

1 **Placental villous hypermaturation is associated with improved neonatal outcomes**

2 Julian K. Christians^{a,*} and David Gynspan^b

3

4 ^aDepartment of Biological Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, Canada,

5 julian_christians@sfu.ca

6

7 ^bDepartment of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada,

8 dgynspan@cheo.on.ca

9

10 *Corresponding author

11

12 **Abstract**

13 *Introduction:* Accelerated placental maturation is considered a sign of maternal vascular
14 malperfusion, and is often interpreted as an adaptive, compensatory response by the placenta. We
15 tested this interpretation by comparing outcomes in pregnancies with and without accelerated
16 maturation.

17 *Methods:* Using data from the National Collaborative Perinatal Project, we categorized preterm
18 placentas (24 - 34 weeks, inclusive; 2525 births) by whether they showed placental villous
19 hypermaturation (PVH), i.e., had the appearance of a placenta of 37 weeks or over upon
20 microscopic examination. We assessed whether PVH was associated with maternal race,
21 maternal BMI, fetal sex, type of preterm birth, preeclampsia, signs of infection or inflammation
22 or placental abruption. We also assessed whether placentas showing PVH were associated with
23 improved outcomes in terms of survival, Apgar score, or oxygen use.

24 *Results:* PVH was more common in preeclamptic pregnancies and less common in pregnancies
25 complicated by placental abruption or showing signs of placental infection or inflammation.
26 Adjusting for potentially confounding factors, PVH was associated with reduced odds of fetal
27 death, death between birth and 120 days of age, low Apgar scores and oxygen use. PVH was also
28 associated with higher birthweights for gestational age. When correcting for the effect of
29 birthweight, the association between PVH and reduced fetal and neonatal death remained
30 significant.

31 *Discussion:* Accelerated placental maturation, as manifested by PVH, is associated with
32 improved outcomes. Our work therefore supports the hypothesis that accelerated maturation is a
33 compensatory response by the placenta to improve its transport capacity in specific pregnancy
34 complications.

35 **Keywords:** accelerated maturation; compensation; hypermaturation; pathology; preterm;
36 outcome

37 **1. Introduction**

38 The placenta must adjust its growth, morphology and function in response to nutrient and oxygen
39 availability [1]. One potential adjustment is to increase its rate of maturation, which is considered
40 an adaptive response to certain patterns of hypoxia [2,3]. Specifically, accelerated maturation is
41 viewed as a sign of maternal vascular malperfusion [4–6], e.g., resulting from impaired spiral
42 artery remodeling and blood flow, and often associated with preeclampsia [2,3,6–9]. There is
43 variability in the definition of villous maturity [10], but accelerated placental maturation (or
44 accelerated villous maturation) generally includes aspects of improved efficiency in terms of
45 surface area and diffusion distances (e.g., a lack of Langhans layer, the layer of cytotrophoblast
46 under the syncytiotrophoblast, and crowding of fetal capillaries within villi) [11]. However,
47 accelerated maturation also includes decreased villous branching and aspects of age-related
48 parenchymal damage such as increased presence of fibrin and an increase in syncytial knots
49 [5,12], which are normally less frequent preterm [13]. Furthermore, some authors distinguish
50 between heterogenous hypermaturity (where a preterm placenta has the morphology of a term
51 placenta) and homogenous hypermaturity, which is considered abnormal regardless of
52 gestational age [2,3]. To complicate matters further, placental maturity is also assessed by
53 ultrasound [14] reflecting in part calcification [15,16], although placentas assessed to be more
54 mature by ultrasound have increased diffusion capacity due to a thinner villous membrane and
55 possibly to increased surface area [17].

56 Although accelerated placental maturation is a sign of placental pathology, it is viewed as
57 an adaptive, compensatory response [2,18]. The objective of the present study was to test this
58 interpretation. If accelerated placental maturation is a compensatory response, we predicted that
59 preterm placentas showing accelerated maturation would be associated with improved outcomes

60 compared with placentas from similar pregnancies that do not show acceleration. To account for
61 potentially confounding variables, we first sought to identify maternal, fetal and clinical
62 characteristics that were associated with acceleration. Specifically, we examined whether
63 acceleration was associated with maternal race and/or BMI, since both of these factors are
64 associated with the risk of preeclampsia and placental pathology [19–22]. We also examined
65 fetal sex as this influences the response to adverse conditions [23]. Finally, we assessed the
66 association of accelerated placental maturation with preeclampsia, placental abruption, signs of
67 infection and category of prematurity. We tested our predictions using data from the National
68 Collaborative Perinatal Project.

