementary to the forward GFP primer to allow the two PCR products to join together. The reverse Primer was F58B3.5-B: AGTCG ACCTG CAGGC ATGCA AGCTT TTCAC ATGGA CTCCA CGAA (the additional primer sequence obtained from Boulin et al. 2006). The nested primers used to fuse the two PCR products, at $F 58 B 3.5$ amino acid 916 , were $F 58 B 3.5$-A*: AACGG CAAGC TGAAA TGAAT and GFP-D*: GGAAA CAGTT ATGTT TGGTA TA (Boulin, et al, 2006). let-65 (F58B3.5) sequences used for primer design were isolated from WormBase (WS208, 27-Nov-2009). Phusion polymerase (Finnzymes, New England Biolabs Cat: F530) was used for all PCR reactions to ensure the fidelity of the constructs.

The same method was used to generate $s r s-1$ (W03B1.4, now named sars-2) and srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1) constructs. To generate the srs-1 translational fusion construct, $W 03 B 1.4_{(p-1,870)}:$ W03B1.4:: GFP (Fig 6), a forward primer used to amplify srs-1 (W03B1.4) with 1,870 bases of the region upstream the ATG start codon and it was W03B1.4-A: ACCCC AAGTT CTCAT TGCTG. The gene's reverse primer, which contains additional sequence complementary to the forward GFP cassette primer, to allow joining of the two PCR products was W03B1.4-B: AGTCG ACCTG

CAGGC ATGCA AGCTA AGTGA TTTCG CTGGT TGG. The forward nested primer used to fuse the two PCR products (srs-1 (W03B1.4) gene and GFP gene) at W03B1.4 amino acid (486) is W03B1.4-A*: ACAGC TTGGT GAGCT TCAAA. For srs-2 translational fusion construct, C47E12.1 $1_{(\mathrm{p}-2,044)}:$ C47E12.1:: GFP (Fig 6), the forward primer used to amplify srs-2 (C47E12.1) with 2,044 bases of region upstream of the ATG start codon was C47E12.1-A: CCACG GCCTC TACAA TGAAT. The gene's reverse primer, which contains additional sequence complementary to the forward GFP cassette primer, to join the two PCR products together was C47E12.1-B: AGTCG ACCTG CAGGC ATGCA AGCTC TTTCC TGTCG CCTTT TTG. The forward nested primer used to fuse the two PCR products (srs-2 (W03B1.4) and the GFP cassette) at W03B1.4 amino acid (440) was C47E12.1-A*: TGAGC ACGTC GTAGA GAACA A. The same primers that were used to amplify GFP for generating the $m r s$ 1(now named mars-1) construct, was used to generate the srs-1 (now named sars-2) and srs-2 (now named sars-1) constructs.

### 2.2.2 Microinjection

Refer to section 1.2.7 Materials and Methods for a detailed description of microinjection. The constructs that I generated were co-injected with pCeh361 at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu$ l. All injections were done by Domena K Tu. Generated lines were retained and designated BC8933 (sEx2893) and BC8934 (sEx2894) for F58B3.5, BC9056 (sEx2999) and BC9057 (sEx2100) for srs-2 W03B1.4, and BC9056 (sEx2999) and BC9066 (sEx2100) for $s r s-1$ (C47E12.1).

### 2.2.3 Microscopy

Screening of transgenic strains was done using a spinning disk confocal microscope. Worms were immobilized on moist agarose (2\%) pads using 1 mM levamisole (in M9) (Signa, L9756-5G). Images were processed using Velocity Software. Screening of srs-1 and srs-2 transgenic strains was done using a Zeiss Axioscope equipped with a QImaging camera. Images were process using Adobe Photoshop CS. All figures were taking in 1s exposure time.

### 2.2.4 Computational prediction of AARS sub-cellular localizations

Prediction of the sub-cellular localization of C. elegans AARS enzymes was conducted by using two programs: WoLF PSORT, which predicts protein localization sites from the proteins amino acids sequences (Horton et al. 2007), http://wolfpsort.org/) and BlastP which searches the query protein in protein databases (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul 2005). For BlastP scores and E values please refer to Appendix C.


Figure 6. Gene models of generated translational fusion constructs.
GFP cassette was fused into the last exon of the translation constructs. A. GFP was fused at amino acid 916 of $F 58 B 3.5$, B. amino acid 486 of $s r s-1$ (W03B1.4, now named sars-2) and C. amino acid 440 of srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1). The shown models are obtained from WormBase, WS215 and do not represent the proportional sizes of the genes.

### 2.3 Results

### 2.3.1 F58B3.5 sub-cellular localization based on the expression of let-65 $5_{(p-2,596)}$ :: let65:: GFP translational fusion

To determine the sub-cellular localization of $F 58 B 3.5$, I used a translation fusion construct of GFP encoding sequence fused to the gene at amino acid 916. GFP expression was visible in the pharyngeal bulbs, pharynx, and anterior and posterior neurons in adult. I looked for expression at the sub-cellular level and the expression of the construct was in the cytoplasm (Fig.7). No expression was observed in the cell's nucleus or mitochondria.

### 2.3.2 Prediction of AARS sub-cellular localizations

Because AARS enzymes are involved in protein translation in both cytosol and mitochondria, the algorithm WoLF PSORT, which predicts proteins sub-cellular localization sites by their amino acid sequences (Horton et al. 2007), was used to detect the sub-cellular localization of every AARS enzyme in C. elegans. Using WoLF PSORT I predicated 20 AARS enzymes localized to the cytoplasm (ars-1, ars-2, drs-1, ers-1, ers3, frs-1, frs-2, hrs-1, irs-1, krs-1, mrs-1, Y10.5E8A.20, nrs-1, nrs-2, prs-1, rrt-1, rrt-2, srs-2, wrs-1, and Y105E8A.19). I predicted using WoLF PSORT that eight enzymes target the mitochondria (drs-2, ers-2, frs-3, grs-1, irs-2, prs-2, trs-1, and yrs-1); six are nuclear proteins (lrs-1, lrs-2, Y66D12.A23, srs-1, vrs-2, and wrs-2), one enzyme was predicted to be a plasma membrane protein (crs-1), and one to functions in the cytoskeleton (vrs-1) (Table. 3). lrs-2 was previously confirmed to be a mitochondrial protein by translational fusion (Lee et al. 2003). A mutation in lrs-2 (mg312) was found to extend lifespan (Lee et al. 2003).

For a further confirmation of the WoLF PSORT results, AARS enzymes proteins sequences obtained from WormBase (WS209) were used as query sequences to search swissport database using BlastP. Out of the BlastP matches, Saccharomyces cerevisiae genes were used to make the final judgment of the protein localization in C. elegans. S.cerevisiae was used mainly because it's one of the simplest in regards of identifying open reading frames (ORFs), and it is the most soundly characterized eukaryotic genome (Fisk et al. 2006).
S.cerevisiae BlastP matches for C. elegans AARS enzymes, predict that 18 of the AARS genes encode enzymes with the cytoplasm as their final locations (crs-1, drs-1, ers-1, ers-2, frs-1, frs-2, irs-1, krs-1, lrs-1, mrs-1, nrs-1, nars-2, prs-1, rrt-1, srs-2, trs-1, wrs-1, and Y105E8A.19. It also predicted that 12 AARS genes encodes enzymes that are mitochondrial proteins (drs-2, ers-3, frs-3, irs-2, lrs-2, Y105E8A.20, Y66D12A.23, prs-2, $r r t-2, s r s-1, w r s-2$, and $y r s-1$ ). ARS-1 and ARS-2 were matched with ALA1 (YOR335C) that encodes Alanyl tRNA synthetase, a protein that functions in the cytoplasm and mitochondria. Moreover, VRS-1 and VRS-2 were matched by BlastP to the same gene (VAS1) which encodes both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial Valyl tRNA synthetase. GRS-1 matched to GRS1 in S. cerevisiae that have both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial localizations. Similarly, HRS-1 matched to HTS-1 that has both cytoplasmic and mitochondrial localizations. Four enzymes (LysRS, GlyRS, HisRS, and TyrRS) have only one gene each that encodes a cytoplasmic enzyme with no identified genes in $C$. elegans for the mitochondrial enzyme (Table. 3).

BlastP matches showed that NRS-2 has ANA2 (YGR124W) as its $S$. cerevisiae BlastP match, and this gene encodes asparagine synthetase. H. sapiens and S. pombe
matched genes also encode Asparagine synthetase and not asparaginyl tRNA synthetase. Moreover, three genes were found to encode Phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase: frs-1 and frs-2 (both cytoplasmic) and frs-3 (mitochondrial).
2.3.3 The sub-cellular localization of $\operatorname{srs}$-1 (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) and srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1) by translational fusion.

To confirm BlastP and WoLF PSORT predictions for AARS sub-cellular localizations, translational fusions were made for two AARS genes srs-1 (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) and srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1). To generate a srs-1 (Wo3B1.4) construct, GFP encoding sequence was fused to the gene at amino acid 486. In the subcellular level GFP expression was visible in the mitochondria. To generate a srs-2 (C47E12.1) construct, GFP encoding sequence was fused to the gene at amino acid 440. GFP expression was visible in the cytoplasm (Fig.7).


Figure 7. Expression of $F 58 B 3.5$, Wo3B1.4, and $C 47 E 12.1$ translational fusions.
(A) Expression of the F58B3.5 transgene is visible in the pharyngeal bulbs, pharynx, and anterior neurons. (B) Wo3B1.4 expression is visible in all cells and is localized to the mitochondria. (C) C47E12.1 expression was also visible in all cells. However the expression is localized to the cytoplasm.

Table 3. C. elegans aars revised nomenclature, and BlastP and WoLF PSORT sub-cellular localizations.

| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence Nomenclature | Revised genes Nomenclature | WoLF PSORT localization | BlastP localization based on $S$. cerevisiae matches | $S$. cerevisiae BlastP matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alanyl (A) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ars-1, } \\ \text { W02B12.6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { aars-1, } \\ \text { W02B12.6 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto/ Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALA1, } \\ & \text { YOR335C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Alanyl (A) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ars-2, } \\ \text { F28H1. } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { aars-2, } \\ \text { F28H1. } 3 \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto/ Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALA1, } \\ & \text { YOR335C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Cysteinyl (C) ARS | $\begin{gathered} c r s-1, \\ Y 23 H 5 A .7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { carsl, } \\ \text { Y23H5A. } 7 \end{gathered}$ | plas | Cyto | YNL247W |
| Cysteinyl (C) ARS | $\begin{gathered} c r s-2, \\ Y 23 H 5 A .1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { cars-2, } \\ \text { Y23H5A.1 } \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Aspartyl (D) ARS | $\begin{gathered} d r s-1, \\ B 0464.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dars-1, } \\ & \text { B0464.1 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { DPS1, } \\ \text { YLL018C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Aspartyl (D) <br> ARS | $\begin{gathered} d r s-2, \\ F 10 C 2.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dars-2, } \\ \text { F10C2.6 } \end{gathered}$ | Mito | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSD1, } \\ \text { YPL104W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Glutaminyl (Q) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-1, } \\ \text { Y41E3.4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { qars-1, } \\ & \text { Y41E3.4 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { GLN4, } \\ \text { YOR168W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Glutamyl (E) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-3, } \\ \text { T07A9.2 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ears-2, } \\ & \text { T07A9.2 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSE1, } \\ \text { YOL033W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Glutamyl (E) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-2, } \\ \text { ZC434.5 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ears-1, } \\ & \text { ZC434.5 } \end{aligned}$ | Mito | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { GUS1, } \\ \text { YGL245W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Phenylalanyl (F) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { frs-1, } \\ \text { T08B2.9 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fars-1, } \\ & \text { T08B2.9 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { FRS2, } \\ \text { YFL022C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Phenylalanyl (F) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { frs-3, } \\ \text { Y60A3A. } 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fars-2, } \\ \text { Y60A3A. } 13 \end{gathered}$ | Mito | Mito | MSF1, <br> YPR047W |
| Phenylalanyl (F) ARS | $\begin{gathered} f r s-2, \\ \text { F22B5.9 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { fars-3, } \\ \text { F22B5. } 9 \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | FRS1, YLR060W |
| Glycyl (G) ARS | $\begin{gathered} g r s-1, \\ \text { T10F2.1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gars-1, } \\ & \text { T10F2.1 } \end{aligned}$ | Mito | Cyto/ Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GRS1, } \\ & \text { YBR121C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Histidyl (H) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { hrs-1, } \\ \text { T11G6. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hars-1, } \\ & \text { T11G6.1 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto/ Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { HTS1, } \\ \text { YPR033C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Isoleucyl (I) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { irs-1, } \\ \text { R11A8.6 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { iars-1, } \\ & \text { R11A8.6 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { ILS1, } \\ \text { YBL076C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Isoleucyl (I) ARS | $\begin{gathered} i r s-2, \\ C 25 A 1.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { iars-2, } \\ \text { C25A1.7 } \end{gathered}$ | Mito | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { ISM1, } \\ \text { YPL040C } \end{gathered}$ |


| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence Nomenclature | Revised genes Nomenclature | $\begin{gathered} \text { WoLF } \\ \text { PSORT } \\ \text { localization } \end{gathered}$ | BlastP localization based on $S$. cerevisiae matches | S. cerevisiae BlastP matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lysyl (K) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { krs-1, } \\ \text { T02G5.9 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { kars-1, } \\ & \text { T02G5.9 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { KRS1, } \\ \text { YDR037W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Leucyl (L) ARS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lrs-1, } \\ & \text { R74.1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lars-1, } \\ \text { R74.1 } \end{gathered}$ | Nul | Cyto | CDC60, <br> YPL160W |
| Leucyl (L) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { lrs-2, } \\ \text { ZK524.3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { lars-2, } \\ \text { ZK524.3 } \end{gathered}$ | Nul | Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NAM2, } \\ & \text { YLR382C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Methionyl (M) ARS | $\begin{gathered} m r s-1, \\ \text { F58B3.5 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mars-1, } \\ & \text { F58B3.5 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { MES1, } \\ \text { YGR264C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Methionyl (M) ARS | Y105E8A. 20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { mars-2 } \\ \text { Y105E8A. } 20 \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSM1, } \\ \text { YGR171C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Asparaginyl (N) ARS | $\begin{gathered} n r s-1, \\ \text { F22D6.3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nars-1, } \\ \text { F22D6.3 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DED81, } \\ & \text { YHR019C } \end{aligned}$ |
| Asparaginyl (N) ARS | $\begin{gathered} n r s-2, \\ F 25 G 6.6 \end{gathered}$ | - | Cyto | Cyto | ANA2, YGR124W |
| Asparaginyl (N) ARS | Y66D12A.23 | nars-2 | Nul | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { SLM5, } \\ \text { YCR024C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Prolyl (P) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { prs-1, } \\ \text { T20H4.3 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pars-1, } \\ & \text { T20H4.3 } \end{aligned}$ | Cyto | Cyto | YHR020W |
| Prolyl (P) ARS | $\begin{gathered} p r s-2, \\ T 27 F 6.5 \end{gathered}$ | pars-2, $T 27 F 6.5$ | Mito | Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AIM10, } \\ & \text { YER087W } \end{aligned}$ |
| Arginyl (R) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { rrt-1, } \\ \text { F26F4.10 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rars-1, } \\ \text { F26F4.10 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | YDR341C |
| Arginyl (R) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { rrt-2, } \\ \text { C29H12.1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { rars-2, } \\ \text { C29H12.1 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSR1, } \\ \text { YHR091C } \end{gathered}$ |
| Seryl (S) ARS | $\begin{gathered} s r s-1, \\ \text { W03B1. } 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sars-2, } \\ \text { W03B1.4 } \end{gathered}$ | Nul | Mito | DIA4, YHR011W |
| Seryl (S) ARS | $\begin{gathered} s r s-2, \\ C 47 E 12.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { sars-1, } \\ \text { C47E12.1 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { SES1, } \\ \text { YDR023W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Threonyl (T) ARS | $\begin{gathered} t r s-1, \\ C 47 D 12.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { tars-1, } \\ \text { C47D12.6 } \end{gathered}$ | Mito | Cyto | $\begin{aligned} & \text { THS1, } \\ & \text { YIL078W } \end{aligned}$ |
| Valyl (V) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { vrs-1, } \\ \text { ZC513.4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { vars-1, } \\ & \text { ZC513.4 } \end{aligned}$ | Cysk | Cyto/Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { VAS1, } \\ \text { YGR094W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Valyl (V) ARS | $\begin{gathered} v r s-2, \\ Y 87 G 2 A .5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { vars-2, } \\ \text { Y87G2A. } 5 \end{gathered}$ | Nul | Cyto/Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { VAS1, } \\ \text { YGR094W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Tryptophanyl <br> (W) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { wrs-1, } \\ \text { Y80D3A. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wars-1, } \\ \text { Y80D3A.1 } \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | $\begin{gathered} \text { WRS1, } \\ \text { YOL097C } \end{gathered}$ |


| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence Nomenclature | Revised genes Nomenclature | WoLF PSORT localization | BlastP localization based on $S$. cerevisiae matches | $S$ cerevisiae BlastP matches |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tryptophanyl (W) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { wrs-2, } \\ \text { C34E10.4 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wars-2 } \\ \text { C34E10.4 } \end{gathered}$ | Nul | Mito | $\begin{gathered} \text { MSW1, } \\ \text { YDR268W } \end{gathered}$ |
| Tyrosinyl (Y) ARS | $\begin{gathered} y r s-1, \\ K 08 F 11.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { yars-2, } \\ \text { K08F11.4 } \end{gathered}$ | Mito | Mito | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MSY1, } \\ & \text { YPL097W } \end{aligned}$ |
| Tyrosinyl (Y) ARS | Y105E8A. 19 | $\begin{gathered} \text { yars-1, } \\ \text { Y105E8A. } 19 \end{gathered}$ | Cyto | Cyto | TYS1, <br> YGR185C |

(-) Pseudogene. (Cyto) Cytoplasmic, (Mito) mitochondrial, (plas) plasma membrane, (nul) Nuclear, and (cysk) cytoskeleton. In the WormBase (WS214), Y66D12A.23, is not named as nars-2 because I wasn't aware of this gene in the initial analysis. Y105E8A.20 is not named as mars-2 and K08F11.4 and Y105E8A. 19 are not named correctly as Yars-1 and Yars-2 respectively due to a mistake in my initial analysis.

### 2.4 Discussion

Methionyl tRNA synthetase plays a major role in protein translation in both the cytoplasm and the mitochondria. Both the experimental approach, using translational fusion of mrs-1 (mars-1) and GFP reporters and the computational methods using the WoLF PSORT algorithm and BlastP searches, predicted cytoplasmic localization for mrs-1 (mars-1). The cytoplasmic expression of mrs-1 (mars-1), seen using the translational fusion construct, indicates that it functions in protein translation in cytoplasmic ribosomes.

WoLF PSORT (Horton et al. 2007, http://wolfpsort.org/) gives predicted protein sub-cellular localization sites based on amino acid sequences of these proteins. BlastP was used to search the Swiss-Port database with AARS amino acid sequences as protein queries (Altschul et al. 1997; Altschul 2005). BASTP searches confirmed the WoLF PSORT results for most of the AARS enzymes.

Using WoLF PSORT, I got predictions for eight proteins with localizations other than in the cytoplasm or the mitochondria: six were predicted to encode proteins with nuclear localization (lrs-1, lrs-2, Y66D12.A23, srs-1, vrs-2 and wrs-2); one was predicted to encode a plasma membrane protein (crs-l) and one to function in the cytoskeleton (vrs-1). On the other hand, I got BlastP predictions of either cytoplasmic or mitochondrial localizations for all of them, which is consistent with their roles in translation (Table. 3). For these eight genes, the BlastP predictions gave cytoplasmic localization for crs-1, lrs-1 and mitochondrial localization for lrs-2, Y66D12A.23, srs-1, and wrs-2.

In cases in which there were differences in the predicted localizations between WoLF PSORT and BlastP, $S$. cerevisiae BlastP matches were used to make the final determination of the query protein. WoLF PSORT predictions of non-cytoplasmic or non-mitochondrial localizations for some of the AARS enzymes might be an indication of the involvement of these enzymes in non-translational cellular processes. It has been previously reported that some AARS enzymes are multi-functional and have regulatory functions in different cell processes (Lee et al. 2004). For example, ThrRS in Escherichia coli was reported to block its synthesis by binding to its own mRNA leader sequence which prevents the 30 S ribosomal subunit from binding to the ribosome-binding sequence of the mRNA (Lee et al. 2004). Moreover, Neurospora crassa mitochondrial TyrRS is involved in the splicing process of Group one introns (Akins and Lambowitz 1987). Human TyrRS was found to split into two fragments each exhibit cytokine activities (Lee et al. 2004). These various other potential functions of AARS could explain the non-cytoplasmic, non-mitochondrial localization predicted by WoLF PSORT algorithm for some of the enzymes.

BlastP searches using ARS-1 and ARS-2 gave matches with $S$. cerevisiae genes AlA1 (YOR335C). AIA1 encodes for Alanyl tRNA synthetase which functions in both cytoplasm and mitochondria. WoLF PSORT gave a score of 12.0 for ARS-2 to being a cytoplasmic protein and a score 4.0 to be a mitochondrial protein. Moreover, the $H$. sapiens BlastP match for ARS-2 is AARS (AlaRS) (score: 1139 and E value: 0 ) which encodes cytoplasmic Alanyl tRNA synthetase. This indicates that ARS-2 encodes a second cytoplasmic AlaRS protein or a protein that functions in both the mitochondria and the cytoplasm.

All VRS-1 and VRS-2 matched by BlastP to VAS1 which encodes cytoplasmic and mitochondrial Valyl tRNA synthetase. The H. sapiens BlastP match for VRS-1 is VARS2 (score: 533, E value: 3e-150) which encodes mitochondrial Valyl tRNA synthetase. However, VRS-2's best human match is VARS (score: 1109, E value: 3e1050) that localizes to the cytosol. This indicates that VRS-1 might be a mitochondrial enzyme and VRS-2 encodes a cytoplasmic enzyme. The localization of these four genes (ars-1, ars-2, vars-1, and vars-2) can be confirmed experimentally by translational fusions.

GlnRS is absent in all known Archaea and most Bacteria. In these organisms, an indirect pathway is utilized for the formation of aminoacyl-tRNA. In this pathway, tRNA $^{\text {Gln }}$ is first misacylated with Glu by GluRS. Glu-tRNA ${ }^{\text {Gln }}$ amidotransferase enzyme converts the misacylated Glu to Gln, which results in a correctly charged tRNA. But in eukaryotes, charging of tRNA with Gln is completed by the direct pathway previously described in Chapter one (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Sculten 2003). In WormBase (WS211) Y41E3.4 is reported to encode a glutamyl (E) tRNA Synthetase (ers-1) and there was no gene that encodes glutaminyl (Q) tRNA Synthetase. However, the best result of a BlastP search for Y41E3.4 encoded amino acids sequence based on $S$. cerevisiae, D. melanogaster and Human scores, suggests that Y41E3.4 encodes GlnRS. GluRs was not one of the best BlastP results. Based on this, I propose that Y41E3.4 encodes GlnRS.

In WormBase (WS211), nrs-2 (F25G6.6) is reported to encode a second cytoplasmic asparaginyl tRNA synthetase. A search using an amino acid sequence of this gene resulted in a match with asparagine synthetase not asparaginyl tRNA synthetase as
it is annotated. Asparagine synthetase synthesizes asparagine from aspartate (Kiriyama et al. 1989). A BlastP search showed that Y66D12A.23 encodes the mitochondria asparaginyl tRNA synthetase.

In C. elegans, three genes encode phenylalanyl tRNA synthetase: frs-1 (T08B2.9), frs-2 (F22B5.9), and frs-3 (Y60A3A.13). frs-1 (now named fars-1) and frs-2 (now named fars-3) both encode cytoplasmic enzymes while frs-3 (now named fars-2) encodes a mitochondrial enzyme. The best $S$. cerevisiae BlastP match for $f r s-1$ is FRS2 (YFL022C) that encodes FARS alpha subunit. Moreover, the $S$. cerevisiae BlastP match for frs-2 (now named as fars-3) is FRS1 (YLR060W) that encodes the beta subunit of the FARS enzyme. Although the majority of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases are formed from monomers or dimers made up of identical subunits, FheRS is a tetramer with a $\alpha_{2} \beta_{2}$ structure. With a few exceptions, this quaternary structure is exhibited in both prokaryotes and eukaryotes. This tetramer structure occurs in some prokaryotes such as E. coli and has been found in some eukaryotes like yeast and mammals (Sanni et al. 1988). Therefore, frs-1 (now named fars-1) and frs-2 (now named fars-3) do not encode two separate FheRS enzymes but these two genes encode the two subunits: $\alpha$ (frs- 1 , now named fars-1) and $\beta$ (frs-2, now names fars-3) that forms the FRAS tetramer.

In C. elegans, most of AARS enzymes have two genes; one encodes the cytoplasmic enzyme while the other encodes the mitochondrial enzymes. GARS, KARS, HARS and ThrRS have one gene that encodes for both the cytoplasmic as well as the mitochondrial enzymes. The GRS, HRS, and TRS loci encode two different transcripts producing two different AARS enzymes, one of which functions in the cytoplasm while the other functions in the mitochondria. This phenomenon of forming the cytoplasmic
and mitochondrial proteins from the same loci was previously observed for mel-32 which encodes serine hydroxymethyltransferase, a gene that was previously identified in Dr. Baillie's laboratory (Vatcher et al. 1988). Krs-1 is a more complex case in which the two proteins (cytoplasmic and mitochondrial) are derived from mRNA alternative splicing. For grs-1(gars-1), hrs-1 (hars-1), trs-1(tars-1) and mel-32 gene models please refer to appendix D.

To confirm WolF PSORT and BlastP predictions, I used an experimental method (reporter translational fusions) to determine the localization of two C.elegans AARSs: srs-1 (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) and srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1). WoLF PSORT predicted nuclear localization for $\operatorname{srs}-1$ (Wo3B1.4), however a BlastP prediction showed that this gene encodes a mitochondrial enzyme. For srs-2 (C47E12.1), both WoLF PSORT and BlastP predicted that it encodes a cytoplasmic protein. GFP expression of the srs-1 (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) construct was localized to the mitochondria. The expression of the srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1) construct was in the cytoplasm. These results confirm the BlastP computational based predictions.

There are expression differences between the translational fusion constructs of mars-1 (F58B3.5), srs-1 (Wo3B1.4) and srs-2 (C47E12.1) (Fig. 8). Using an exposure time of 1s, the expressions of $s r s-1$ (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) and srs-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1) are both stronger than let-65 (F58B3.5) expression. Expression variability could reflect differences in the enzyme's specific activities. MARS activity is lower than that for SARS Gabius et al. (1983). This difference in the reported enzymes' activities could have resulted from differences at the transcriptional level. Another
possibility for the observed lower GFP expression in mars-1 (F58B3.5) construct is to be because of copy number variations in the GFP extra-chromosomal array.

Since that C. elegans nomenclature now allows four letter gene names, with consultation with Dr. Jonathan Hodgkin (University of Oxford; Genetics Unit, Department of Biochemistry, UK), (who is in charge of C. elegans nomenclature and he accepted my recommendation), we rename all the worm AARS genes from xrs- to xars-, to in order bring them in line with most of their homolog's in other organisms. Moreover, to standardize the AARS genes nomenclature, I recommended numbering the genes as "xars-1" for genes encoding cytoplasmic proteins and "xars-2" for genes encoding mitochondrial proteins. In cases where there is a second cytoplasmic enzyme xars-3 is to be used. The recommendations were accepted and the nomenclature for the $C$. elegans AARS genes has been modified in WormBase, WS213 release, updated 30-Mar-2010 (Table 3).


