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Mössbauer spectroscopy has been used to monitor the processes occurring during discharge in Li/FeS₂ and Li/FeS cells. The ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra was recorded for partially and fully discharged FeS₂ cathodes at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K. The low temperature Mössbauer and external magnetic field measurements revealed the presence of superparamagnetic and superferromagnetic Fe⁰ particles, as well as collective magnetic excitations and surface effects. The mean diameter of the iron particles present in a fully discharged cathode was determined to be 27 ± 1Å while the effective field due to interaction with neighbouring particles was found to be 5 ± 1kG.

Studies on partially discharged FeS₂ cathodes suggested that the intermediates formed were dependent on the rate of discharge and type of electrolyte. Elemental iron is formed early in the discharge cycle, with possible evidence for Li₃Fe₂S₄ and other LiₓFeS₂ compounds. There is no evidence for the formation of Li₂FeS₂ in FeS₂ cathodes discharged at RT or 55°C.

Analogous studies on FeS cathodes indicated that the Fe⁰ particles formed are larger for cathodes with the same electrolyte and discharged at the same rate. No intermediates were observed and a one step discharge mechanism appears to be operative.
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SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Symbols

\( b(T,B) \) normalized magnetic hyperfine field, \( b = \frac{H_{\text{obs}}}{H_{\text{bulk}}} \)
\( B \) external magnetic field
\( \langle \cos \theta \rangle_{T} \) thermal average of \( \cos \theta \)
\( B_{i}(T,B) \) effective contribution from neighbouring interacting particles to the total internal magnetic hyperfine field
\( \delta \) isomer shift
\( \Delta \) quadrupole splitting
\( \Delta E_{m} \) energy difference between \( |I,m_{I}\) states of a nuclear spin state
\( E_{Q} \) electric quadrupole interaction energy
\( f \) recoil-free fraction
\( \Delta I \) difference between nuclear spin quantum numbers of two nuclear spin states
\( \Delta m_{I} \) difference between nuclear magnetic spin quantum numbers of two nuclear spin states
\( \gamma_{0} \) gyromagnetic ratio
\( I \) nuclear spin quantum number
\( k \) Boltzmann's constant
\( K \) magnetic anisotropy constant
\( K_{1},K_{2} \) rate constants
\( m_{I} \) nuclear magnetic spin quantum number
\( M_{S} \) saturation magnetization
\( \mu(T) \) magnetic dipole moment, \( \mu = M_{S}V \)
\( \eta \) asymmetry parameter, \( \eta = \frac{(V_{xx} - V_{yy})}{V_{zz}} \)
\( Q \) nuclear quadrupole moment
\( T \) temperature
\( T_{\alpha} \) temperature at which FeS is converted into the paramagnetic \( \alpha \)-phase
\( T_{B} \) blocking temperature
\( T_{\beta} \) temperature at which FeS is converted into the \( \beta \)-phase
\( T_{m} \) temperature at which the relaxation time for magnetic fluctuations approaches the Mössbauer timescale
$T_p$ magnetic ordering temperature
$	au$ superparamagnetic relaxation time for reversal of the magnetization about a preferred direction
$	au_o$ particle frequency factor ($10^{-10}$-$10^{-12}$s)
$	au_L$ nuclear Larmor precession time
$	au_m$ Mössbauer measurement time
$u_x, u_y, u_z$ direction cosines
$V$ volume

$V_{zz}$ one of the diagonal elements of the 3 x 3 second rank tensor describing the electric field gradient surrounding the nucleus

**Abbreviations**

ANL Argonne National Laboratory

$B_{appl}$ externally applied magnetic field

b.c.c. body centered cubic

EFG electric field gradient

ESCA electron spectroscopy for chemical analysis

f.c.c. face centered cubic

$H_{bulk}$ magnetic hyperfine field of large magnetically ordered crystals, $H_{hf}(V=\infty, T)$

$H_D, H_{dem}$ demagnetizing field created by the uncompensated poles of the surface atoms on a microcrystal

$H_{dip}$ magnetic field due to dipole-dipole interactions between neighbouring particles

hfd hyperfine field distribution

hfs hyperfine splitting

$H_{hf}, H_{int}$ internal magnetic field

$H_{obs}$ observed magnetic hyperfine field

IMA ion micro-probe analysis

IS isomer shift

OCV open circuit voltage

PC propylene carbonate

QS quadropole splitting

RT room temperature

SEM scanning electron microscopy
SF    superferromagnetic
SP    superparamagnetic
THF   tetrahydrofuran
UHV   ultra high vacuum
w.r.t. with respect to
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I. INTRODUCTION

Over the last twenty years, technological progress has increased the demand for light-weight, compact electrical power sources which are portable. Existing batteries barely meet these requirements and this has led to a considerable interest in battery research.