69

70 **2. Methods**

71 The National Collaborative Perinatal Project (NCPP) has been described elsewhere [24], and its
72 data are publicly available (<https://catalog.archives.gov/id/606622>). Accelerated villous
73 maturation is difficult to recognize at term [2,12,25] and so we focused on preterm births (24 -
74 34 weeks, inclusive). Our lower limit for gestational age was based on the limit of viability [26],
75 and we excluded late preterm births (35 - 36 weeks) to reduce the potential for error in the
76 assessment of maturity [25]. We used assessments of the apparent maturity of the placenta that
77 were available in the database. These assessments were based upon microscopic examination,
78 and classified appearance as 36 weeks or less vs. 37 weeks or over (Table 1) [11]. In this dataset
79 the actual gestational age was calculated based on the last menstrual period to the nearest week.
80 Given the differing definitions of accelerated placental maturation (described above), we use the
81 term placental villous hypermaturation (PVH) following Morgan et al. to refer to preterm
82 placentas with the morphological appearance of term placentas [18]. We used only singleton

83 pregnancies where offspring sex was assigned male or female; fetal and neonatal deaths were
84 included. Where a woman had more than one pregnancy included in the study, we included only
85 her first study pregnancy, and cases were not excluded on the basis of maternal health conditions
86 or congenital abnormalities. For 91% of these births, maternal race was categorized as white or
87 black, and so analyses were restricted to these two races. These criteria yielded 3030 eligible
88 births, for which assessment of PVH was available for 2525. Birthweights were corrected for
89 maternal race, offspring sex and gestational age using a general linear model, after first removing
90 the top and bottom 0.5% of raw birthweights to objectively exclude biologically implausible
91 values [27]. To assess placental efficiency, we calculated the residuals of the linear regression of
92 corrected birthweight on placental weight (corrected for maternal race, offspring sex and
93 gestational age) using the RESIDUAL statement of proc GLM (SAS, Version 9.4) [27].

94 Preterm births were categorized as (1) induced labour and/or caesarian delivery as a
95 result of medical indication, (2) spontaneous labour with intact membranes, or (3) preterm
96 premature rupture of membranes (i.e., rupture of membranes occurred before labour) [28,29].
97 Placentas were categorized as showing signs of infection or inflammation if one or more of the
98 following was observed: neutrophilic infiltration of the amnion of membrane roll, of the amnion
99 of placental surface, of the chorion of membrane roll, or of umbilical vein. Maternal body mass
100 index (BMI) was categorized as underweight (< 18.5), normal (18.5-25), overweight (>25 – 30)
101 or obese (> 30).

102 To identify factors associated with PVH, we performed logistic regression investigating
103 whether the odds of PVH were associated with maternal race, maternal BMI, fetal sex, category
104 of preterm birth, preeclampsia (yes/no), signs of infection or inflammation (yes/no) and placental
105 abruption (yes/no) (proc LOGISTIC, SAS, Version 9.4). To assess whether placentas showing

106 PVH were associated with improved outcomes, we used multinomial logistic regression to test
107 whether the odds of survival (categorized as fetal death, death between birth and 120 days of age,
108 or survival past 120 days) were associated with PVH, adjusting for other terms associated with
109 PVH from the previous analysis. Similarly, multinomial logistic regression was used to test
110 whether the odds of a favorable Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes (categorized as 0-3, 4-6, or 7-10,
111 where larger numbers are better), or the odds of oxygen being used in the nursery (yes/no), were
112 associated with PVH, adjusting for other terms. Corrected birthweight and placental efficiency
113 were analysed by general linear model (proc GLM, SAS, Version 9.4), including other terms
114 significantly associated with PVH.