Figure 8. Expression differences among translational fusion constructs.
Using a 1s exposure time, the observed expressions of srs-1 (Wo3B1.4, now named sars-2) and $\operatorname{srs}$-2 (C47E12.1, now named sars-1) are stronger than the observed expression of let-65 (mars-1).

## CHAPTER 3: MAPPING OF A 5' CIS-ACTING REGULATORY MOTIF IN MARS-1 PROMOTER REGION

### 3.1 Introduction

Non-coding DNA upstream genes may contain control sequences, which are generically defined as cis-regulatory elements. These elements function at the transcriptional level by the binding of general transcription factors that control the assembly of a pre-initiation complex (PIC). PIC consists of RNA polymerase II (Pol II), general transcription factors (GTFs) and a mediator (Blackwell and Walker 2006). These elements control genes expression by alternating the association strength between Pol II and the TATA Box which located upstream of the ATG start codon (Blackwell and Walker 2006).

The study of regulatory elements that control gene expression patterns leads to a deeper understanding of mechanisms for gene expression and provides important insights into the function of those genes. Studying these elements offers a glimpse into gene expression in different tissues and developmental stages. In C. elegans, cis-acting regulatory elements are generally located close to the coding region in which the minimal promoter containing region required for appropriate expression of most Pol II transcripts lies within a couple of kilobases upstream of the start codon (Blackwell and Walker 2006; Boulin et al, 2006).

Fluorescent reporters are common tools used for studying transcriptional regulation in C. elegans. Having the minimal promoter region usually locating within few
kilobases upstream of the gene makes is easy to amplify the region by PCR and fused by PCR to a reporter gene. To identify cis-regulatory elements for mars-1(let-65), I used GFP, which is one of the commonly used reporters in transcriptional fusions, to make promoter::GFP reporter constructs. In my study I observed both complete loss of GFP expression and changes in expression intensity.

### 3.2 Materials and Methods

### 3.2.1 Construction of let-65 promoter : GFP transgenes

PCR fusion was used to generate let-65 promoter::GFP transgenes (Hobert 2002).
Sequences putatively containing promoter elements were amplified from N2 genomic DNA (Bristol, Baillie Laboratory strain, BC49) and then fused upstream of the GFP encoding sequence which had been amplified from the pPD95.67 (GFP) coding cassette. pPD95.67 consist of a 5' nuclear localization sequence (NLS) from SV40 virus, five artificially derived introns, and C. elegans unc-54 3' un-translated region (UTR) (Lee 2009). Amplification of the GFP reporter was carried out using the following primers: GFP-C: AGCTT GCATG CCTGC AGGTC GACT; and GFP-D*: GGAAA CAGTT ATGTT TGGTA TATTG GG (designed by Allan K. Mah). The reverse Primer used to amplify the putatively entire let-65 promoter containing region was F58B3.5: AGTCG ACCTG CAGGC ATGCA AGCTG GCAAG GCGTC ACTGA ATA. This reverse primer used for the promoter region had additional sequence complementary to the forward GFP primer to allow joining of the two PCR products. In all PCR reactions, phusion polymerase (Finnzymes, New England Biolabs Cat: F530) was used to ensure the constructs fidelity. Forward primers used to amplify the let-65 putative promoter contacting regions and their distances upstream of the ATG
start site are shown in Appendix E. let-65 sequences used for primer design were obtained from WormBase (WS209).

### 3.2.2 Microinjection

let-65 promoter: $\because \mathrm{GFP}(1 \mu \mathrm{l})$ constructs were co-injected with $p$ Ceh361 at $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mu \mathrm{l}$. All injections were done by Domena K. Tu. In cases where there was no observed GFP expression, PCRs were conducted to ensure that lack of fluorescence was not due to the lack of transgene uptake, but the loss of expression was due to inadequate $5^{\prime}$ cisregulation. The primers used here are GFP-specific primers designed by Carrie L. Simms: GFP-F: CCATG CCCGA AGGTT ATGTA, and GFP-R: AAAGG GCAGA TTGTG TGGAC. For a list of strains generated in this section, refer to Appendix F. Refer to section 1.2.7 Materials and Methods for a detailed description of microinjection.

### 3.2.3 Microscopy

Screening transgenic strains was done using a Zeiss Axioscope set with a QImaging camera. 1 mM levamisole (in M9) (Sigma, L9756-5G) was added immediately prior to imaging to immobilize worms on a moist agarose (2\%) pads. All images were captured using the same equipments including: GFP filter, lens, camera, and QCapture software. Images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS. Exposure times are indicated in the figure legends.

### 3.2.4 Phylogenetic analysis

The -683 bp to +3 bp sequence for C. elegans MARS-1 and -850 bp to +3 bp , the orthologous genes in both C. briggsae (CBG06108) and C. remanei (CRE12616) were obtained from WormBase (WS213). BioEdit, set to its default parameters, was used to
make Pair-wise alignments which were visualized using GeneDoc (http:://www.psc.edu./biomed/genedoc). Triple alignment of the non-coding region upstream of mars-1 and its orthologs from C. briggsae and C. remanei was done using the FamilyRelations II program (Brown et al. 2005, http://family.caltech.edu/), using the same regions as above. Sequences used are presented in Appendix G.

### 3.3 Results

### 3.3.1 Sequential let-65 promoter:: $^{\text {GFP deletion constructs }}$

Generated constructs containing putative promoter elements used to examine GFP expression were from positions $-2521 \mathrm{bp},-2053 \mathrm{bp},-1393 \mathrm{bp},-684 \mathrm{bp}$, and -603 to position +3 bp relative to the mars-1 ATG codon. For $-2521 \mathrm{bp},-2053 \mathrm{bp},-1393 \mathrm{bp}$, and -684 bp fragments, the expression was variable (data not shown). For -603bp fragment, GFP expression was seen in the gut, anterior neurons, pharynx procorpus, pharyngeal bulbs and muscles. Consequently, the region from -603bp to the translation start site (ATG) was chosen for finer deletion analysis in order to target reduction or complete loss of GFP expression.

The new fragments were from positions $-477 \mathrm{bp},-344 \mathrm{bp},-283 \mathrm{bp},-124 \mathrm{bp}$ and $58 b p$ to the translation start site (ATG). Fragment -477 bp had the same GFP expression intensity as fragment $-603 b p$ for all observed cells. For the $-344 b p$ fragment, lower GFP expression was seen in the gut, and pharyngeal bulbs. Reduction in the GFP expression was observed in - 283bp and -124bp constructs in the anterior neurons, pharynx procorpus and isthmus, and pharyngeal bulbs compared to that observed in $-603 \mathrm{bp},-477 \mathrm{bp}$, and 344 bp constructs. Brighter GFP expression was observed in the gut for the -124 bp
fragment compared to that observed in the -283bp construct. However, less expression was seen in the anterior neurons, pharynx procorpus and isthmus, and pharyngeal bulbs. Mostly no GFP expression was detected for the 58bp fragment; however, faint GFP expression was detected in the pharynx procorpus and isthmus, and pharyngeal bulbs of a few nematodes (Table 4). Examples of the transgenic worms' GFP gut expression patterns for the shortest six constructs are shown in Figure 9.

Table 4. GFP expression patterns in strains containing truncated $F 58 B 3.5_{\text {promoter }}:$ :GFP constructs.

| Tissue | $\mathbf{- 6 0 3}$ | -477 | $\mathbf{- 3 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 2 4}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gut | +++++ | +++++ | ++++ | ++++ | +++++ | - |
| Anterior neuron | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++ | + | - |
| Pharynx procorpus and isthmus | +++++ | +++++ | +++++ | ++ | ++ | $+/-$ |
| Pharyngeal bulbs | ++++ | ++++ | + | + | + | $+/-$ |
| Muscles | +++ | +++ | ++++ | +++ | +++ | - |

Negative numbers in the heading row indicates the start position of the used promoter fragment relative to the translation start site (ATG) in base pairs. In the -58bp construct the $+/$ - indicates a very low level of GFP expression.


Figure 9. Gut GFP expression levels for the shortest six mars-1 $1_{\text {promoter }}$ : GFP constructs. Gut GFP expression levels varied among the strains containing different promoter::GFP constructs. To ensure comparable levels of transgenic GFP expression, all images were taken of young adults worms at 1 s exposures. The sizes of the shown constructs are indicated in the left of each construct image.

### 3.3.2 Identification of evolutionarily conserved non-coding elements upstream of mars-1

Identification of evolutionary conserved non-coding elements upstream of mars-1 in C. elegans was conducted by comparing the upstream region in C. elegans mars-1 to the upstream regions of the mars- 1 orthologous in two other Caenorhabditis species: $C$. briggsae and C. remanei. For C. elegans I chosen the region -683bp upstream the translations start site (ATG). Since C. briggsae and C. remanei genomic sequence, in the publicly available data base, are missing sequences in the upstream region (-629 to -319 and -347 to -337 respectively), larger regions were used ( -850 bp for each) (All sequences were obtained from WormBase release WS213).
C. elegans, C. briggsae, and C. remanei regions were analyzed using the FamilyRelations II program (Brown et al. 2005) that revealed the existence of conserved inverted sequences (Fig. 10A). Highly conserved areas were revealed by increasing the stringency of the comparison (Fig. 10B). The alignment shows two conserved sites besides the splice junction site (C. elegans sequence: TATTCAGT) (fig $10 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}, \mathrm{E}$ and F). These conserved sequence blocks are: AAGTGtGCtCtAcgGAGAATT and TTTTTGATTGACAgTT (lower case letters are mismatches between C. elegans and C. briggsae). The second conserved sequence is in all three species but in C. remanei it is in the reverse complement orientation.

BioEdit was used to locate the conserved sequences Pair-wise sequence alignment was conducted using C. elegans and C. briggsae sequences with default parameters. The alignment was visualized using GeneDoc program (http:://www.psc.edu./biomed/ genedoc) (Fig. 11).


Figure 10. Interspecies comparison of C. elegans, C. briggsae, and C. remanei upstream regions. $A$ and $B$ show comparison of the upstream regions relative to the splice junction sequence (Black arrow in B) of C. elegans, C. briggsae and C. remanei was conducted using FamilyRelations II (Brown et al. 2005). B shows the almost completely conserved regions. $C$ and $D$ show identified conserved sequence (Red arrow in $B$ ), $E$ and $F$, also show conserved sequence (green arrow in B).


Figure 11. Sequence alignment of C. elegans and C. briggsae mars-1 gene upstream region.
Red lines indicate the primers sequences of the shortest six constructs. Boxed numbers indicate the length of the fused regions using the indicated primers relative to the translation start site (purple box). Green and Red arrows locate the location of the first base of the two conserved regions found using FamilyRelations II. Alignment was done using BioEdit and visualized using GeneDoc.

### 3.4 Discussion

In general transcription factors (TFs) bind to specific sequences upstream of a gene's coding sequence. TFs function as expression activators or repressors by alternating the binding strength of RNA polymerase II to its binding site. In this part of my thesis, I identify possible cis-regulatory motifs that drive expression of mars-1. I have used PCR to generate a range of let- $65_{\text {promoter: }}$ : $G F P$ constructs, then made transgenics with them and analyzed the resulting expression patterns.

Based on methionyl tRNA synthetase role in translation, mars- 1 is expected to be expressed in all tissues. However, for my transgenics I observed GFP florescence in the gut, anterior neurons, pharynx procorpus and isthmus, pharyngeal bulbs and muscles. Expression in other tissues might have been so low that it is not visible.

The expression patterns of promoter fusion for three other tRNA synthetase genes (kars-1, pars-1, and tars-1) are published in WormAtlas (Hunt-Newbury et al. 2007, http://gfpweb.aecom.yu.edu/index). kars-1 expression was observed in the pharynx, intestinal, rectal epithelium, hypodermis, seam cells, and head neurons. For pars-1, GFP expression was observed in pharynx, intestinal, and distal tip cell, body wall muscle. For tars-1, GFP expressed in pharynx, pharyngeal gland cells, intestinal, anal depressor muscle, and body wall muscles (Hunt-Newbury et al. 2007).These expression variations of the investigated AARS genes might be due to differences in genes expression levels.

To identify cis-regulatory motifs, promoter constructs were designed to assay GFP expression from positions $-2,521 \mathrm{bp},-2,053 \mathrm{bp},-1,393 \mathrm{bp},-684 \mathrm{bp}$ and -603 to the mars-1 translation start site. Variable expression was observed for the $-2,521 \mathrm{bp}$, 2,053bp, $-1,393 b p$, and $-684 b p$ fragments. For the $-603 b p$ fragment, GFP expression was
seen in the gut, anterior neurons, pharyngeal bulbs and muscles. Therefore, the region between -603bp was chosen for finer deletion analysis in order to target reduction or complete loss of GFP expression.

The sequence fragments used to generate the new fusion constructs were from positions $-477 \mathrm{bp},-344 \mathrm{bp},-283 \mathrm{bp},-124 \mathrm{bp}$ and -58 bp to the translation start site. These constructs exhibited mosaic expression that was likely a consequence of somatic loss of the injected extra-chromosomal array.

As shown in table four, in all tissues except the gut, an overall reduction in expression intensity was observed for the - 283 bp fragment. A very low level pharynx expression was observed in few individuals for the -58 bp construct. The unc-54 3' UTR used in the pPD95.67 expression cassette might be causing this weak expression (Boulin et al. 2006).

The analysis for predicted transcription factors sites was done by a triple alignment of C. elegans, C. briggsae and C. remanei using FamilyRelations II to detect conserved sequences that might not be found using BioEdit such as sequences are comprised of inverted sequences and sequences that are not in a matching order relative to other sequences. Two conserved sequence blocks were identified in the three orthologs: AAGTGtGCtCtAcgGAGAATT and TTTTTGATTGACAgTT (lower.case letters are mismatches between C. elegans and C. briggsae). The second conserved block is in the reverse complementation in C. elegans relative to C. elegans and C. briggsae.