The high electrochemical reactivity, low electron affinity and low weight per unit of electricity delivered, makes lithium an attractive prospect for use as an anode. There are now several Li cells, which have been commercialized in various parts of the world, with a lifetime as high as 10 years.

In battery research, an understanding of the physical and chemical properties of the anode, cathode, electrolyte and solvent and of the various processes occurring within the battery are essential for the future improvement and design of batteries. The ideal arrangement would be to study intact cells without perturbing them. The techniques which are available for the study of complete, intact cells, however, are very limited in the types of information which they can provide. The common approach has been to disassemble the cells at various stages in their life, and apply modern analytical chemical and structural tools to gain further insight into the physical and chemical processes occurring during discharge.
One area of research which has received a great deal of attention is high temperature, rechargeable Li/Iron sulfide systems in which the electrolyte is a molten salt. A second area is ambient temperature primary and secondary Li batteries based on organic electrolyte solutions.

Although ambient temperature, non-aqueous, primary Li/Iron sulfide cells have been extensively studied in the last decade, the discharge mechanism is still not fully understood. The purpose of the present work was to further investigate the processes occurring during discharge in Li/FeS₂, and Li/FeS cells which have been developed by MoLi Energy Inc. The amorphous character of the cathodes in these batteries makes x-ray diffraction impractical as an analytical technique for the determination of phase composition of the cathode for example. The use of ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy as an analytical technique can provide further insight into the reactions occurring at the cathode in the primary Li/iron sulfide batteries during discharge. Mössbauer spectroscopy allows the study of the iron chalcogenide cathodes in discharged battery cells in situ, and when disassembled.
II. THE Li/FES AND Li/FES$_2$ BATTERY SYSTEMS

II.1 Primary Batteries

The electropositive character of Li makes it an ideal material for battery anodes, and essentially all non-aqueous systems on the market today incorporate Li as the anode. Reactions of transition metal compounds with alkali metals may occur by one of three mechanisms:

1. **Displacement** - involves complete disruption of the crystal lattice and formation of a new solid phase.

2. **Insertion** - some structural rearrangement, however some elements of the structure of the starting host are preserved.

3. **Intercalation** - alkali metal cations occupy interstitial sites in the host lattice with minimal structural changes occurring.

In a primary battery, the reaction occurring at the cathode is usually of the displacement type and the reaction is then irreversible with intermediate phases formed which are structurally different from the starting and final material. Some advantages of employing displacement reactions are:

1. A wide range of potential cathode materials can be used.
2. A constant voltage is obtained.
3. Thermodynamically stable products are formed, allowing accurate predictions of energy densities and voltages.
4. Enhanced ionic motion possible.
5. A wide variety of compatible electrolytes is usually available.
6. The electrolyte is not consumed in the cell reaction.

Some corresponding disadvantages are:

1. There is a possibility of energy losses due to lack of microscopic reversibility.
2. New phases formed during discharge may result in polarization losses, and/or slow kinetics.
3. There is a possibility that the intermediate phases can form passive films which may decrease the utilization of the cathode.
4. Such batteries often require high temperatures.

II.2 Fabrication of the Li/FeS₂ and Li/FeS Batteries

II.2a The Cathode

Two crystalline forms are known for FeS₂, marcasite, which has a rhombic structure and pyrite, which has a NaCl structure. Of the two, pyrite is the more stable in moist air with the resulting reaction products being FeSO₄ and H₂SO₄. The bonding within the crystal is covalent with the iron in FeS₂ being ferrous while the sulfur is present as an S₂⁻² group. Both the
sulfur and the iron accept 2e- on discharge, so that the overall reaction involves 4e- per mole of FeS₂.

\[ \text{FeS}_2 + 4e^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^0 + 2\text{S}^2^- \quad (1) \]

At room temperature, FeS exhibits antiferromagnetic behaviour and possesses a hexagonal superstructure closely related to the Ni-As prototype. As the temperature is raised to \( T_a \approx 420^\circ K \), there is a transition to an antiferromagnetic \( \alpha \)-phase with a corresponding decrease in the Fe atom layer separation of \( \approx 1\% \) [1]. At a temperature of \( T_\beta \approx 625^\circ K \), the \( \alpha \)-phase becomes a paramagnetic \( \beta \)-phase. At temperatures above \( T_\beta \), the structure is of the Ni-As type and the conductivity increases by several orders of magnitude. The iron in FeS is also ferrous and the sulfur is present as \( \text{S}^{2-} \). The overall reaction on discharge involves 2e- per mole of FeS.

\[ \text{FeS} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^0 + \text{S}^2^- \quad (2) \]

Cathode preparation involves first preparing a slurry of finely ground FeS or FeS₂ minerals in cyclohexane. This mixture is then spread to a certain thickness on an aluminum foil, air dried, and then pressed into discs.
II.2b The Electrolyte

Non-aqueous electrolytes for Li/solid cathode systems should be:

1. Stable in the presence of metallic lithium and the cathode material over a suitable range of temperatures.
2. Have a high specific conductance to minimize $iR$ losses in the cell.