115

116 **3. Results**

117 Adjusting for other terms in the model, PVH was more common in black women and
118 preeclamptic pregnancies (Table 2). It was less common in women who were underweight prior
119 to pregnancy and in pregnancies complicated by placental abruption or associated with placental
120 infection or inflammation (Table 2). Fetal sex and category of prematurity were not significantly
121 associated with PVH (Table 2).

122 The odds of fetal death, and the odds of death between birth and 120 days of age, were
123 lower in pregnancies showing PVH, adjusting for gestational age, maternal race, maternal BMI,
124 presence of preeclampsia, signs of infection or inflammation and presence of abruption (Table
125 3). Similarly, the odds of lower Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes, and the odds of oxygen being
126 used in the nursery, were also lower in pregnancies showing PVH, adjusting for the same
127 variables (Table 3).

128 Corrected birthweight was higher in pregnancies showing PVH (with PVH: 2419 ± 38 g;
129 without PVH: 1747 ± 37 , $P < 0.0001$), adjusting for maternal race, maternal BMI, presence of
130 preeclampsia, signs of infection or inflammation and presence of abruption (birthweight had
131 already been adjusted for gestational age, and so this term was not included in the model).
132 Placental efficiency was assessed using residuals of the regression of birthweight on placental
133 weight [27] to quantify whether newborns were small or large relative to their placenta.
134 Efficiency was higher in pregnancies showing PVH (with PVH: 2 ± 29 g lower birthweight than
135 expected for placental weight; without PVH: 287 ± 29 g lower birthweight than expected for
136 placental weight, $P < 0.0001$), adjusting for covariates.

137 To assess whether the reduced odds of adverse perinatal outcomes were due to increases
138 in birthweight, we repeated the multinomial logistic regression, adjusting for corrected
139 birthweight in addition to the variables described above. Pregnancies showing PVH had reduced
140 odds of both fetal and neonatal death and lower Apgar scores at 1 minute, although the latter was
141 marginally non-significant (Table 3). When adjusting for birthweight, PVH was not associated
142 with better Apgar scores at 5 minutes or whether oxygen was used in the nursery (Table 3).

143 To examine whether the results were an artifact of the multinomial logistic regression, we
144 analysed a more restricted dataset including the most common combination of variables: black
145 race with no placental abruption and no preeclampsia. We also restricted this analysis to a
146 narrower range of gestational ages, between 28 and 30 weeks (inclusive), to avoid potential
147 confounding of pathologies with gestational age [30]. Among pregnancies showing no signs of
148 placental infection or inflammation, those with PVH had reduced odds of fetal and neonatal
149 death, lower Apgar scores at 1 minute, and oxygen use in the nursery (Table 4). Among
150 pregnancies showing signs of placental infection or inflammation, PVH was associated with

151 reduced odds of fetal death, and tended to show reduced odds of lower Apgar scores at 1 minute,
152 although this result was marginally non-significant (Table 4). However, none of these effects
153 were significant when adjusting for birthweight (data not shown).

154

155 **4. Discussion**

156 Clinically, accelerated maturation is viewed as a sign of utero-placental insufficiency and this
157 interpretation is supported by experimental evidence from a non-human primate model [31]. The
158 term “accelerated placental maturation” is used to describe a variety of related phenotypes, and
159 in the present study we examined placental villous hypermaturation (PVH [18]) where a preterm
160 placenta has the morphological appearance of a term placenta. This hypermaturation is
161 considered to be an adaptive, compensatory response [2,18], and in the present study we show
162 for the first time that PVH is indeed associated with improved outcomes. We observed this
163 association when controlling for gestational age, maternal race, BMI and pregnancy
164 complications, and also when analyzing a restricted dataset including only pregnancies with
165 similar characteristics. PVH was also associated with higher birthweight and placental
166 efficiency, and so may improve outcomes in part by improving fetal growth. However, these
167 associations do not establish that PVH improves fetal growth. Furthermore, in some analyses,
168 PVH was associated with better outcomes even when adjusting for birthweight, suggesting
169 mechanisms not dependent on birthweight. PVH was also associated with reduced odds of
170 oxygen use in the nursery, perhaps reflecting improved fetal lung development. This suggests
171 that there may be an association between accelerated placental maturation and accelerated fetal
172 maturation, whereby both the placenta and fetus receive signals indicating that the pregnancy
173 may not progress to term.