I located these two conserved sequence blocks, using pair-wise sequence alignment of C. elegans and C. briggsae. The first sequence is located -278 bp from the ATG, which places it in -283bp construct which might indicate the presence of a binding
sit for a TF in this region. The second sequence is located at -70 bp from the ATG, which places it in the region before the -58 bp construct. The removal of this sequence may well be responsible for the loss of GFP expression for the -58 construct.

By performing a promoter::GFP "cut down" analysis, I found a 66bp window containing a putative cis regulatory element that controls the wild type mars-1 expression. This analysis led to the identification of two conserved DNA sequences for the mars- 1 orthologs regions in three nematode species: C. elegans, C. briggsae and C. remanei. For future work, additional cut-downs should be conducted every 5bp in the region containing the putative cis regulatory elements. Moreover, the identification of possible TFs bound to the found sequences can be experimentally detected using gelmobility shift assays. For the detected sequence locating in $-283 b p$ to $+3 b p$ construct, further analysis is required to determine its importance by making constructs using fragments including more bases of the upstream region of this sequence followed by conducting cut-downs every 5 bp in the region.

# CHAPTER 4: INVESTIGATION OF HAPLOINSUFFICIENCY PHENOTYPES ASSOCIATED WITH MUTATIONS IN GENES INVOLVED IN TRANSLATION IN C. ELEGANS 

### 4.1 Introduction

One of the most extensively studied types of mutants in D. melanogaster involves Minutes mutants. There are several dominant phenotypes exhibited by Minute Drosophila flies including large, rough eyes, wing abnormalities, abdominal segmentation defects, reduced fertility and viability, and small body size (Lambertsson 1998). However, short slender bristles, delayed development, and recessive lethality are the three main common visible phenotypes displayed by individuals with these mutants (Schultz 1929; Lambertsson 1998). Minutes have a non-additive phenotypic effect; that is, there is no difference in the severity of phenotypes between flies with a single Minute mutation and flies with more than one (Lambertsson 1998).

Minute loci are haplo-insufficient (dominant) and Minute loci are dispersed throughout $D$. melanogaster's genome that suggested that Minute loci encode different products with related functions (Lambertsson 1998). Minute loci were found to correspond to Ribosomal Protein genes (RP) in which reducing the production of a single RP causes the reduction in the number of functional ribosomes that result in impairing protein synthesis (Marygold et al. 2007). It has been suggested that some non-ribosomal genes encoding proteins involved in translation (such as aminoacyl tRNA synthetases) may also lead to Minute phenotype (Lambertsson 1998).

In this chapter, I discuss my examination of haplo-insufficiency phenotypes (Minute like phenotypes) in C. elegans associated with mutations in genes involved in translation. In my investigation I used mutant alleles of two genes involved in translation. The first gene is let-65 which encodes a methionyl- tRNA synthetase (previously discussed in chapter 1). For my investigation, I used two alleles of let-65(s1777 and s1222). The mutation found in s1777 truncates the protein at the 408th amino acid while for $s 1222$ the mutation is in the first base pair of the first intron and so prevents the splicing of the first intron and causes a premature truncation of the protein at amino acid number 28.

The second gene I used for my investigation is let-336 which has EMS induced mutations (Johnsen and Baillie 1991). let-336 encodes rps-27 which is a small (40s) ribosomal subunit $S 27$ (The molecular identity was identified by Fernandes, A. R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data). I used two of the three of let-336 alleles: s1413 and s1495 that were most kindly provided to me by Fernandes, A. R., (Baillie lab, Simon Fraser University). Both let-336(s1413 and s1495) prematurely truncate the protein (at 508bp and 515bp respectively) (Fernandes, A. R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data). let-336(s1413) changes glutamine to a stop codon at 65 aa out of the total 83 aa (Fernandes, A. R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data). Similarly, let-336(s1495) changes arginine to a stop codon at 68 aa out of the total 83 aa (Fernandes, A. R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data).

The putative haplo-insufficiency phenotypes that I examined are recessive lethality, small brood size, developmental delay, and extended lifespan. Since that the genes I used belongs to two different protein groups (ribosomal proteins and amino-acyl
tRNA synthetases), it was expected that mutations in these genes might not exhibit the same haplo-insufficiency phenotypes.

### 4.2 Materials and Methods

### 4.2.1 Maintaining nematode strains and culture conditions

Nematode strains were maintained on Easiest Worm Plate Agar streaked with $E$. coli (OP50) (Brenner 1974). All strains were stored at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The strains have the genotypes presented in table 5. The control strain BC1270 (see table 5) was used for let65 allele's analysis and BC2200 was used as the control strain for let-336 allele's analysis.

### 4.2.2 Brood size assay

L4 worms for each tested strain were picked, one per plate, to 20 plates and then incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $24-\mathrm{hr}$ time periods. After each period, the picked worms were transferred to new plates. $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ progeny from the $\mathrm{P}_{0 \mathrm{~s}}$ were allowed to grow for three days before they were counted. This brooding was continued every 24 hr until the $\mathrm{P}_{0 \mathrm{~s}}$ cease to produce progeny. Statistical significant differences between controls and tested animals were determined by using a two-tailed T-test with unequal variance (EXCEL, 2007). Progeny number count for BC2200 was done by Fernandes, A.R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data. let-336(s1413) progeny counts were done with collaboration with Fernandes, A. R.. Statistical significance of differences between controls and tested animals was determined by a two-tailed T-test with unequal variance (EXCEL, 2007).

### 4.2.3 Developmental delay assay and microscopy

Twenty adults from each strain were transferred to OP50 streaked plates and allowed to lay eggs for four hrs before been removed leaving only unhatched eggs. Hatched animals were cultured for 24,48 and 72 hrs before being transferred to microscope slides for screening.

Screening of worms was done using a Zeiss Axioscope equipped with a QImaging camera. To immobilize the worms on the $2 \%$ moist agarose, 1 mM levamisole (in M9) (Sigma, L9756-5G) was applied to the worms immediately prior to imaging. Pictures were taking at each time point with a $10 \times$ objective. The body length (head-totail) of 20 animals per time point was measured with Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MD). Statistical significance of differences between controls and tested animals was determined by a two-tailed T-test with unequal variance (EXCEL, 2007).

### 4.2.4 Lifespan assay

L4 worms from each strain were picked (one each) to 10 plates and incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Worms were picked to new plates every 24 hrs until $\mathrm{P}_{0} \mathrm{~s}$ ceased to produce progeny and were scored daily for live/dead worms. An animal was considered dead if it did not respond to prodding with a pick and its pharynx did not pump. The scoring was repeated until all animals were dead. Statistical significance of differences between controls and tested animals was determined with a two-tailed T-test with unequal variance (EXCEL, 2007).

Table 5. Genotypes of strains used in C. elegans haplo-insufficiency phenotype investigations.

| Strain | Allele | Genotype |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BC1270 | - | unc-22(s7) unc-31(e169)/nT1(IV); +/nT1 (V) |
| let-65 | s1222 | let-65(s1222) unc-22(s7) unc-31(e169)/nT1(IV); +/nT1 (V) |
| let-65 | s1777 | let-65(s1777) unc-22(s7) unc-31(e169)/nT1(IV); +/nT1 (V) |
| BC2200 | - | dpy-18(e364)/eT1(III); unc-46(e177)/eT1(V) |
| let-336 | s1413 | dpy-18(e364)/eT1(III);let-336(s1413)unc-46(e177)/eT1(V) |
| let-336 | s1495 | dpy-18(e364)/eT1(III);let-336(s1495)unc-46(e177)/eT1(V) |

### 4.3 Results

### 4.3.1 Self-progeny brood size

The strains used in this study were let-65(s1222 and s1777) and let-336(s1413 and s1495) which were all induced by EMS mutagenesis and all alleles result in prematurely truncated proteins. For let-65 alleles and its control ( BC 1270 ), $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ individuals with wild type phenotype ( $25 \%$ of the total selfing progeny) were used for the comparison. For let366 and its control ( BC 2200 ), $\mathrm{F}_{1}$ individuals with wild type and unc-36 phenotype (about $31 \%$ of the total selfing progeny) were used for the comparison. For the punnett squares for let-56 and let-366 (the selfing and the control strains) please refer to Appendix H.

Comparison of self-progeny brood sizes with respect to the wild type phenotype of let-65(s1222) and the control BC 1270 , using T-test un-equal variance, showed a significant difference $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$. The brood size mean number ( $\pm$ one standard deviation)
for let-65(s1222) was $74 \pm 10$ but $88 \pm 8$ for the control BC1270. Similarly let-65(s1777) showed a mean self-progeny brood size significantly smaller than the control by T-test ( P $<0.05$ ). The mean self-progeny brood size with respect to the wild type phenotype ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for let-65(s1777) was $54 \pm 17$. Thus the self-progeny brood sizes of the mutant strains let-65(s1222 and s1777) are significantly less than that observed of the control (Fig.12).
let-336(s1413 and s1495) hermaphrodites showed means self-progeny brood sizes with respect to wild type and unc-36 phenotypes, that are not significantly different $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ from the control BC 2200 (using T-test, un-equal variance). The mean number of the counted progeny ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for let-336(s1413) was $74 \pm 12$ and for let-336(s1495) was $66 \pm 18$. The mean of the self-progeny brood size (one $\pm$ standard deviation) for the control was $76 \pm 15$. Thus the self-progeny brood size in the mutant strains let-366(s1413 and s1495) is not significantly different from the control's (Fig.13).

### 4.3.2 Developmental delay

To investigate for developmental delay in the test strains, the body length, from head-to-tail of 20 heterozygous animals of each strain were measured at time points 24 , 48, and 72 hrs. The body lengths of let-65(s1222) and let-65(s1777) heterozygous individuals and the control strain BC1270 are not significantly different $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ using a T-test, un-equal variance at 24 and 48 hr time point (Fig. 14 and 16).

Body length mean ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for let-65(s1222) and let-65(s1777) at 24 hrs were $0.32 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.30 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively. The control BC1270 mean ( $\pm$ standard deviation) was $0.32 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Fig. 14 and 16).

At 48 hrs, the mean body length ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) was $0.54 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.54 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}$ for let-65(s1222 and s1777) respectively. For the control BC1270 at the same time point it was $0.55 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Fig. 14 and 16).

At 72 hrs , no significant difference was observed for let-65(s1222) ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) compared to the control. Body length means ( $\pm$ one standard deviations) were $0.93 \pm 0.05$ mm for let-65(s1222) and $0.94 \pm 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$ for BC1270. However, for let-65(s1777) body length means ( $\pm$ one standard deviations) was $0.85 \pm 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$ that is significantly different $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$ from the control BC 1750 (Fig. 14 and 16).

Comparing body length's of let-336(s1413) and let-336(s1495) heterozygous individuals to the control BC2200 using T-test, un-equal variance at 24 hrs showed no significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ) with mean body length ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) of $0.27 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.29 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ for $s 1413$ and $s 1495$ respectively and $0.28 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ for BC2200 (Fig. 15 and 16).

At 48 and 72 hr time points, both let-336 alleles showed significant difference in body length compared to that of the control BC2200 ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) (Fig. 15 and 16). At 84 hrs, body length means ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for sl413 and s1495 were $0.45 \pm 0.05$ mm and $0.40 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively. For the control it was $0.53 \pm 0.05$.

At 72 hrs the mean body length ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for s1413 and s1495 were $0.82 \pm 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ and $0.58 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~mm}$ respectively. For the control strain it was $0.99 \pm$ 0.05 mm .


Figure 12. Average number of wild type progeny for let-65(s1222 and s1777) and the control BC1270.
Mean numbers of progeny for let-65(s1222 and s1777) are significantly different from the control ( $\mathbf{B C 1 2 7 0}$ ) $(\mathbf{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ ). The error bars represent the standard errors with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence.


Figure 13. Average progeny number for let-336(s1413 and s1495) and the control BC2200. Mean numbers of progeny for let-336 alleles showed no significant difference from the control (BC2200) ( $\mathrm{P}>\mathbf{0 . 0 5 )}$. The error bars represent the standard errors with $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence.


Figure 14. Body length of let-65(sl222 and sl777) at different time points.
A. DIC images ( $10 \times$ ) of heterozygous let-65 (s1222 and s1777) and BC1270 grown for 72 hrs . B. Average length (mm) of 20 worms from each of let-65(s1222 and s1777) and the control strain (BC1270). let-65 alleles showed no significant difference in length compared to the control strain (BC1270) $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$ at 24 and 48 hrs time point. At 72 hrs, let-65(s1777) showed significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5}$ ) in length compared to the control.
A
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Figure 15. Body length of let-336(s1413 and s1495) at different time points.
A. DIC images ( $10 \times$ ) of heterozygous let-336(s1413 and s1495) and BC2200 grown for 72 hrs . B. Average length (mm) of 20 worms from each strain of let-336(s1413 and s1495) and the used control strain (BC2200). let-336 alleles showed no significant difference in length compared to the control strain $(P>0.05)$ at 24 hrs. At 48 and 72 hrs, let-336 alleles showed significant difference ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ) in length compared to the control strain.


Figure 16. Average body lengths of let-65(s1222 and s1777) and let-336(s1413 and s1495). Body length of 20 worms of let-65(s1222 and s1777) and the control strain BC1270 for let-336(s1413 and s1495) and its control strain BC2200) at 24, 48, and 72 hrs. Lines shown are standard error with 95 \% Confidence interval.