Solvents are usually aprotic, and are selected according to their dielectric constant, viscosity and electron donor properties or ability to solvate the lithium cation. An ideal solvent which would combine all three of these properties is so far not available, so most non-aqueous electrolytes contain 2 or more solvents to achieve the desired properties.

In general, a good solute should have a low crystal lattice energy, favouring ionization and should possess a relatively large anion. In non-aqueous electrolytes containing a lithium salt as a solute, the Li ion will be solvated, whereas the anion usually will not. As a result, ion transport will be mostly anionic, since the solvated Li ion will be larger than the anion.

The cathodes in the cells used in the early part of this work were pressure-wetted with a 1M LiAsF$_6$/Propylene carbonate (PC) electrolyte, to 40 lbs/in$^2$. This salt is a desirable electrolyte material because of its unique chemical and physical
stability, high solubility and high conductivity in a number of organic solvents [2]. Other cells that were used in later studies, were prepared with PC electrolytes which contained LiClO₄, another common electrolyte.

The stability of Li metal in propylene carbonate solutions can be attributed to the formation of a non-porous, ioniically-conducting, insulating film which is impermeable to solvent molecules, while permitting the transport of Li ions through it. The formation and nature of this passive film has been the subject of much experiment and controversy in the past [3-7]. The generally accepted conclusion is that the major component of the passive film is Li₂CO₃, formed in the reaction:

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{C(O)}\text{O} + 2\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}=\text{CH}_2 + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3
\]

II.2c The Separator

The selection of a suitable separator is also important. The material should be chemically stable in the non-aqueous electrolyte, and should exhibit properties compatible with acceptable cell performance. The low specific conductance of the electrolyte demands that the separator be highly porous and have a low tortuosity factor to minimize conductivity losses. Electrolytic transport and diffusion of the Li ion are also
important since cathodic polarization will depend on the rate at which the ion reaches the cathode. The separator used in the experimental cells consisted of a microporous polypropylene film that was pressure-wetted to open the micropores, and the casing was also constructed from polypropylene.

II.3 Previous Studies on the Discharge Mechanism of Li/FeS and Li/FeS₂ Batteries

II.3a High Temperature Li/Iron Sulfide Batteries

The bulk of research carried out on Li/iron sulfide batteries in the past, has been conducted by Argonne National Laboratories, and their sub-contractors: Eagle-Picher Industries Inc, Rockwell International and Gould Inc.

The batteries developed by these companies have an operating temperature in the range of 400-500°C, and use LiCl-KCl eutectic molten salts as an electrolyte. The nature of these highly corrosive molten salts required the development of exotic structural materials and separators. Lithium-aluminum or Li-Si alloys have been used due to the corrosive and short-circuit problems that were encountered when molten Li was used as a negative electrode.

The electrochemistry of the Li-Al/FeS system has been thoroughly studied, and is now reasonably well understood, although much is still to be learned about the FeS₂ system.
Research on the electrochemistry of high temperature Li/iron sulfide cells was first performed by Vissers et al [8], (ANL), in 1974. Metallographic examinations of FeS₂ cathodes that had been cycled several times, revealed that FeS was one of the many intermediate phases formed. Later work was done by Sharma [9], under General Motors Corporation, in 1976. The equilibrium phases formed between Li₂S and FeS were determined using the methods of differential thermal analysis and x-ray diffraction analysis. Martin and Tomczuk, (ANL), using results from x-ray diffraction, cyclic voltammetry and coulometric titration studies on Li/iron sulfide cells, devised a Li-Fe-S phase diagram [10-12]. Further studies on the phase relationships and thermodynamic properties of FeS [13] and FeS₂ electrodes [14], by Tomczuk et al, confirmed the predictions from Martin's phase diagram (Fig. 2.1).

Two mechanisms have been proposed for the discharge of the FeS electrode:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>emf, V vs LiAl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism 1 (X-phase intermediate)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1) 2FeS + 2Li⁺ + 2e⁻ → Li₂FeS₂ + Fe° (X-phase)</td>
<td>1.367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Li₂FeS₂ + 2Li⁺ + 2e⁻ → 2Li₂S + Fe°</td>
<td>1.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism 2 (J-phase intermediate)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) 26FeS + Li⁺ + Cl⁻ + 6K⁺ + 6e⁻ → LiK₆Fe₂₄S₂₆Cl + 2Fe° (J-phase)</td>
<td>1.955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) LiK₆Fe₂₄S₂₆Cl + 5Li⁺ + 46e⁻ → 26Li₂S + 24Fe + 6K⁺ + Cl⁻</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2.1: Li-Fe-S phase diagram at 450°C.
The overall reaction is the simple 2e- process:

\[
\text{FeS} + 2\text{Li} \rightarrow \text{Fe}^\circ + \text{Li}_2\text{S} \quad (4)
\]

The actual discharge processes are complicated and are probably a mixture of the two mechanisms. Under certain operating conditions, however, the simple 2-reaction mechanism can occur [13]. Higher operating temperatures and LiCl concentrations favor mechanism 1, while lower temperatures and LiCl concentrations favor mechanism 2. Discharge through the X-phase intermediate is preferred because of the poor reversibility of the J-phase reactions.