174 The association of PVH with improved outcomes raises the question of why more
175 preterm placentas did not show acceleration. PVH was less common in women who were
176 underweight pre-pregnancy, suggesting a potential nutritional constraint on accelerating
177 development. Alternatively, placentas not showing PVH may have not received signals
178 indicating that preterm birth was likely. Our results are consistent with the model whereby there
179 are two general categories of preterm births: those due to impaired placental development, and
180 those due to intrauterine inflammation [30,32]. In our study, preeclampsia was associated with
181 higher odds of PVH, whereas infection or inflammation and abruption were associated with
182 lower odds of PVH. Thus, placentas in preeclamptic pregnancies, associated with abnormal
183 placentation, may have received chronic signals indicating pathology and the need to accelerate
184 development. In contrast, in pregnancies with infection, inflammation and abruption, the
185 development of pathology may have been more recent, giving the placenta less time to adjust.
186 Consistent with our findings, PVH was previously found to be more common in preeclamptic
187 pregnancies than in those with acute chorioamnionitis [18], and IUGR pregnancies with
188 hypertensive disease were more likely to show increased maturation than normotensive IUGR
189 pregnancies [8]. Similarly, accelerated villous maturation was previously found to be more
190 prevalent in indicated preterm births than in spontaneous preterm births; the latter being
191 associated with inflammatory lesions [6]. A limitation of our study is that our histological criteria
192 for assessing inflammation and infection may have missed some cases of infection. If these false
193 negatives were less likely to show PVH, then the association between a lack of PVH and poor
194 outcomes could be due to shared association with infection, rather than a beneficial effect of
195 PVH. However, in a restricted dataset including only pregnancies with signs of inflammation or

196 infection (i.e., not subject to the potential issue of false negatives), pregnancies associated with
197 PVH showed reduced odds of fetal death.

198 Our work provides empirical support for the hypothesis that accelerated maturation is a
199 compensatory response by the placenta in chronic adverse conditions such as maternal vascular
200 malperfusion. Accelerated maturation includes morphological changes (lack of the Langhans
201 layer and crowding of fetal capillaries) that would be expected to reduce diffusion distance and
202 thereby increase transport and diffusion.

203

204 **Declarations of interest**

205 None.

206

207 **Funding**

208 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial,
209 or not-for-profit sectors.

210

211 **Acknowledgements**

212 We thank the U.S. National Archives for making the National Collaborative Perinatal Project
213 data freely available.

214

215 **References**

216 [1] A.L. Fowden, A.N. Sferruzzi-Perri, P.M. Coan, M. Constancia, G.J. Burton, Placental
217 efficiency and adaptation: Endocrine regulation, *J. Physiol.* 587 (2009) 3459–3472.
218 doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2009.173013.

- 219 [2] J. Stanek, Hypoxic patterns of placental injury: A review, *Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med.* 137
220 (2013) 706–20. doi:10.5858/arpa.2011-0645-RA.
- 221 [3] J.S. Moldenhauer, J. Stanek, C. Warshak, J. Khoury, B. Sibai, The frequency and severity
222 of placental findings in women with preeclampsia are gestational age dependent, *Am. J.*
223 *Obstet. Gynecol.* 189 (2003) 1173–1177. doi:10.1067/S0002-9378(03)00576-3.
- 224 [4] B. Bustamante Helfrich, N. Chilukuri, H. He, S.R. Cerda, X. Hong, G. Wang, C. Pearson,
225 I. Burd, X. Wang, Maternal vascular malperfusion of the placental bed associated with
226 hypertensive disorders in the Boston Birth Cohort, *Placenta.* 52 (2017) 106–113.
227 doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.02.016.
- 228 [5] R.W. Redline, Classification of placental lesions, *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 213 (2015)
229 S21–S28. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.056.
- 230 [6] T.A.J. Nijman, E.O.G. van Vliet, M.J.N. Benders, B.W.J. Mol, A. Franx, P.G.J. Nikkels,
231 M.A. Oudijk, Placental histology in spontaneous and indicated preterm birth: A case
232 control study, *Placenta.* 48 (2016) 56–62. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2016.10.006.
- 233 [7] J.L. Van Der Merwe, D.R. Hall, C. Wright, P. Schubert, D. Grové, Are early and late
234 preeclampsia distinct subclasses of the disease- what does the placenta reveal, *Hypertens.*
235 *Pregnancy.* 29 (2010) 457–467. doi:10.3109/10641950903572282.
- 236 [8] J.H.W. Veerbeek, P.G.J. Nikkels, H.L. Torrance, J. Gravesteijn, E.D. Post Uiterweer, J.B.
237 Derks, S. V. Koenen, G.H.A. Visser, B.B. Van Rijn, A. Franx, Placental pathology in
238 early intrauterine growth restriction associated with maternal hypertension, *Placenta.* 35
239 (2014) 696–701. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2014.06.375.
- 240 [9] K.M. Chisholm, M.E. Norton, A.A. Penn, A. Heerema-McKenney, Classification of
241 Preterm Birth With Placental Correlates, *Pediatr. Dev. Pathol.* 21 (2018) 548–560.