### 4.3.3 Lifespan assay

Lifespan was investigated using ten worms. L4 worms from let-65(s1222), let65(s1777) and their control BC1270 and let-336(s1413), let-336(s1495) and their control (BC2200), were picked one each to ten plates and incubated at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Worms were brooded every 24 hrs and live individuals were scored until all animals were dead.
let-65(s1222) and let-65(s1777) heterozygous individuals did not differ significantly from the control (BC1270) using T-test, un-equal variance (Fig. 17 and 18). The mean numbers of days of life at adulthood ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) for let65(s1222) and for let-65(s1777) were $11 \pm 2.2$ days and $11 \pm 1.7$ days respectively. For the control BC 1270 it was found to be $13 \pm 3.3$ days.

Life span of let-336(s1413) heterozygous individuals was not significantly different from the control BC 2200 using T -test, un-equal variance $(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. The mean number of adulthood days alive ( $\pm$ one standard deviation) were $11.7 \pm 2.9$ days for BC2200 and $10.7 \pm 2.7$ days for s1413 (Fig. 17 and 18). let-336(s1495) is significantly different $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$ from the control with mean value $( \pm$ one standard deviation) of $23 \pm$ 2.1 days (Fig. 17 and 18).


Figure 17. let-65(s1222 and s1777) and let-336(s1413 and s1495) survival curve.
Survival times for let-65(s1222 and s1777) were not significantly different from the control BC1270 ( $\mathrm{P}>\mathbf{0 . 0 5 )}$ ). Moreover, the survival of let-336(s1413) was not significantly different from the control BC2200 ( $\mathrm{P}>\boldsymbol{0 . 0 5 \text { ). let-336(s1495) live }}$ significantly longer than the control BC2200 ( $\mathrm{P}<\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \text { ). }}$


Figure 18. Average number of days survived for let-65(s1222 and s1777) and let-336(s1413 and s1495).
Number of days of survival for 10 worms of let-65(s1222 and s1777) and their control (BC1270) and for let-336(s1413 and s1495) and their control (BC2200). let-336(s1495) showed a significant difference in number of survival days than the control BC2200 (P $<0.05$ ). Lines shown are standard errors with 95 \% Confidence interval.

### 4.4 Discussion

In Drosophila, mutations in genes encoding RPs cause haplo-insufficient phenotypes. The three main common visible phenotypes are short bristles, delayed development, and recessive lethality (Schultz 1929). Moreover, individuals with Minute syndrome also display a wide range of other phenotypes. Reducing the copy number of RPs causes a reduction in the number of functional ribosomes and these results in impairing protein synthesis (Marygold et al. 2007).

I investigated the Minute like phenotypes in C. elegans. In my investigation I used strains for two genes, let-65(s1222 and s1777) and let-336(s1413 and s1495). let-336 encodes rps-27, a small (40s) ribosomal subunit $S 27$ protein (identified by Fernandes, A. R., Simon Fraser University, un-published data). Ribosomal protein components are important for ribosome assembly and therefore its translation functions (Brodersen and Nissen 2005). Several human syndromes and diseases result from ribosomal proteins misexpression such as some cancers, Turner syndrome (Ullrich-Turner syndrome), and Diamond-Blackfan anaemia. In Drosophila, rps-27 is likely to be a Minute locus, in which a mutation or a deletion in heterozygous individuals displays a Minute phenotype (Marygold 2007).

For my investigation, I also used let-65 that encodes mars-1 (discussed in chapter 1). mars-1 is an enzyme that plays a role in cytoplasmic translation through charging tRNA $^{\text {Met }}$ with methionine. As part of the Drosophila Minute investigations, it was suggested that mutations in non-ribosomal genes involved in translation might display

Minute phenotypes. Moreover, it was also suggested that aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases complete or partial inactivation may lead to a Minute phenotype or to a comparable traits (Lambertsson 1998).

For my investigation I used two controls BC 1270 and BC 2200 . BC 1270 has the same background genotype as let-65(s1222 and s1777); however, it is just missing the EMS induced mutation. Similarly, BC2200 was used as a control for let336(s1413 and s1495) since it has the same background genotype but just missing the EMS induced mutation. Heterozygous individuals, of the investigated strains, are visibly wild type and so do not display any uncoordinated movement. All tested allele's exhibit recessive lethality which is one of the three main dominant visible Minute phenotypes in Drosophila.

One of the Minute dominant traits in Drosophila is heterozygous reduction in female fertility. Oogenesis was found to be sensitive to ribosomal protein reduction (Lambertsson 1998), In which a small decrease in the ribosomal protein copy number, which causes reduction of complete ribosomes, is likely to affect oogenesis (Lambertsson, 1998).

In C. elegans hermaphrodites, the number of self-progeny brood size is limited by the number of sperm produced, which is followed by the gonad switch to oocytes exclusively (Johnsen 1990). In my investigation I tested to see if mutations in genes involved in translational have any effect on brood size. For let-65 and let-336 alleles, brood sizes were determined by counting the mature wild type self-progeny produced by hermaphrodites.
let-65(s1222) mean self-progeny brood size was about $16 \%$ less than the control ( $95 \%$ confidence limit by T-test). The wild type self-progeny brood size of let-65(s1777) was also significantly less than that for the control (approximately $39 \%$ less). The significant reduction in self-progeny brood size of let-65(s1222 and s1777) might be a resulted from the protein's haplo-insufficiency.
let-336(s1413) have a mean self-progeny brood size that is about $2 \%$ less than the control which is not significantly different ( $95 \%$ confidence limit by T-test). The mean self-progeny brood size of let-336(s1495) is also not significantly different than that for the control (less by approximately $10 \%$ ).

Although, no let-336 alleles I used in my counts showed significant differences from the control, when Johnsen, R., (1990) compared the self-progeny brood size of nonbalanced let-336(s1413) to hermaphrodites containing just dpy-18/+; unc-46/+ as control he found a significant increase of about $15 \%$ by a T-test ( $95 \%$ confidence limit) (Johnsen, 1990). Johnsen did his counts in a different genetic background which allowed for a larger brood sizes because all the aneuploids were eliminated. Moreover, Johnsen outcrossed the strain from the balancer and thus cleaned up the genetic background and possibly eliminated other deleterious mutations. Other possibility for the difference is the media on which the strains were maintained. Johnsen used nematode growth media (NGM), whereas I used Easiest worm plate agar. These media are different in their composition in which NGM are made with $30 \%$ more NaCl , whereas, Easiest worm plate agar are made with approximately $20 \%$ more peptone and $40 \%$ more cholesterol (Lee 2009). To investigate the differences, let-336(s1413) self-progeny brood size count
should be repeated using the same genetic background Johnsen used and using NGM growth media.

In Drosophila, one of the three main characteristic of the Minute phenotype is delayed larval development (Schultz 1929). Therefore, I sought to evaluate the effect of protein synthesis disruption on development in C. elegans. I investigated this by measuring the body length of 20 animals of each tested strain (mutated alleles and controls) at three time points: 24,48 , and 72 hrs after being cultured on Easiest Worm Plate Agar streaked with E.coli (OP50).
let-65(s1222) and let-65(s1777) heterozygous individuals show no significant difference in mean body length compared to the control BC1270 at 24 hrs and $48 \mathrm{hrs}(\mathrm{P}>$ $0.05)$. At 72 hrs , let-65(s1222) shows no significant difference in mean body length relative to $\mathrm{BC} 1750(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. However, let-65(s1777) has about 9\% shorter mean body lengths which is significantly shorter than the control ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ). At 72 hrs , eggs were visible in the control's hermaphrodite gonad; however, for let-65(s1777) one or two eggs were visible in the gonad indicating that the worms were young early adults at this time point.

The ribosomal gene let-336 showed a visible developmental delay. At 24 hrs both alleles let-336(s1413 and s1495) show no significant length differences from the control BC2200. At 48 hrs time point, let-336(s1413 and s1495) show about $15 \%$ and $25 \%$ respectively shorter body length than the control. These values are significantly different from the control ( $\mathrm{P}<0.05$ ).

At 72 hrs both, let-336(s1413 and s1495) are significantly shorter $(\mathrm{P}<0.05)$. s1413 was about $17 \%$ shorter and s1495 was about $41 \%$ shorter. At 72 hrs, eggs were
visible in the control (BC2200) hermaphrodite's gonad; however, for let-336 (s1413 and s1495) no eggs were visible in the gonad indicating that the worms were not adults.

The observed developmental delay represented by the shorter body length is a possible haplo-insufficiency phenotype in C.elegans. Even though let-65(s1777) and let336(s1495) encoded proteins are truncated with a longer carboxyl end than those encoded by let-65(s1222) and let-336(s1413). The alleles producing the longer predicted protein product exhibited a greater developmental delay. This could be because these alleles are partially functional and interfere with the function of non-mutated proteins.

In C. elegans, life-span extension was reported to be exhibited as a result of inhibiting translation by of mutations in ribosomal proteins (Hansen et al. 2007). Hansen et al. (2007) suggested that in C. elegans life-span extends is a result of cells shifting from states favouring growth to states favouring maintenance and stress resistance (Hansen et al. 2007).

In my investigation, I sought to determine the effect of a ribosomal gene mutation on life-span and life span variations as possible haplo-insufficiency phenotype. This was conducted by observing the survival rate of ten worms incubated at a temperature of 20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. let-65(s1222 and s1777), show mean life-spans that are not significantly different from the control $\mathrm{BC} 1270(\mathrm{P}>0.05)$. let-336(s1413) also has a mean life-span that is not significantly different from the control BC2200 ( $\mathrm{P}>0.05$ ). However, let-336(s1495) showed a $49 \%$ increase in mean life-span which is significantly different from BC2200. Similarly to what was observed in the developmental delay investigation, let-336(s1495), although its encoded protein has a longer carboxyl end than let-336(s1413), it showed the
stronger effect. This could be because the s1495 encoded protein might be partially functional and interferes with the function of the non-mutated protein.

In this chapter, I investigated the possible existence of haplo-insufficiency phenotypes resulting from mutations in genes that encode proteins involved in translation. My findings suggest that brood size variations, developmental delay and lifespan extension are possible haplo-insufficiency phenotypes in C. elegans. To confirm these results, more investigations are needed using lethal alleles of essential ribosomal genes. Moreover, the same type of investigation could be conducted using AARS genes and other genes encoding non-ribosomal proteins involved in translation to determine if they exhibit putative haplo-insufficiency phenotypes.

## GENERAL DISCUSSION

Essential genes are evolutionary well conserved genes that are necessary for an organism to grow to fertile adulthood and are required for the subsequent generation to reach fertility (Kemphues 2005). In my thesis I demonstrated the molecular identification of the essential gene let-65, in C. elegans. let-65 is a large target for mutagenesis and one of four genes with larger number of alleles (nine) in the unc-22 region on the right side of LG-IV. let-65 has been previously categorized as an essential gene because individuals homozygous for eight of its alleles arrest in a mid larval stage and animals homozygous for the ninth allele are sterile (Rogalski et al, 1982; Moerman 1980; Rogalski and Baillie 1985; Clark et al. 1988, and Clark 1990).

In Chapter one, I used sequencing and complementation testing to determine the molecular identity of let-65. I sequenced the two candidate genes for let-65 (F58B3.4 and F58B3.5) in let-65 homozygous worms for eight alleles. Each allele had a G/C to A/T transition, which is characteristic of EMS induced mutations. These alleles have amino acid changes in well conserved residues let-65(s254, s694, s1083, s1084, s1154, and s1730). let-65(s1777) truncates the protein prematurely at amino acid 408. let-65(s1222), has a mutation in the first base of the first intron thus preventing intron splicing and therefore, causes a premature truncation of the protein at amino acid 28 (Fig. 3).

To confirm the sequencing results, I conducted a complementation test and rescued two of let-65 alleles (s1083 and s1222) using the fosmid WRM0615dH10 that spans the genomic region that contains mars-1.
mars-1 encodes a methionyl tRNA synthetase (MARS-1). MARS-1 is one of at least 20 cytoplasmic aminoacyl tRNA synthetase enzymes in C.elegans (AARS). AARS enzymes represent a central component of the cellular information transfer system in translation by catalyzing aminoacylation of their cognate tRNAs (O'Donoghue and Luthey-Schulten 2003).

MARS-1 in C. elegans is a 917 amino acids long protein that is well conserved from that I propose that this is the reason it is a large mutagenesis target. MARS-1 consists of multiple functional domains: the catalytic core domain distinguished by a Rossman fold, a tRNA binding domain, and an anticodon-binding domain. Seven out of the eight alleles have mutations in the core domain (s254, s694, s1088, s1084, s1154, and s1730). let-65(s1777) truncates the protein such that it excludes the tRNA binding domain and the anticodon-binding domain. In C. elegans there are 36 AARS genes that are distributed non-uniformly on autosomal chromosomes while none are on the X chromosome. This could be because X-linked genes are transcriptionally silenced during mitosis and early meiosis (Kamath et al. 2003)

Inside a living cell, proteins are directed to their finale sub-cellular destinations by specific amino acid signals. There are two forms of AARS enzymes. One form functions in the cytoplasm and the other functions in the mitochondria. Both forms function in translation.

In chapter two, I determined the sub-cellular localization of MARS-1 by making constructs of which GFP encoding sequence was fused, in-frame, with mars-1. This showed that MARS-1 localizes in the cytoplasm and may therefore functions in translation with cytoplasmic ribosomes. Moreover, I used computational methods (BlastP
and WoLF PSORT), to determine the sub-cellular localization of all known AARS enzymes. My final determination of the locations of the AARS proteins was based on BlastP S. cerevisiae matches. To confirm the computational approach, I determined the sub-cellular localization of sars-1 and sars-2 by constructing GFP constructs. From this I determined that sars-1 (previously named srs-2) encoded protein localize to the cytoplasm and sars-2 (previously named srs-1) encoded protein localize to the mitochondria.

As a result of this work, C. elegans AARS genes were renamed from xrs- to xars-, to bring them into line with their homologs in other organisms. Moreover, to standardize the AARS gene nomenclature, genes encoding cytoplasmic proteins were renamed "xars1 " and genes encoding mitochondrial proteins were renamed to be "xars-2".