Metallographic examination of FeS electrodes have shown that the surface follows a different discharge path than the interior [10], with the surface undergoing the reactions of mechanism 2, while the interior follows the path of reactions for mechanism 2.

An FeS$_2$ electrode in a LiCl-KCl eutectic molten salt follows a different discharge path [12]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FeS}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4 \\ (Z\text{-phase}) \\
\text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4 & \rightarrow \approx\text{Li}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_{1.0} + \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S} \\
(\text{W-phase}) \\
\approx\text{Li}_{1.1}\text{Fe}_4\text{S}_{1.0} + \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{S} & \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 \\
\text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 & \rightarrow \text{Fe}^\circ + \text{Li}_2\text{S}
\end{align*}
\]

The J-phase, a major intermediate phase in FeS electrodes, is
only a minor intermediate phase in FeS$_2$ electrodes. The phases, Li$_4$Fe$_3$S$_6$ and LiFeS$_2$ that were earlier reported by Sharma [9], were not observed by Tomczuk in his work [14]. The formation of FeS$_2$ does not occur at the reverse potential, and the reason behind this is not fully understood.

The phases formed in the FeS$_2$ electrode during charging are more complex than those formed during discharge, and minor phases are formed with each of the major phases as shown in Table (2.1) [14].

II.3b Ambient Temperature Non-Aqueous Li/Iron Sulfide Batteries

Although there are some Li/FeS$_2$ ambient temperature cells commercially available, the present understanding of the discharge mechanism is limited. Most of the past research on these cells was carried out during the late 70's to early 80's.

Whittingham (1978) mentions in his comprehensive work on intercalated transition metal dichalcogenides [15], that the first recharge and subsequent discharge of a discharged Li/FeS$_2$ cell, do not follow the same path taken by the initial discharge (Fig. 2.2).

Work by Brec and Dugast [16,17] on Li$_x$FeS$_2$ as a possible cathodic material, was carried out in 1980-81. Thermally prepared Li$_2$FeS$_2$ [9] was used as a starting material, and oxidized chemically, or electrochemically to remove quantitative
Fig. 2.2: Discharge and recharge curve for a RT Li/FeS₂ cell. The capacity of the FeS₂ electrodes falls drastically on cycling to less than 20% of first cycle capacity after six cycles. The second discharge curve is shaped differently from the initial discharge curve indicating that the same intermediate is not regenerated after reaction with Li (taken from [15]).
Table 2.1

Sulfide Phases During Charging of 
LiAl/FeS$_2$ Cells Operated at 410°C 
Using Eutectic Electrolyte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge Potential vs. (α + β)</th>
<th>X-ray Findings</th>
<th>Metallographic Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LiAl</td>
<td>Major Phase</td>
<td>Minor Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>Li$_2$FeS$_2$</td>
<td>LiK$_6$Fe$_2$S$_2$Cl and trace of Li$_2$FeS$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>≈Li$_7$Fe$_2$S$_6$ and Li$_2$FeS$_2$†</td>
<td>Fe$_{1-x}$S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>None detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>None detected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>FeS$<em>2$ and Fe$</em>{1-x}$S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>FeS$_2$</td>
<td>Fe$_{1-x}$S</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†These phases had formed from a phase that was present at cell operating temperature but which decomposed upon cooling to room temperature.
amounts of Li. The Li composition was determined by AAS. From the open circuit voltage data shown in Figure (2.3), five domains were distinguished, with two corresponding to single phases and the rest being bi-phase. The single phases were distinguished by measuring the potential dependence on the value of $x$ over the region of interest.

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda\text{-phase} & \rightarrow x < 0.02 \\
\gamma\text{-phase} & \rightarrow 1.09 \leq x < 1.5 \\
\nu\text{-phase} & \rightarrow 1.5 < x \leq 2
\end{align*}
\]

In a recent review, Clark [18] points out that given the results of Whittingham the use of data obtained by Brec and Dugast to interpret the FeS$_2$ mechanism is questionable since the materials studied by them were prepared by chemically or electrochemically charging Li$_2$FeS$_2$.