- 242 doi:10.1177/1093526618775958.
- 243 [10] J. Huynh, D. Dawson, D. Roberts, R. Bentley-Lewis, A systematic review of placental
244 pathology in maternal diabetes mellitus, *Placenta*. 36 (2015) 101–114.
245 doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2014.11.021.
- 246 [11] K. Benirschke, Examination of the placenta, *Obstet. Gynecol.* 18 (1961) 309–333.
- 247 [12] T.Y. Khong, E.E. Mooney, I. Ariel, N.C.M. Balmus, T.K. Boyd, M.A. Brundler, H.
248 Derricott, M.J. Evans, O.M. Faye-Petersen, J.E. Gillan, A.E.P. Heazell, D.S. Heller, S.M.
249 Jacques, S. Keating, P. Kelehan, A. Maes, E.M. McKay, T.K. Morgan, P.G.J. Nikkels,
250 W.T. Parks, R.W. Redline, I. Scheimberg, M.H. Schoots, N.J. Sebire, A. Timmer, G.
251 Turowski, J.P. Van Der Voorn, I. Van Lijnschoten, S.J. Gordijn, Sampling and definitions
252 of placental lesions Amsterdam placental workshop group consensus statement, *Arch.*
253 *Pathol. Lab. Med.* 140 (2016) 698–713. doi:10.5858/arpa.2015-0225-CC.
- 254 [13] K. Loukeris, R. Sela, R.N. Baergen, Syncytial knots as a reflection of placental maturity:
255 Reference values for 20 to 40 weeks' gestational age, *Pediatr. Dev. Pathol.* 13 (2010) 305–
256 309. doi:10.2350/09-08-0692-OA.1.
- 257 [14] P.A.T. Grannum, R.L. Berkowitz, J.C. Hobbins, The ultrasonic changes in the maturing
258 placenta and their relation to fetal pulmonic maturity, *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 133 (1979)
259 915–22. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(79)90312-0.
- 260 [15] K.D. Hopper, G.H. Komppa, P. Bice, M.D. Williams, R.W. Cotterill, N. Ghaed, A
261 reevaluation of placental grading and its clinical significance, *J. Ultrasound Med.* 3 (1984)
262 261–266. doi:10.7863/jum.1984.3.6.261.
- 263 [16] M.G. Pinette, K. Loftus-Brault, D.A. Nardi, J.F. Rodis, Maternal smoking and accelerated
264 placental maturation., *Obstet. Gynecol.* 73 (1989) 379–382.