Regulation of genes takes place in multiple levels: Pre-transcriptional, transcriptional, translational, and post-translational level (Lee 2009). Transcription is regulated by activator and repressor proteins known as transcription factors that regulate the binding of Pol II to the gene's promoter region. In the third chapter, I discuss my examination of the transcriptional regulation of mars-l by identifying an upstream cisregulatory motif containing region for mars-1. This was done by generating reporter constructs of GFP encoding sequence fused to sequential mars-1 upstream cut downs. These constructs were injected into worms to generate transgenic animals and these were examined for the presence or absence of fluorescence indicating genes expression. I narrowed the region containing the putative cis- regulatory elements responsible for mars-1 expression to a 66 bp window. Moreover, using FamilyRelations II and by
aligning the region, I found two blocks of sequences which are also in C. briggsae and $C$. remanei, as well as $C$. elegans that could contain cis- regulatory elements.

In Drosophila mutations in genes encoding ribosomal proteins cause haploinsufficient 'Minute' phenotypes. Individuals with Minutes syndrome exhibit three visible phenotypes; short slender bristles, delayed development, and recessive lethality (Schultz 1929). These individuals could also exhibit other phenotypes. The common Minutes variable phenotypes exhibited by RPs could be because they are required in equimolar numbers; therefore, reducing the production of one RPs causes reduction in the number of functional ribosomes resulting in impaired protein synthesis (Marygold et al. 2007).

In chapter four, I investigated if mutations in genes that are involved in translational result in haplo-insufficient phenotypes in C. elegans. In my investigation I used two alleles of the ribosomal protein let-336(s1413 and s1495). Moreover, since it was suggested by Lambertsson (1998); that a complete or partial inactivation of aminoacyl-tRNA synthetases may lead to a Minute or a phenotype similar to Minute phenotype, I also used let-65(s1222 and s1777) which encode abnormal MARS-1 proteins in my investigation. As controls for my experiments, I used BC1270 (let-65 control) and BC2200 (let-336 control) that share the same genotypes of examined alleles but without the EMS induced point mutations.

The first putative haplo- insufficient phenotype I looked at was the self-progeny brood size. Although no significant reduction in brood size was observed for the two let336 alleles, let-65(s1222 and s1777) both exhibited a significant brood size reduction. The second putative haplo-insufficiency phenotype I investigated was the developmental delay which I did by measuring the body lengths of 20 animals of each strain at three
time points of development (24, 48, and 72 hrs ). Significantly smaller body length was observed for let-65(s1777) at 72 hrs compared to that observed for the control strain BC1270. For let-336(s1413 and s1495) both alleles showed significantly smaller body lengths at 48 hrs and 72 hrs . Since in Drosophila, one of the three main characteristic of the Minute phenotype is delay in larval development, these results indicate that developmental delay is a possible haplo-insufficiency phenotype in C. elegans.

As part as my investigation I considered life-span extension as a putative haploinsufficiency phenotype. By observing the survival rate of 10 worms each per strain incubated at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, I found that, with the exception of let-366(s1495), there is no significant difference in the life span between the strains and their controls. let336(s1495) showed a significant increase of $49 \%$ in mean life-span to its control. More sever phenotypes observed for the alleles with the least sever mutational changes (let65(s1777) and let-336(s1495)) could be because these mutated proteins might be partially functional and may interfere with the function of the non-mutated protein.

## APPENDICES

## Appendix A. let-65 alleles and their lethal phenotypes.

For information on the isolation, mapping and characterization of alleles from
Reference 1, see (Rogalski et al. 1982, Moerman, 1980), for isolation and mapping of alleles from reference 2, see (Rogalski and Baillie 1985), reference 3 is (Clark et al. 1988) and for reference 4 see (Clark 1990).

| Gene | Strain | Allele | Genotype | let-x/let-x <br> arrest phenotype | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| let-65 | BC1898 | s174 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(s174)unc-22(s7)/nT1;+/nT1(V)[lin- } \\ & (n 300) \text { on } n T 1)] \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 1 |
|  | BC00962 | s254 | let-65(s254) unc-22(s7)/nTl(IV); +/nTl(V) | larva arrest | 1 |
|  | BC1121 | s694 | let-65(s694) unc-22(s7)/+; + (IV) | Sterile | 2 |
|  | BC2028 | s1154 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(s1154) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ & \quad(e 169) / n T 1(\mathrm{IV}) ;+\mathrm{nT1}(\mathrm{~V}) \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 3 |
|  | BC2116 | s1222 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(sl222) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ & \text { (e169)/nT1(IV); +/nT1 (V) } \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 3 |
|  | BC1909 | s1083 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(s1083) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ & \text { (e169)/nTl(IV); +/nT1 (V) } \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 4 |
|  | BC1910 | s1084 | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65(s1084) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ (\text { e169)/nT1(IV); +/nT1 (V) }[\text { lin- }(n 300) \text { on } \\ n T 1) \end{gathered}$ | larva arrest | 4 |
|  | BC3258 | s1730 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(s1730) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ & \text { (e169)/nTl(IV); +/nT1 (V) } \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 4 |
|  | BC3305 | $s 1777$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65(s1777) unc-22(s7) unc-31 } \\ & (e 169) / n T 1(\mathrm{IV}) ;+\mathrm{nT1}(\mathrm{~V}) \end{aligned}$ | larva arrest | 4 |

# Appendix B. F58B3.4 and mars-1 wild type sequence and primers used for sequencing let-65 alleles. 

>F58B3.4 (IV:11628417,11632118)

gcttcttttttttctaagtttctcataatatttaagttgttttcaattgttgaccgtttgggactcgtttt tatttatttattcttcatagccttccgatttatattcgctgattttgtatcccattttgaattcatcaatt catatcggttcagtctctttttgggcacgttttctattatcaagacataaagtttattgtttcctgtattt ttatgtagttactgaattattaatagtggtcggattttcactcaaaatacttttttccaactttcaaattg gaaaagaatctatagagcattcagaaaatgggaaaaaagaagtctggcaaggcaccagctgggctgaatga tgaacgcttctcgcaaatcaaatcaaatccgatgtttgtcggattgaagaactcggagaagaaggtaaaaa tgcatcattttcactcctgtcctgaagtttgaaaaaaaattaaaactttaattcataggattttttacatt tttttttgattttttcgcgttttccgaatttactgagtgataattcctaattttttgtgatgttaaacacc ttttgtcaattttctgagatttttccgtataaaaactataacccaaaagaaattctctgaaatttcaaaat gttcctctctaataagttaacttaaattaataatttttttgagaaaaattgtctcaaaattgacaaacggt gttatagaaaaatgaaggaaaaccaataaatatagccggaaaactcgaaaatgacaaatttttaatctaaa aaatatttgaaaatttggaaaaatttaataattggtaaacagttatacttggtcatcaaggtccattttat taatatttaaagcaaaatttcaaaatgactctactccacattgaaactttcaattttcaggtagtaattga caaaagatttgctgctgctctcactgatgagcggttttcaactcgagcaaaagtggatatgcgaggacgaa aacagaagaaaactgtcggaaatagtatgttagatctgtacgaattggaagaggatgaggaagttcaacca ttgaaaaaagaaaaaatatcgaaaaagaccacaaaagatgaagatgatgaactggatgatttctttgatga gaaagatgatgatgaagatgcattggaagatgctgatgatgaagaggacgagatttcagatgaagagaatg aagatgaagaggaagaagaaaatgaaaaaataggaatgaacggattcaaaagactcgatttggctcgtgga gaaggaaatgttgattcatcttcagatgatgattcaagtgaagatgaaggtgaagatgttgaatttgatga gaaggaaggtggaatcgagctcgatttagctaatcttgataggtaaaaatttgcaacaataagtacacaag taatttttaaataattttcagagaagtagaccaagttgaatggacatcaaaccgtctggcagtatgtaatc ttgaatgggacactatgaattgcgaagatattctgatgcttgtcaaatcatttgtgttagtttttcattta aagaggagtgaccgatcaaaattttttggttttattatactcaaatttgagctcaaaatcaagggtgtcgt caaaaaattctcgatttctatttttcagggaaaatcgaaaaaaattttttaaaaatatgttgaaaaaaggt gaaaactatacatttatcgaaactgttgattttgatgagagaattgagaagtgactcaaaagtattcaaag ttaaaaaaaacgaaatatcagacagtagaaagttttggataatccgatattcgaatatatttatgatttt caaaaaaaaaaatcgaaaaaccaacatctttgctcaaaatgacctcaaaagattggattttaaacgaagc ttttcacaaatttctcaaaaaaaatttatgactgataataattaggctatttttccgaaattctgaaccg tcataaaacatttttttagaagtctcgttacaaaatttggtatttttcgggccattttgagtataataaag ctagaaattttttatagtccttattgtatttaattaaaccttcttcagaccacaaggtggatctgtaattt ccgttggaatctatctttcggattttggaaaaggacaattgagtaaagaagaaaaaactggaccacttcta aaattggcaaaacctgtggaagaatacaaagaagatgaaatggatgagtaagatttattgttttttactct aagaacaaaaaatgttattctataatttcagtgaaacgagaacagcagtacgggagtatttagtcaacaag ctcaagtactattattcagttatcacttttgattcaattccgtcggcagttgcagtttacgaggaatgtga tggttttcaatttgaagaaaccggtctgaaaatggatatgcgatttattccagatgacatggattttgagg taaattaaatattttcataatatctatatatatattgaataaaaattcaggaagatcgagtaaaagaatt tctgaatgcggaagatgtgaatttgacgaaatataaagcaaaaagaaatcgaaaagtgcaattatctcaa ccggagccaaaattctatgggacgaggatgatccacaaagaaagaagaagtttcttgaagctttcaatggt gatgaagatgctggaaaagatttaattgtggactctgatgaatcggatggtgatgaagcaaatcggaaaac acttatggctctgttgaataccgatgaacgaaagtcaaaattagatgttgattgggaaggagaagagaaga atggaagtgattcctctgatggagaatatgttaaagtagatgatgatgatgaagagattggagtaaagcaa aagaaaagaaaggatctgatgatgatgaagatgaaaaagaagaggtagaagaaaagaaagaagatgtaaa gctcacaggatacaaagcatataagaagaaacaaaaacaaaagctgatggaaaataagttgaaaagaaagg gaacatcaaaagaagctgagactaacatcaagaccgttgctgcagccgacagtatctcgaaagacgaccgt ttctctgctttattcaccgattctgcatatgctattgagccaagttccaagaaattcaagggatcgcttct tgtgacgaagcaggctgaacaaaagtctaaaggatctagcactgtcatcgaaacgaagaaacccgaggatc tcgttcagaagttgaagaaacaagctgataaatggaataagaagaagtctgtgaaaaactgattttatttt tatgtttttgttctgttattttttatcgttacgttatgaattgttttccattgcttaacaatttcttggta ataggaatatgaccatcttgcaaataatttggaaccattgaccatttcattacaatgcggaagataagaaa ttgggctcgtttgataagcatttccgatttgttaagagtgtcacgaatcggattatagtgaagatggaaag atcgcattggattttgagtgtcaatttccagttttcaacttaaaatttattaccctgacggggataaatac
acacttttgtgaattaaaaagtttttttcacaatttgtaaaaatgtaaatatgtgtttttattgtttttat cgaaaaaaaa