The work on high temperature LiAl/FeS$_2$ electrodes by Tomczuk et al [14] also contradicts the results of Brec and Dugast. The three Li-Fe-S phases reported by Brec and Dugast could not be detected in any of the FeS$_2$ electrodes studied. A number of distinct phases were found in the proposed ranges of compositions for the $\lambda$ and $\nu$-phases. As Tomczuk has pointed out, since no metallographic studies were done it is questionable whether the phases found by Brec and Dugast are indeed single phases.
Fig. 2.3: Open circuit voltage curve for the electrochemical oxidation of \( \text{Li}_x\text{FeS}_2 \) (taken from [79]).
In a study carried out in 1982, Iwakura et al [19], used the techniques of Electron Spectroscopy for Chemical Analysis (ESCA), Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and Ion Micro-probe Analysis (IMA) in studying the physico-chemical properties and morphology of FeS₂ cathodes. The electrolyte consisted of a 1 M solution of LiClO₄ in a 1:1 mixture of propylene carbonate and 1,2-dimethoxyethane. The authors measured OCV's and discharge times for synthesized and natural pyrites that had been pretreated by adsorption of various amounts of O₂ and H₂O. The results indicated that the OCV of Li/FeS₂ cells is determined by the reactions of O₂ and H₂O impurities in the electrolytes with Li, and that at first discharge, the cell voltage decreases as a result of the reactions (Fig. 2.4):

\[
4\text{Li} + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow 4\text{LiOH} \quad (9)
\]
\[
4\text{Li} + \text{O}_2 \longrightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{O} \quad (10)
\]
\[
2\text{Li} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \longrightarrow 2\text{LiOH} + \text{H}_2 \quad (11)
\]

ESCA measurements indicated that the Fe and S species are reduced upon discharge. Using IMA, the Fe and Li ion images showed that the FeS₂ particles are covered with Li during discharge, with the Li content decreasing toward the interior. Their proposed reaction scheme for the discharge of Li/FeS₂ cells in the voltage plateau region of discharge path was:

\[
\text{FeS}_2 + 2\text{Li} \longrightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 \quad (12)
\]
Fig. 2.4: Discharge curves for Li/FeS$_2$ and Li/FeS cells (taken from [79]).
which is the same intermediate postulated earlier by Ikeda et al [20] from IMA and x-ray measurements.

Clark [18] and workers for Union Carbide, have also studied the discharge mechanism for the Li/FeS$_2$ cell. Using chemical means to determine the Li, Fe and S content in discharged and partially discharged cathodes, they compared their analytically derived compositions with the theoretical compositions based on the discharge mechanism:

\[ \text{FeS}_2 + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{FeS} + \text{Li}_2\text{S} \quad (14) \]

\[ \text{FeS} + 2\text{Li}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe}^\circ \text{Li}_2\text{S} \quad (15) \]

Figure (2.5) displays their results showing the relative amounts of intermediates and products as a function of discharge. Large discrepancies, such as the amount of FeS supposedly formed, the observance of metallic Fe in early discharge, and the presence of unreacted FeS$_2$ at 80% discharge, suggest that this mechanism is unlikely. Better agreement between theoretical and actual data is achieved assuming a lithiated intermediate during discharge:

\[ \text{x}\text{e}^- + \text{xLi}^+ + \text{FeS}_2 \xrightarrow{K_1} \text{Li}_x\text{FeS}_2 \quad (16) \]

\[ (4-x)\text{e}^- + (4-x)\text{Li}^+ + \text{Li}_x\text{FeS}_2 \xrightarrow{K_2} 2\text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{Fe}^\circ \quad (17) \]
Fig. 2.5: Concentration curves for Li/FeS$_2$ cell reactants and products. The theoretical concentration curves were calculated using the mechanism based on eqs. (14,15) (taken from [79]).
Fig. 2.6: Concentration curves for Li/FeS$_2$ cell reactants and products. The theoretical concentration curves were calculated using the mechanism based on eqs. (16,17) (taken from [79]).
The best fit to experimental data, shown in Figure (2.6), yields a value of x=1.5. However, this mechanism can not account for the discrepancies in the qualitative amount of Fe⁰ found. Another proposed mechanism suggests the possibility of dual chemical and electrochemical reactions:

\[
\begin{align*}
3\text{FeS}_2 + 6\text{Li}^+ + 6\text{e}^- & \rightarrow 2\text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 + \text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + \text{Fe}^o \\
(\text{electrochemical}) & \quad (18) \\
\text{Li}_2\text{S}_2 + \text{Fe}^o & \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 \\
(\text{chemical}) & \quad (19) \\
3\text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 + 6\text{Li}^+ + 6\text{e}^- & \rightarrow 3\text{Fe}^o + 6\text{Li}_2\text{S} \\
(\text{electrochemical}) & \quad (20)
\end{align*}
\]

A weakness of this proposed mechanism is that the calculated standard potential (E⁰) for the overall discharge of Li₂S₂ to Li₂S is too high to be acceptable. The true nature of the intermediate(s) is not completely understood at this time, but the idea of two competing steps is generally accepted.