- 265 [17] G.J. Burton, E. Jauniaux, Sonographic, stereological and Doppler flow velocimetric
266 assessments of placental maturity, *BJOG An Int. J. Obstet. Gynaecol.* 102 (1995) 818–
267 825. doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.1995.tb10849.x.
- 268 [18] T.K. Morgan, J.E. Tolosa, L. Mele, R.J. Wapner, C.Y. Spong, Y. Sorokin, D.J. Dudley,
269 A.M. Peaceman, B.M. Mercer, J.M. Thorp, M.J. O’sullivan, S.M. Ramin, D.J. Rouse, B.
270 Sibai, Placental villous hypermaturation is associated with idiopathic preterm birth, *J.*
271 *Matern. Neonatal Med.* 26 (2013) 647–653. doi:10.3109/14767058.2012.746297.
- 272 [19] V. Assibey-Mensah, W.T. Parks, A.D. Gernand, J.M. Catov, Race and risk of maternal
273 vascular malperfusion lesions in the placenta., *Placenta.* 69 (2018) 102–108.
274 doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2018.07.017.
- 275 [20] M.C. Audette, K. Levytska, S.J. Lye, N. Melamed, J.C. Kingdom, Parental ethnicity and
276 placental maternal vascular malperfusion pathology in healthy nulliparous women.,
277 *Placenta.* 66 (2018) 40–46. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2018.04.014.
- 278 [21] F. Fuchs, M.V. Senat, E. Rey, J. Balayla, N. Chaillet, J. Bouyer, F. Audibert, Impact of
279 maternal obesity on the incidence of pregnancy complications in France and Canada, *Sci.*
280 *Rep.* 7 (2017) 10859. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-11432-5.
- 281 [22] F.T. Spradley, A.C. Palei, J.P. Granger, Increased risk for the development of
282 preeclampsia in obese pregnancies: weighing in on the mechanisms, *Am. J. Physiol. -*
283 *Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 309 (2015) R1326–R1343.
284 doi:10.1152/ajpregu.00178.2015.
- 285 [23] V.L. Clifton, Review: Sex and the Human Placenta: Mediating Differential Strategies of
286 Fetal Growth and Survival, *Placenta.* 31 (2010) S33-9.
287 doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2009.11.010.

- 288 [24] J.B. Hardy, The Collaborative Perinatal Project: Lessons and legacy, *Ann. Epidemiol.* 13
289 (2003) 303–311. doi:10.1016/S1047-2797(02)00479-9.
- 290 [25] T.Y. Khong, A. Staples, R.W. Bendon, H.M. Chambers, S.J. Gould, S. Knowles, S. Shen-
291 Schwarz, Observer reliability in assessing placental maturity by histology, *J. Clin. Pathol.*
292 48 (1995) 420–423. doi:10.1136/jcp.48.5.420.
- 293 [26] S.E. Seaton, S. King, B.N. Manktelow, E.S. Draper, D.J. Field, Babies born at the
294 threshold of viability: Changes in survival and workload over 20 years, *Arch. Dis. Child.*
295 *Fetal Neonatal Ed.* 98 (2013) F15-20. doi:10.1136/fetalneonatal-2011-301572.
- 296 [27] J.K. Christians, D. Grynspan, S.L. Greenwood, M.R. Dilworth, The problem with using
297 the birthweight:placental weight ratio as a measure of placental efficiency, *Placenta.* 68
298 (2018) 52–58. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2018.06.311.
- 299 [28] R.L. Goldenberg, J.F. Culhane, J.D. Iams, R. Romero, Epidemiology and causes of
300 preterm birth, *Lancet.* 371 (2008) 75–84. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4.
- 301 [29] J.-M. Moutquin, Classification and heterogeneity of preterm birth., *BJOG.* 110 Suppl
302 (2003) 30–33.
- 303 [30] L. Gagliardi, F. Rusconi, M. Da Frè, G. Mello, V. Carnielli, D. Di Lallo, F. Macagno, S.
304 Miniaci, C. Corchia, M. Cuttini, Pregnancy disorders leading to very preterm birth
305 influence neonatal outcomes: Results of the population-based ACTION cohort study,
306 *Pediatr. Res.* 73 (2013) 794–801. doi:10.1038/pr.2013.52.
- 307 [31] V.H.J. Roberts, J.P. Räsänen, M.J. Novy, A. Frias, S. Louey, T.K. Morgan, K.L.
308 Thornburg, E.R. Spindel, P.L. Grigsby, Restriction of placental vasculature in a non-
309 human primate: A unique model to study placental plasticity, *Placenta.* 33 (2012) 73–76.
310 doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2011.10.003.