Underlined: gene
>mars-1 (IV:11631554,11635810)
caaagataaacaaaaaatacttgaaaatgcgggagatgtgatttccaacgattgtagtatccattttgtgt agtgactccataaggtgtgcaagtgtgctctacggagaattagcaagaaattccattgaactgaaatttta agatgtttttttcatctcttgctcattattattttgtttttaaagtgatattcagcatttaaaattgaaat tcaatgtgtattttacttcactctatcacaatcagaaccgcttagcacatttctaattgtttttatgaagt tttaggccttaagactttttgattgacagttgccctgactccaaatgcttcttaattttaatatattcagt gacgccttgccacaatgggtcacgacttggcggatataaaaaatctttcgaggcaagtttgccgggatat gttgagaagaaagatccaaaaaggtaattgggcaacttttttcgaagttaaatgtttaataatttataatt caagtattctaccgcaaccaggaaagcgaaacattttgattactgctgcattgccatacgtgaacaatgtt ccacatctcggaaacattattggatgtgttctcagtgctgatgtctttgcccgttattgtaatctacgagg acatcaaaccttttacgttggaggaacagatgagtatggaacggcaacagaaacaaaagcacttcaagagg gatgtactccacgagagttatgtgacaaatatcatgccattcataagggaatctatgagtggttcggaatt gatttctctcatttcggaagaaccaccactgatcatcaaactgagtaggtttaattgaatttaagttttat attattcaattgatttcagaatttgtcaagacatgtttttgaaacttcacaaaaatggctacacatcatcg caatcggtggatcagctgtactgcaaccaatgcgaaaaattcttggctgatcgattcgtcaccggaacttg tccaatgtgcgcttatgatgatgctcgtggagatcagtgtgatggatgtggaaagttgatcaatgctgtgg atcttaaggacgcgaagtgtcatatgtgtaaagcaactccagaagtgaaacagtccacgcacatcttctta tcacttgacaagcttcaacagaaaacaacagaacacttggatcgtgaattagctaaagaagataatcgctg gtcatcaaatgctgttggaattaccaaggcttggatgaagttaggattggatcctcgttgcatcacaagag atctcaaatggggaacggctgttccattggatggattcgagaagaaagtgttctacgtatggtttgacgcc ccaattggttatctttcaatcacaaaatgtgtactcggtgataactggactaaatggtggaagaatccaga gaatgttgagctgttcaatttcgtcggaaaggacaatgtagctttccacgccgtcatgttcccttgttctc aattgggtgccaatgacaactatacagttgttaataatctctgtgccactgaatatctcaactatgaggac acaaaattcagcaagtcacgtggaaccggaattttcggagatgcagctcaaggaactgaaattccagcaga tatctggcgattttacctcttatacatgagacccgaaagccaagatactgcttttagctgggatgactttg tgttgaaagttaacagcgaattgctgaataatcttggaaatttcatcaacagagcactttcgttcgtcgca aactcttttggaggagttgttccagaaatgaatttgacaaatgatgacgcagaagttctttctgaaattca caatgaatgtatgcaatgggataagcagttcgacggagttcatctcaaagatgctgtgaagactattctaa atgtttctcgtcttggtaaccaatatatgcaagctcaaactccatgggtgctcatgaaaaaggatgaagaa ggaaagaagagagctggaacaatcatcggagtggctgctaacatcgcctatcatgtttctgttcttttata cccaatcatgccaacaatttctgcaacaatccgtgaacagtgtggtttaccagcacttcctctcttcactc cattcccgatttgttatcttaaagccggtcacaaaatcgggcaaccatcccctcttttccaaaaactcgat cctgcacaaattgccgaattcaaagcaaagtttggtggttctcaagatgcccagagctccgctccaaaaac tgccgaaaagccaaaacaacagaagaagcaagcacccaccaaagataaaaagggtaattaaaatgcttggg gagtcactgctagatatcgcttttgtctgatgtaatgtgtttatgcgaatcggtgctttctcagcaagcgt cttttagttaattatgtagatgtagattcgtagtcatttaccgtattttaggtggagaatggtggagtcgg cgagctgagaagtacactgagagctgcacacaatgcattcaactgcgcatggattgcatgccgaaatttta ctattttttgttgtttcaactctccctttctctacttcccgttcaatttatcaacctccccgcgcagtctt ctgcttcataacggttttattattacaggagacaaaaagatggcgtcaactgctgcttttgtggaacttga acaaggagccaaggttatttcgcaactcatcgctcaaaatctgaaaaagtttgatcaagccagtacgttat ttatatagctgccctatatttagttcatccataaattttcagaggccctcttcacccgaaatcagctccag agattggatggagagaacaagcagcttacgattgatgttaaaacacttcagcatcaattgattgaactgga aactgctgccggaatcaagcaagtgccaaaaccagttgtttcgtgtacaccaacaccaacttctactccag cttctggaattatcacagaagctccaaagaaagaggctccttcaactccagcaccttccgagccgaagaaa gctaaggagcagaagaaaggaaagggtggggctgctgcggctccagtcgacgatacaatcgatgtgggaag actcgatatgcgcgttggtcgaatcatcaagtgtgagaagcatcctgatgcagatgctctttacgtggaac agatcgatgttggagagtcagccccgcgaactgtagtttctggactcgttcgtcacgttccactcgatcaa atgcagaaccgccttgtcgttgtgctttgcaatctgaaaccagcaaaaatgcgtggagtagagtcccgagc catggttatgtgtgcttcatcacctgacaaagttgagattatggaagttccggctgattccaaaccaggta ctccagtcgtctgcccaccatatacacacagacctgatgagcaattgaatccaaagaaaaaggtaaaaatt ttaatattttttttaatgatagaatatctgattattgaaaattattaaacaatattttttccagatctggg
agacagttgcagaggacttgaaggtttcggctgaaggcttcgcagagtggaaaggacaaccgcttctcatt ggaagtgaatccaaaatgactgccccaacgcttcgtggagtccatgtgaaataattggttttctgcattta gcttcttttttttctaagtttctcataatatttaagttgttttcaattgttgaccgtttgggactcgtttt tatttatttattcttcatagccttccgatttatattcgctgattttgtatcccattttgaattcatcaatt catatcggttcagtctctttttgggcacgttttctattatcaagacataaagtttattgtttcctgtattt ttatgtagttactgaattattaatagtggtcggattttcactcaaaatacttttttccaactttcaaattg gaaaagaatctatagagcattcagaaaatgggaaaaaagaagtctggcaaggcaccagctgggctgaatga tgaacgcttctcgcaaatcaaatcaaatccgatgtttgtcggattgaagaactcggagaagaaggtaaaaa tgcatcattttcactcctgtcctgaagtttgaaaaaaaattaaaactttaattcataggattttttacatt tttttttgattttttcgcgttttccgaatttactgagtgataattcctaattttttgtgatgttaaac

Underlined: gene

| Gene | Sequencing Primer | Sequence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F58B3.4 | Forward-1 | TTTGTCAATTTTCTGAGATTTTTCC |
|  | Forward-2 | CGAATTGGAAGAGGATGAGG |
|  | Forward-3 | ATGGACATCAAACCGTCTGG |
|  | Forward-4 | GTATTTTTCGGGCCATTTTG |
|  | Forward-5 | CGATTTATTCCAGATGACATGG |
|  | Forward-6 | TGGAAGTGATTCCTCTGATGG |
|  | Forward-7 | TGTCATCGAAACGAAGAAACC |
|  | Reverse-1 | AATGAAATGGTCAATGGTTCC |
|  | Reverse- 2 | CGGTCTTGATGTTAGTCTCAGC |
|  | Reverse-3 | GCTCCGGTTGAGATAATTGC |
|  | Reverse-4 | TGTATTCTTCCACAGGTTTTGC |
|  | Reverse-5 | TCTCATCAAAATCAACAGTTTCG |
|  | Reverse-6 | CAACATTTCCTTCTCCACGAG |
|  | Reverse-7 | TTAATAAAATGGACCTTGATGACC |
|  | Reverse-8 | CTTCAATCCGACAAACATCG |
| F58B3.5 (Part 1) | Forward-1 | TGAATGGTTTCATTGATATTACAGG |
|  | Forward-2 | GCCGGGATATGTTGAGAAGA |
|  | Forward-3 | ATTCGTCACCGGAACTTGTC |
|  | Forward-4 | CCGTCATGTTCCCTTGTTCT |
|  | Reverse-1 | AGCCACTCCGATGATTGTTC |
|  | Reverse-2 | AGTTTGCGACGAACGAAAGT |
|  | Reverse-3 | CTGTTGCCGTTCCATACTCA |
| F58B3.5 (Part 2) | Forward-1 | AGCAGTTCGACGGAGTTCAT |
|  | Forward-2 | GTTTATGCGAATCGGTGCTT |
|  | Forward-3 | AGCTCCAGAGATTGGATGGA |
|  | Forward-4 | TCGTCTGCCCACCATATACA |
|  | Reverse-1 | GAGTCCCAAACGGTCAACAAT |
|  | Reverse-2 | GCTTCTCACACTTGATGATTCG |
|  | Reverse-3 | CGGGGAGGTTGATAAATTGAA |
|  | Reverse-4 | CACTGTTCACGGATTGTTGC |

## Appendix C. BlastP (scores and E-values), and WoLF PSORT localization scores for AARS localizations predictions.

| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence Nomenclature | BlastP scores | BlastP E-value | Wolf PSORT scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alanyl (A) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ars-1, } \\ \text { (W02B12.6) } \end{gathered}$ | 506 | $2 \mathrm{e}-142$ | cyto: 22.0 , nucl: 7.0 |
| Alanyl (A) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ars-2, } \\ (F 28 H 1.3) \end{gathered}$ | 907 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { cyto: } 21.0 \text {, mito: } 4.0, \\ & \text { plas: } 3.0 \text {, nucl: } 3.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| Cysteinyl (C) ARS | $\begin{gathered} c r s-1, \\ (Y 23 H 5 A .7 a) \end{gathered}$ | 552 | $3 \mathrm{e}-156$ | plas: 14.0 , mito: 10.0 , nucl: 3.0, E.R.: 3.0 |
| Cysteinyl (C) ARS | $\begin{gathered} c r s-2 \\ (Y 23 H 5 A .1) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | - |
| Aspartyl (D) ARS | $\begin{gathered} d r s-1, \\ (B 0464.1) \end{gathered}$ | 532 | $2 \mathrm{e}-150$ | cyto: 18.0 , cyto_nucl: 14.8, cyto_pero: 12.2, nucl: 6.5, pero: 4.5 |
| Aspartyl (D) ARS | $\begin{gathered} d r s-2, \\ (F 10 C 2.6) \end{gathered}$ | 276 | $3 \mathrm{e}-73$ | mito: 28.5 , cyto_mito: 15.5 |
| Glutaminyl (Q) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-1, } \\ \text { (Y41E3.4) } \end{gathered}$ | 529 | $3 \mathrm{e}-149$ | cyto: 15.5 , cyto_nucl: 12.5, nucl: 6.5 , pero: 3.0, cysk: 3.0 |
| Glutamly (E) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-3, } \\ (T 07 A 9.2) \end{gathered}$ | 258 | $7 \mathrm{e}-68$ | cyto: 18.0, mito: 8.0, pero: 3.0 |
| Glutamly (E) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { ers-2, } \\ \text { (ZC434.5) } \end{gathered}$ | 515 | $9 \mathrm{e}-145$ | mito: 14.0, extr: 6.0, cyto: 5.5 , cyto_nucl: 5.0, nucl: 3.5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Phenylalanyl (F) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | frs-1, <br> (T08B2.9) | 489 | $2 \mathrm{e}-137$ | cyto_nucl: 15.5, cyto: <br> 15.0, nucl: 14.0 |
| Phenylalanyl (F) ARS | $\begin{gathered} f r s-3, \\ (Y 60 A 3 A .13) \end{gathered}$ | 279 | $2 \mathrm{e}-74$ | mito: 21.0, nucl: 8.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Phenylalanyl(F) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { frs-2, } \\ (F 22 B 5.9) \end{gathered}$ | 538 | $3 \mathrm{e}-152$ | cyto: 28.5 , cyto_nucl: $15.0$ |
| Glycyl (G) ARS | $\begin{gathered} g r s-1, \\ (T 10 F 2.1) \end{gathered}$ | 611 | $7 \mathrm{e}-174$ | mito: 29.0 |
| Histidyl (H) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { hrs-1, } \\ (T 11 G 6.1) \end{gathered}$ | 394 | $1 \mathrm{e}-108$ | cyto: 20.0, cyto_nucl: 12.7, cyto_plas: 11.7, pero: 5.0, nucl: 3.0 |


| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence Nomenclature | BlastP scores | BlastP E-value | Wolf PSORT scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Isoleucyl (I) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { irs-1, } \\ \text { (R11A8.6) } \end{gathered}$ | 1118 | 0 | cyto: 16.0, cyto_nucl: 12.3, cyto_mito: 9.8, nucl: 7.5, pero: 3.0, mito: 2.5 |
| Isoleucyl (I) ARS | $\begin{gathered} i r s-2, \\ (C 25 A 1.7) \end{gathered}$ | 433 | $3 \mathrm{e}-120$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mito: } \\ 17.0, \text { nucl: } \\ 12.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Lysyl (K) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { Krs-1, } \\ (T 02 G 5.9) \end{gathered}$ | 622 | $2 \mathrm{e}-177$ | cyto: 18.5, cyto_nucl: 15.0, nucl: 6.5, pero: 3.0 |
| leucyl (L) ARS | $\begin{gathered} l r s-1, \\ (R 74.1) \end{gathered}$ | 838 | 0 | nucl: 18.0, cyto_nucl: 17.0, cyto: 14.0 |
| Leucyl (L) ARS | $\begin{gathered} l r s-2, \\ (Z K 524.3) \end{gathered}$ | 405 | $6 \mathrm{e}-112$ | nucl: 16.5, cyto_nucl: 12.5 , mito: 8.0, cyto: 7.5 |
| Methionyl (M) ARS | $\begin{gathered} m r s-1, \\ (F 58 B 3.5) \end{gathered}$ | 610 | 1e-173 | cyto: 16.0 , nucl: 12.0, cyto_mito: 8.8, cyto_plas: 8.8 |
| Methionyl (M) ARS | Y105E8A. 20 | 249 | $2 \mathrm{e}-65$ | cyto: 21.5, cyto_nucl: 15.8, cyto_pero: 12.3, nucl: 6.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Asparaginyl (N) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n r s-1, \\ (F 22 D 6.3) \end{gathered}$ | 558 | $3 \mathrm{e}-158$ | cyto: 16.5, cyto_nucl: 13.0, nucl: 6.5, mito: 4.0, cysk: 4.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Asparaginyl (N) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n r s-2, \\ (F 25 G 6.6) \end{gathered}$ | 280 | $2 \mathrm{e}-74$ | cyto: 20.5, cyto_nucl: 13.0, mito: 5.0, nucl: 4.5 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Asparaginyl (N) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | Y66D12A.23 | 209 | $4 \mathrm{e}-53$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { nucl: } 26.0 \text {, cyto: } \\ 5.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Prolyl (P) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { prs-1, } \\ (T 20 H 4.3) \end{gathered}$ | 590 | $1 \mathrm{e}-167$ | cyto: 14.5 , cyto_mito: 8.7, nucl: 7.0, pero: 4.0, mito_pero: 3.8, plas: 2.5, extr_plas: 2.5 |
| Prolyl (P) ARS | $\begin{gathered} p r s-2, \\ (T 27 F 6.5) \end{gathered}$ | 194 | $2 \mathrm{e}-28$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mito: } 21.0 \text {, cyto: } \\ 7.0 \text {, pero: } 2.0 \end{gathered}$ |


| AARS enzyme | Previous genes and sequence <br> Nomenclature | BlastP scores | BlastP E-value | Wolf PSORT scores |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Arginyl (R) ARS | $\begin{gathered} r r t-1, \\ (F 26 F 4.10) \end{gathered}$ | 180 | $3 \mathrm{e}-44$ | cyto: 18.5, <br> cyto_mito: 10.7, nucl: 7.0, mito pero: 2.8, pero: 2.0 |
| Arginyl (R) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { rrt-2, } \\ (\mathrm{C} 29 \mathrm{H} 12.1) \end{gathered}$ | 212 | $6 \mathrm{e}-54$ | cyto: 17.0 , mito: <br> 10.0, pero: 3.0 |
| Seryl (S) ARS | $\begin{gathered} s r s-1, \\ (W 03 B 1.4) \end{gathered}$ | 196 | 2e-49 | nucl: 21.0, mito: <br> 7.0, cyto: 4.0 |
| Seryl (S) ARS | $\begin{gathered} s r s-2, \\ (C 47 E 12.1) \end{gathered}$ | 414 | $1 \mathrm{e}-114$ | cyto: 20.5, cyto_nucl: 14.8, cyto_pero: 11.7, nucl: 7.0 |
| Threonyl (T) ARS | $\begin{gathered} \text { trs-1, } \\ (C 47 D 12.6) \end{gathered}$ | 793 | 0 | mito: 26.0, cyto_mito: 16.0, cyto: 4.0 |
| Valyl (V) ARS | $\begin{gathered} v r s-1, \\ (Z C 513.4) \end{gathered}$ | 518 | 1e-145 | cysk: 25.0 , cyto: 5.0 |
| Valyl (V) ARS | $\begin{gathered} v r s-2, \\ (Y 87 G 2 A .5) \end{gathered}$ | 928 | 0 | nucl: 20.5, cyto_nucl: 16.0, cyto: 10.5 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tryptophanyl (W) } \\ & \text { ARS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wrs-1, } \\ (Y 80 D 3 A .1) \end{gathered}$ | 449 | $2 \mathrm{e}-125$ | cyto: 27.5, cyto_pero: 15.0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Tryptophanyl (W) } \\ \text { ARS } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wrs-2, } \\ \text { C34E10.4 } \end{gathered}$ | 201 | $2 \mathrm{e}-50$ | nucl: 30.0 |
| Tyrosinyl (Y) ARS | $\begin{gathered} y r s-1, \\ \text { K08F11.4 } \end{gathered}$ | 166 | $2 \mathrm{e}-40$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { mito: } 25.0 \text {, cyto: } \\ 4.0 \end{gathered}$ |
| Tyrosinyl (Y) ARS | Y105E8A. 19 | 450 | $2 \mathrm{e}-86$ | cyto: 14.0, cyto_nucl: 9.8, pero: 9.0, cyto_mito: 7.8, nucl: 4.5 |