Aker and coworkers [21] at Ray-O-Vac Corporation are currently studying the possibilities of an ambient temperature non-aqueous Li/FeS cell. The discharge curve for the Li/FeS system is similar to the Li/FeS₂ system in that it also has two plateau regions (Fig. 2.4). Such a pattern suggests a two-step discharge mechanism, and has led Aker et al to propose a lithiated FeS intermediate:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Li} + \text{FeS} & \rightarrow \text{LiFeS} \\
(21) \\
\text{Li} + \text{LiFeS} & \rightarrow \text{Li}_2\text{S} + \text{Fe}^o \\
(22)
\end{align*}
\]
II.3c $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Studies of Cells

Another technique which appeared to hold out some promise in the study of the cathodes in Li/FeS$_2$ and Li/FeS cells was $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectroscopy.

Clark and coworkers [84] attempted to use $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy in their study of the Li/FeS$_2$ cell system but with rather disappointing results and they did not pursue this avenue of investigation.

The present research was initiated as a joint collaboration between Moli Energy and Simon Fraser University. Preliminary work carried out by J. Aramini in 1983 at SFU suggested that $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy could indeed provide useful information and hence the present investigation was launched. It was initially hoped that experiments could be carried out on the FeS$_2$ and FeS cathodes in situ and cells were specifically designed for this purpose. However it was later found that low temperature (4.2ºK) experiments could provide much useful information and these experiments required removal of the cathodes from the cell.
III. $^{57}\text{Fe}$ MÖSSBAUER OF MAGNETIC MICROCRYSTALS

III.1 Mössbauer Theory

In Mössbauer spectroscopy the interaction between an appropriate nucleus and its electronic environment is probed by carefully modulating the energy of the $\gamma$-ray emitted by a suitable source. The effect of this modulation is observed by determining the change in the transmission of the $\gamma$-radiation through the experimental sample of interest. A typical Mössbauer spectrum displays the intensity of the transmitted radiation as a function of modulation energy, expressed in Doppler energy units of mms$^{-1}$.

The $^{57}\text{Fe}$ nucleus is the most widely studied element in Mössbauer spectroscopy because it has several advantages over other Mössbauer active nuclei. The 14.4keV $\gamma$-ray emitted from the decay of $^{57}\text{Co}$ to $^{57}\text{Fe}$ (Fig. 3.1) following electron capture, has a very narrow energy distribution, or linewidth. The low energy of the $\gamma$-ray results in large percent resonant absorptions. This results in good spectral resolution even at room temperature. The precursor radioactive nuclide ($^{57}\text{Co}$) is inexpensive to make, and has a suitable half life of several months.

A number of parameters characteristic of the sample can be obtained from a spectrum. Among these are:
Fig. 3.1: Simplified energy level scheme showing the decay of radioactive $^{57}$Co to excited state and then ground state $^{57}$Fe.
1. Isomer shift (IS)
2. Quadrupole hyperfine interaction (QS)
3. Magnetic hyperfine interaction (hfs)
4. Area under the resonance curve

The area under a resonance curve can provide information about the recoil-free fraction, $f$ and the relative number of chemically different atoms of the same element which are present. The recoil-free fraction is the probability that a $\gamma$-ray will be absorbed without recoil, and the temperature dependence of $\ln f$ can be used to extract lattice dynamical information from a series of Mössbauer experiments.

III.1a Isomer Shift

The IS arises from the coulombic interaction between the nucleus and the surrounding electrons, and from changes in the size of the nucleus during a $\gamma$-ray absorption. The experimental value for the IS can easily be found from a Mössbauer spectrum as the separation of the resonance line (or the centroid of a multiplet) from zero Doppler velocity. If the same source is used to look at various absorbers of a given Mössbauer nuclide, then the IS will be a linear function of the charge density at the nucleus of the absorber. For $^{57}\text{Fe}$ the IS decreases linearly with increasing s-electron density at the nucleus, so that an increase in the s-electron density will produce a shift of the resonance line to negative velocities. IS values are always
reported with respect to a standard absorber (α-Fe in the case of $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy).

Contributions to the electron density from inner, core shell s-electrons remain constant with changes in chemical environment, so that only changes in valence shell orbital populations are important. The p, d, and f electrons, which have zero density at the nucleus, can still indirectly affect the electron density by screening the outer s-electrons. In the case of $^{57}$Fe, the magnitude of IS is determined mainly by the occupation of the 3d and 4s states. The absolute value of the IS parameter can yield useful information about the formal oxidation state (ferrous or ferric ion) and the spin state (high or low spin).