311 [32] T.F. McElrath, J.L. Hecht, O. Dammann, K. Boggess, A. Onderdonk, G. Markenson, M.
312 Harper, E. Delpapa, E.N. Allred, A. Leviton, Pregnancy Disorders That Lead to Delivery
313 Before the 28th Week of Gestation: An Epidemiologic Approach to Classification, *Am. J.*
314 *Epidemiol.* 168 (2008) 980–989.

315

316 Table 1. Traits associated with greater maturity that were used to categorize the appearance of a
317 preterm placenta as 36 weeks or less vs. 37 weeks or over [11]. The present study used
318 assessments performed as part of the National Collaborative Perinatal Project and did not
319 reassess maturity.

320

Presence of fibrin under the chorionic plate

Presence of cysts on the cut surfaces

Lack of Langhans layer

Relative uniformity of villous size

Crowded fetal capillaries within villi

Increased frequency of syncytial knots

321

322 Table 2. Factors associated with placental villous hypermaturation (PVH).

		PVH		Odds ratio ¹ (95% CI)	P-value	Adjusted odds ratio ² (95% CI)	P-value
		Yes # (%)	No # (%)				
Maternal race	White	335 (51.5)	315 (48.5)		<0.0001	1.9 (1.5-2.3)	<0.0001
	Black	1309 (69.8)	566 (30.2)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)			
Maternal BMI	Underweight	272 (55.1)	222 (44.9)		<0.0001	1.6 (1.3-2.0)	0.0002
	Normal	1066 (66.9)	528 (33.1)	1.6 (1.3-2.0)			
	Overweight	213 (70.1)	91 (29.9)	1.9 (1.4-2.6)			
	Obese	93 (69.9)	40 (30.1)	1.9 (1.3-2.9)			
Fetal sex	Male	865 (64.8)	470 (35.2)		0.73	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	0.41
	Female	779 (65.5)	411 (34.5)	1.0 (0.9-1.2)			
Category of prematurity	Induced	102 (57.6)	75 (42.4)		0.06	1.3 (0.9-1.9)	0.42
	Spontaneous labour	1309 (66.3)	666 (33.7)	1.4 (1.1-2.0)			
	PPROM	221 (67.2)	108 (32.8)	1.5 (1.0-2.2)			
Preeclampsia	No	1218 (63.7)	693 (36.3)		<0.0001	1.4 (1.1-1.8)	0.0076
	Yes	335 (74.4)	115 (25.6)	1.7 (1.3-2.1)			
Infection or inflammation	No	1265 (70.5)	529 (29.5)		<0.0001	0.48 (0.40-0.59)	0.0001
	Yes	379 (51.9)	352 (48.2)	0.45 (0.38-0.54)			

Placental abruption	No	1568 (67.5)	755 (32.5)		<0.0001	<0.0001
	Yes	69 (39.2)	107 (60.8)	0.31 (0.23- 0.43)		0.36 (0.25- 0.51)

323

324 ¹All odds ratios are calculated as the odds of showing PVH, compared with the first level for
325 each factor, e.g., the odds ratio of showing PVH for a black woman compared to a white woman.

326 ²Adjusted odds ratios are from logistic regression including all terms in the model
327 simultaneously, as well as gestational age.

328

329

330 Table 3. The odds of death, unfavorable Apgar scores, and oxygen use in the nursery in association with PVH.

		PVH		Odds ratio ¹	P-value	Adjusted odds ratio ²	P-value	Adjusted odds ratio, including birthweight ³	P-value
		Yes # (%)	No # (%)	(95% CI)				(95% CI)	
Survival	Died prior to birth	34 (2)	158 (18)	0.07 (0.05-0.10)	< 0.0001	0.09 (0.06-0.14)	< 0.0001	0.31 (0.18-0.53)	< 0.0001
	Died between birth and 120 days	69 (4)	216 (25)	0.11 (0.08-0.14)		0.16 (0.12-0.23)		0.54 (0.36-0.79)	
	Survived to 120 days	1541 (94)	506 (58)						
Apgar score at 1 minute	0-3	126 (9)	194 (31)	0.18 (0.14-0.23)	< 0.0001	0.26 (0.20-0.35)	< 0.0001	0.67 (0.48-0.93)	0.06
	4-6	240 (16)	134 (21)	0.48 (0.38-0.62)		0.55 (0.42-0.71)		0.87 (0.65-1.17)	
	7-10	1103 (75)	297 (48)						
Apgar score at 5 minutes	0-3	51 (3)	126 (20)	0.12 (0.09-0.17)	< 0.0001	0.20 (0.14-0.29)	< 0.0001	0.68 (0.44-1.07)	0.20
	4-6	93 (6)	113 (18)	0.25 (0.18-0.33)		0.33 (0.24-0.45)		0.83 (0.57-1.20)	
	7-10	1363 (90)	405 (63)						