## Appendix D. gars-1, hars-1, tars-,1 and mel-32 gene models.

The shown models are obtained from WormBase, WS215. The models do not represent the proportional sizes of the genes.


Appendix E. Forward primers used in promoter amplification reactions to generate let-65 promoter: :GFP transgene constructs.

| Distance from ATG start site (bp) | Forward primer |
| :---: | :--- |
| -2875 | GGAACATCGTCTTCATCATCATT |
| -2484 | GATGTTTATCGGCTGCTTCAT |
| -1923 | TTGTCTGGAAGTCAGCATCG |
| -1248 | TTCGCTGGTCCGATATAAGG |
| -603 | AAACAATTCGGAGAGGTTTTCAG |
| -526 | GTGAGTCGCGTTGTTATTCG |
| -477 | TTGCGAAGTTTTACAGCGTTAG |
| -344 | AATGCGGGAGATGTGATTTC |
| -283 | TGTGCAAGTGTGCTCTACGG |
| -124 | CAGAACCGCTTAGCACATTTC |
| -58 | AGTTGCCCTGACTCCAAATG |

## Appendix F. Genotypes of the generated mars $-1_{\text {promoter }}$ :GFP strains.

| Strain | Genotype |
| :---: | :---: |
| BC8708;sEX2677 |  |
| BC8709;sEX2678 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5p(-2,053): $\because G F P+p C e h 361]$ |
| BC8710;sEX2679 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5p(-2,053): $\because G F P+p C e h 361]$ |
| BC8711;sEX2680 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3. $\left.5_{p(-1,393)} \because: G F P+p C e h 361\right]$ |
| BC8967; sEX2927 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5p(-603) $: \because G F P+p$ Ceh361] |
| BC8964; sEX2924 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5 $\left.{ }_{p(-477)} \because: G F P+p C e h 361\right]$ |
| BC8965; sEX2925 |  |
| BC8960; sEX2921 |  |
| BC8961; sEX2920 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5 $\left.{ }_{p(-344)} \because \because G F P+p C e h 361\right]$ |
| BC8968; sEX2928 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5 ${ }_{p(-283)} \because G F F+p$ Ceh361] |
| BC8966; sEX2926 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5 $\left.{ }_{p(-283)} \because \because G F P+p C e h 361\right]$ |
| BC8969; sEX9929 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5 $\left.{ }_{p(-124)} \because G F P+p C e h 361\right]$ |
| BC8995; sEX2955 | dpy-5(e907)/dpy-5(e907)[F58B3.5p(-58)$\because: G F P+p C e h 361]$ |

# Appendix G. Upstream regions of mars-1 in C. elegans, C.briggsae, and C. remanei. 

## C. elegans

$>$ IV: mars-1 (- 683bp to +3 bp upstream region sequences)
Ctgaggaacatgatgaggataaatcaataaaacaaagctgatttacttatttttttgcttttggaaattaa aaaaaaaaacaattcggagaggttttcagatctgatcagagtgagaaaagaaaatattcctcagactctca aataagagtgtagtgagtcgcgttgttattcgcgagaaaataaattcgatagaaatcaactttgcgaagtt ttacagcgttagtcttggatttagattatttgtgaagtttaattgaatggtttcattgatattacaggttc aataaaattattactatattcaatagcaaagataaacaaaaaatacttgaaaatgcgggagatgtgattt ccaacgattgtagtatccattttgtgtagtgactccataaggtgtgcaagtgtgctctacggagaattagc aagaaattccattgaactgaaattttaagatgtttttttcatctcttgctcattattattttgtttttaaa gtgatattcagcatttaaaattgaaattcaatgtgtattttacttcactctatcacaatcagaaccgctta gcacatttctaattgtttttatgaagttttaggccttaagactttttgattgacagttgccctgactccaa atgcttcttaattttaatatattcagtgacgccttgccacaATG

## C. briggsae <br> >IV: CBG06108 (-862bp to +3bp upstream region sequences)

gtatgtggtccgctgtgggattcgcgaggcgagactctgttgacttttttgagaccccgcaatttccgggg aaaaaaaaatcggggaaaaaaaaaccggggaaagtgggcggagtcgaaaaaaaaccgtgcggcaaaaccgt gccagggttgcaatttaggctccgccccctcatgaccgtgcccaaatgtgcagaaaaaccctgcggcaaaa ccgtgccagggttnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnnn



 gtgcgccccatagagaattgaaaaaaaaattcctgaaattctgaggacgaattttatttttggctcattt gtgttgcgaaattcggtataatctcacttttcatatttcaaaaacatgctaagcttagtttttgaaaagaa ccttaataaaacatcatcacaggcggaaaaataagctaatgttacaaaagtttaggcctactctgtttttg attgacatattaatcgtggtcatttcgtttcctctataatataactttcttcagtgacaagtagtcacgAT G

## C. remanei <br> > IV: CRE12616 (-683bp to +3bp upstream region sequences)


#### Abstract

aaacttgcaaaggtttgttgttaagattttcagaacaaatttcagagatctcaaagagctttatgattcag ccggttaactcattgcttttgtgtcgctgagattgcgtactcatctgaaaatcaaaaaagcaaaaacctt gatatgatagttattttttttcagaaatggatcgacaacgatgcggaaatctgcaccaaactccaatgtgg tttaagcgcccgttcagatgtttatctgctcaattttgttgaggaattttcagatcgaattgtgtcagtta acttaactttcgacatcttaattcaggttaatttatcgattttccaggtaccaaaacgagaacgaagcgag aatttggacaaacaacgactcgaagcacattcttctgagatttttcattcagtacgaaggcttgagtgggg acgaatacctcacttgctccatcatcccttccaatttggaaaagtctgaatatgatctcagtacggattgg acagttggannnnnnnnnnacgcagcgtctaaagttcacggtcgcttatttttgcggagttggagagtgcg ctccgttgagaatcgttgaagtttgcactgaatttcaatggaaaaaatttgtcaagttctgcaggttctta cgaaattttcagaacatttttttcaacattttcaaaacaaccttgttcgaatattcatcgaaatttgataa actttattttatgttagatacaaagccgttggtttctgttagttttaggccctgtgtttcctgactgtttt attttgcagcatgtatttatgtgttatgtattactatgattttgaatttttcagtgacttccagtcacaAT G


## Appendix H. Punnett square tables of selfed let-56, let-366 and the controls (BC1270 and BC2200).

BC1270: unc-22, unc-31;+/nT1(IV), nT1(V)

|  | unc-22, unc-31; + | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc-31; } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \end{gathered}$ | nT1(IV); + | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V) ; \\ n T l(V) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ;+ \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc-31;+/ } \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31;+ } \\ \text { (Twitchers) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc-31;+1 } \\ \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ; n T l(\mathrm{~V}) \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dead) | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ;+/ \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ;+/ \\ n T l(\mathrm{IV}), \\ n T l(\mathrm{~V}) \end{gathered}$ <br> (Wild type) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ & 31 ; n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ; n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31;+ } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31, n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31, } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { unc-22, unc-31, } \\ n T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ n T l(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { unc-22, unc- } \\ 31 ; n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $n T 1(\mathrm{IV}) ;+$ | $\begin{gathered} n T l(\mathrm{IV}),+1 \\ \text { unc-22; unc-31,+} \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T l(\mathrm{IV}),+/ \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31; } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T l(\mathrm{IV}),+1 \\ n T l(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+1 \\ n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline n T 1(I V), n T 1(V) / \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31,+ } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), n T 1(V) / \\ \text { unc-22, unc-31, } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline n T 1(I V), n T l(V) / \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T l(I V), \\ n T l(V) / \\ n T l(I V), \\ n T l(V) \\ \text { (Sick) } \end{gathered}$ |

let-65 (s1222 and s1777) :let-65, unc-22, unc-31;+/nT1(IV), nT1(V)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ \text { unc-31;+ } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65; unc-22; } \\ & \text { unc-31;nT1(V) } \end{aligned}$ | $n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ & \text { unc-31, }+ \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ \text { unc-31, +/ } \\ \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ \text { unc-31, + } \\ \text { (Larval lethal) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;+1 } \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;nT1(V) } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;+/ } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc- } \\ 22, \text { unc-31;+/ } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}), \\ n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ & \text { unc-31, nT1(V) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ \text { unc-31, nT1(V)/ } \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;+ } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31; nT1(V)/ } \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;nT1(V) } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;nTl(V)/ } \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | let-65, unc22, unc-31; $n T 1(\mathrm{~V}) /$ $n T 1(I V)$, $n T 1(V)$ <br> (Dead) |
| nT1(IV), + | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+/ \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;+ } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+/ \\ \text { let-65, unc-22; } \\ \text { unc-31;nT1(V) } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1 \text { (IV), }+1 \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+/ \\ n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $n T 1(I V), n T 1(V)$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), n T 1(V) / \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;+ } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), n T 1(V) / \\ \text { let-65, unc-22, } \\ \text { unc-31;nT1(V) } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), n T 1(V) / \\ n T 1(\mathrm{IV}),+ \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) / \\ n T 1(I V), \\ n T 1(V) \\ \text { (Sick) } \end{gathered}$ |

BC2200: dpy-18/eT1(III); unc-46/eT1(V)

|  | dpy-18; unc-46 | dpy-18; eT1(V) | eT1(III); unc-46 | $e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \mathrm{eT1(V)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dpy-18; unc-46 | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; unc-46 } \\ \text { (dpy, unc) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline d p y-18 ; u n c-46 / \\ d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline d p y-18 ; \text { unc-461 } \\ & \text { eTl(III); unc-46 } \\ & \text { (Dead) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; u n c-46 / \\ e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ |
| dpy-18; eTl(V) | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ \text { dpy-18; unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; ~ e T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| eT1(III); unc-46 | $\begin{gathered} \hline e T 1(\text { III ); unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; } e T l(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { unc-46/ } \\ & \text { eTl(III); unc-46 } \\ & \text { (Dead) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; ~ u n c-461 \\ & e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ & \text { (Dead) } \end{aligned}$ |
| $e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ;{ }^{\text {eTl1(V) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; e T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ d p y-18 ; \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; e T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ d p y-18 ; e T l(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; e T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ & e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; u n c-46 \\ & \text { (Dead) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T l(\mathrm{III}) ; ~ e T l(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (unc-36) } \end{gathered}$ |

let-336(s1413 and s1495): dpy-18 / eT1(III); let336, unc-46/ eT1(V)

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ u n c-46 \end{gathered}$ | dpy-18; eT1(V) | $\begin{gathered} \text { eT1(III); let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \\ e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ & \text { unc-46 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; \operatorname{let} 336 \\ u n c-46 / \\ d p y-18 ; ~ e T 1(V) \end{gathered}$ <br> (Dead) | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { eT1(III); let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { eT1(III); } \\ \text { eT1(V) } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ |
| dpy-18; eT1(V) | $\begin{gathered} \hline d p y-18 ; \text { eT1 }(\mathrm{V}) / \\ \text { dpy-18; let336; } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dpy-18; eTl(V)/ } \\ e T(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} d p y-18 ; \\ e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ e T(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { eT1(III); let-336 } \\ & \text { unc-46 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { eT1(III); let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; let336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; eT1(V) } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | eT1(III); let-336, unc-46/ eT1(III); let-336, unc-46 <br> (Dead) | $\begin{gathered} e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \text { let- } \\ 336, \text { unc-46/ } \\ e T(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ |
| $e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \boldsymbol{e T 1 ( V )}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { eTl(III); eTl(V)/ } \\ \text { dpy-18; let336; } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Wild type) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ d p y-18 ; e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { eT1(III); eTl(V)/ } \\ \text { eT1(III); let-336, } \\ \text { unc-46 } \\ \text { (Dead) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \\ e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) / \\ e T 1(\mathrm{III}) ; \\ e T 1(\mathrm{~V}) \\ \text { (Unc-36) } \end{gathered}$ |
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