III.1b Quadrupole Splitting

The QS parameter arises from the interaction between the nuclear quadrupole moment (Q) and the electric field gradient (EFG). The value of Q is a measure of the deviation from spherical symmetry of the nucleus and can vary for different states of excitation. Since Q is a constant for a Mössbauer nuclide in different compounds, under constant conditions, the quadrupole interaction energy will depend then on the EFG. The EFG is a tensor quantity that characterizes the asymmetric electric field due to an asymmetric charge distribution or ligand arrangement. Nuclei in states having a nuclear spin of
$I=0, \frac{1}{2}$ will have a zero quadrupole moment, so that only nuclei in states with $I>\frac{1}{2}$ can interact with an inhomogeneous electric field. Such an interaction will cause the nuclear state to be split into substates $\{I, \pm m_I\}$, where $m_I=(I, I-1, \ldots, -I)$ and is called the nuclear magnetic spin quantum number. These substates will have an energy $E$ characterized by the magnitude of $|m_I|$, each being doubly degenerate. This degeneracy, however, can be removed by the influence of a magnetic field.

Figure (3.2a) illustrates how the first excited nuclear level ($I=\frac{3}{2}$) for a $^{57}$Fe nuclide is split, while the ground state ($I=\frac{1}{2}$) is not. The QS parameter will be the energy difference between these substates, and is reflected in the difference between the positions of the two resonance peaks as shown in Figure (3.2b). The QS can provide information about the electronic structure, bond properties and molecular symmetry. The temperature dependence of the QS can provide a sensitive measure of both changes in bond angles and distances with temperature, and of the thermally driven population of various electronic sublevels of the Mössbauer active atom.

III.1c Magnetic Hyperfine Interactions

Nuclei with spin $I>0$ will have a magnetic dipole moment $\mu$ which can interact with a magnetic field $H$ at the nucleus, be it an internal or an externally applied field. A nuclear Zeeman effect is observed in which each nuclear level is split into
Fig. 3.2a: Quadrupole splitting for a nucleus with $I = \frac{3}{2}$ in the excited state. The electric quadrupole interaction splits the $I = \frac{3}{2}$ level into two sublevels.

Fig. 3.2b: Resultant theoretical Mössbauer absorption spectrum that would be produced by the two possible transitions between the ground state $|\frac{1}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$, and the two excited states ($|\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{1}{2}\rangle$ and $|\frac{3}{2}, \pm \frac{3}{2}\rangle$). The quadrupole splitting parameter $\Delta$ and the isomer shift $\delta$ are shown in the spectrum.
Only \( \gamma \)-ray transitions which obey the selection rules, \( \Delta I = 1 \), \( \Delta m_I = 0, \pm 1 \) are allowed. The six possible transitions between \( I = \frac{1}{2} \) and \( I = \frac{3}{2} \) for \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) are shown in Figure (3.3a). The intensities for each transition will differ since the relative transition probabilities vary according to the states involved. The intensity pattern 3:2:1:1:2:3 is characteristic of iron nuclei which possess an internal magnetic field. In most cases, the nuclear state is simultaneously perturbed by both magnetic dipole and electric quadrupole interactions. This results in unequal spacing between the sublevels of the excited state (Fig. 3.3b).

**III.2 Superparamagnetism**

Mössbauer spectroscopic studies of composite materials have in many cases revealed the presence of magnetic microcrystals. An understanding of these phenomena as well as their influence on Mössbauer spectra is essential in applications of Mössbauer spectroscopy in materials science. In addition to its sensitivity to relaxation effects, Mössbauer spectroscopy has several other advantages in studies of microcrystalline samples, and it has therefore become an important technique for the study of magnetic microcrystals.

There exists in small particles of magnetically ordered material, a critical size below which the particle consists of a single magnetic domain. This is due to the fact that in a
Fig. 3.3a: Magnetic dipole splitting with and without a small electric quadrupole perturbation ($V_{zz}>0$). For $V_{zz}<0$, the sublevels $|+\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ and $|-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ are lowered while the $|+\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ and $|-\frac{1}{2}\rangle$ sublevels are raised.

Fig. 3.3b: The resultant theoretical Mössbauer absorption spectrum for the six possible transitions. The ground state splitting ($\Delta E_m(g)$) and the excited state splitting ($\Delta E_m(e)$) are shown.
particle smaller than the critical size, the increase in magnetic energy due to the formation of domain walls is larger than the energy loss obtained by splitting the particle into smaller magnetic domains.

The exchange interaction between neighbouring ions in the single domain particle results in the coupling of spins, which may result in ferromagnetism, ferrimagnetism, or antiferromagnetism depending on the relative orientation of the spins. The entire spin system can be rotated in space without changing the exchange energy providing the rotation is coherent (i.e. the relative orientation between spins is not changed).

Other interactions lead to a dependence of the total magnetic energy on the direction of the exchange-coupled spin system, such that certain directions will correspond to a minimum in the total magnetic energy. These low energy directions called the easy directions, are separated by anisotropy energy barriers. The magnitude of these energy barriers will be the sum of contributions due to interactions from the crystal lattice, shape, stress, magnetic moment and surface of the particle. Each interaction will have an associated anisotropy constant, with the sum being the magnetic anisotropy constant.