Oxygen used in nursery	Yes	111 (8)	161 (34)	0.16 (0.12-0.21)	< 0.0001	0.22 (0.17-0.30)	< 0.0001	0.78 (0.53-1.16)	0.22
	No	1358 (92)	317 (66)						

331

332 ¹All odds ratios are calculated as the odds of the adverse outcome, compared with the most favorable outcome, e.g., the odds ratio of
333 death prior to birth relative to survival to 120 days for a pregnancy showing PVH compared to one without PVH.

334 ²Adjusted odds ratios are from multinomial logistic regression including PVH, gestational age, maternal race, maternal BMI, presence
335 of preeclampsia, infection/inflammation, or abruption. Gestational age, maternal race, presence of abruption and infection were
336 significant in all analyses. Presence of preeclampsia was significant in the analysis of survival. Maternal BMI was not significant in
337 any analysis.

338 ³Adjusted odds ratios are from multinomial logistic regression as above but also including corrected birthweight. Gestational age,
339 corrected birthweight and maternal race were significant in all analyses. Presence of preeclampsia was significant in the analysis of
340 survival. Signs of infection/ inflammation was significant in analyses of Apgar score at 1 minute and at 5 minutes. Presence of
341 abruption was significant in analyses of survival and Apgar score at 1 minute. Maternal BMI was not significant in any analysis.

342 Table 4. The odds of death, unfavorable Apgar scores, and oxygen use in the nursery in association with PVH, including only
 343 pregnancies of black women with no placental abruption and no preeclampsia delivered between 28 and 30 weeks (inclusive), with or
 344 without signs of placental infection or inflammation.

		No signs of infection or inflammation			Signs of infection or inflammation				
		PVH		Adjusted odds ratio ¹	P-value	PVH		Adjusted odds ratio ¹	P-value
		Yes # (%)	No # (%)	(95% CI)		Yes # (%)	No # (%)	(95% CI)	
Survival	Died prior to birth	1 (1)	4 (8)	0.06 (0.01-0.57)	0.003	1 (2)	9 (20)	0.07 (0.01-0.56)	0.01
	Died between birth and 120 days	5 (5)	9 (19)	0.22 (0.07-0.72)		6 (12)	12 (27)	0.33 (0.10-1.06)	
	Survived to 120 days	103 (95)	35 (73)			42 (86)	23 (52)		
Apgar score at 1 minute	0-3	4 (4)	6 (16)	0.20 (0.05-0.77)	0.04	5 (12)	11 (35)	0.24 (0.07-0.84)	0.08
	4-6	14 (14)	8 (21)	0.52 (0.19-1.41)		11 (27)	8 (26)	0.66 (0.21-2.09)	
	7-10	84 (82)	24 (63)			26 (62)	12 (39)		

Apgar score at 5 minutes	0-3	0 (0)	3 (7)	<0.001 (<0.001->999)	0.52	4 (10)	7 (23)	0.30 (0.08-1.22)	0.13
	4-6	5 (5)	5 (12)	0.46 (0.12-1.75)		7 (17)	8 (27)	0.41 (0.12-1.37)	
	7-10	96 (95)	34 (81)			31 (74)	15 (50)		
Oxygen used in nursery	Yes	7 (7)	14 (44)	0.10 (0.04-0.29)	<0.0001	9 (20)	9 (36)	0.46 (0.15-1.38)	0.16
	No	92 (93)	18 (56)			35 (80)	16 (64)		

345 ¹All odds ratios are calculated as the odds of the adverse outcome, compared with the most favorable outcome, adjusting for
346 gestational age.