In the absence of an applied magnetic field the magnetization direction in large magnetically ordered crystals is along an easy direction. However, since the anisotropy energy...
decreases with a decrease in particle size, for sufficiently small particles (<100 Å) the thermal energy will be comparable to the anisotropy energy. The magnetization will then fluctuate randomly between the easy directions and such fluctuations are called superparamagnetism. There are also small fluctuations about any one of the easy directions and these are called collective magnetic excitations. The blocking temperature $T_B$ is defined as the temperature below which the particle exhibits magnetic ordering. At $T_B$ the height of the anisotropy energy barriers $E(T_B)$ will be comparable in magnitude to the thermal energy $kT_B$. This temperature is not uniquely defined but is related to the time scale of the experimental method. For most systems studied the magnetic anisotropy can be considered uniaxial with a total magnetic energy described by the expression:

$$E(\theta) = KV\sin^2\theta$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)$$

where $K$ is the magnetic anisotropy constant, $V$ is the volume of the particle, and $\theta$ is the angle between the magnetization direction and the easy direction. The two energy minima at $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi$ are separated by an anisotropy energy barrier equal to $KV$. The superparamagnetic relaxation frequency ($\tau^{-1}$) is the rate at which the magnetization vector fluctuates between easy directions, and was first derived by Néel [22].
\[ \tau = \tau_0 \exp\{KV/kT\} \]  

where \( \tau_0 \) is of the order of \( 10^{-10} - 10^{-12} \) s, \( k \) is Boltzmann's constant, and \( T \) is the temperature. Later it was shown by Brown [23,24,25] that for a particle with uniaxial anisotropy energy and magnetic energy given by (23), the SP relaxation time for \( KV/kT \geq 1 \) was given by:

\[ \tau = \frac{M_s \pi^2}{k\gamma_0} \left[ \frac{KV}{kT} \right]^\frac{1}{2} \exp\left[ \frac{KV}{kT} \right] \]  

where \( M_s \) is the \textit{saturation magnetization}, and \( \gamma_0 \) is the gyromagnetic ratio of the electron.

### III.3 Effect of Superparamagnetic Relaxation on Mössbauer Spectra

The time scale for the observation of magnetically split Mössbauer spectra is approximately given by the nuclear Larmor precession time, \( \tau_L \), of the nuclear magnetic moment. For \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy a six line magnetic hyperfine spectrum will be observed when \( \tau \gg \tau_L \), and when \( \tau \ll \tau_L \) then a paramagnetic spectrum is observed with one or two lines. In the intermediate range where \( \tau \approx \tau_L \), complex spectra with broadened lines can be observed. In Mössbauer studies of magnetic microcrystals the blocking temperature \( T_B \) is often defined as
the temperature at which $\tau$ equals the nuclear Larmor precession time of the nucleus in its excited state. Wickman [26] calculated the theoretical $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra for a uniaxial particle at different relaxation times and found that the magnetic splitting is resolved when $\tau \gtrsim 2.5 \times 10^{-9}$s. This value can be used for an empirical definition of $T_B$.

Samples will usually contain a distribution of particle sizes and magnetic anisotropy constants. Since $\tau$ is sensitive to $K_V$ (eq. (24,25)) there will be a distribution in $K_V$ values, and hence a distribution in relaxation times resulting in line broadening. In many cases a small fraction of the particles will have relaxation times within the critical region ($10^{-8}-10^{-9}$s), so the spectra will be the sum of a magnetically split component and a paramagnetic component.

Mørup and Topsøe [27] have shown that collective magnetic excitations can influence Mössbauer spectra far below the $T_B$. Since the time scale for these fluctuations is shorter than the Mössbauer observation time ($\tau_L$), the magnetic splitting of the Mössbauer spectrum is proportional to the average value of the hyperfine field:

$$H_{hf}(V,T) = H_{hf}(V=\infty,T) \langle \cos \theta \rangle_{\tau}$$

(26)

where $H_{hf}(V=\infty,T)$ is the hfs of the bulk crystal (absence of collective magnetic excitations). The term $\langle \cos \theta \rangle_{\tau}$ is the thermal average of $\cos \theta$, the angle which the magnetization
vector makes with the easy direction. In the low temperature limit,

$$\cos \theta \approx 1 - \frac{kT}{2KV}$$

(27)

Thus magnetic splitting in small particles will be lower than the magnetic splitting found in bulk crystals by a factor of $kT/2KV$.

For particles with three-dimensional magnetic anisotropy the magnetic energy is expressed as a function of the direction cosines $u_x, u_y$ and $u_z$:

$$E = E(u_x, u_y, u_z)$$

(28)

The easy directions can be defined as the $z$ direction, and by choosing the $x$ and $y$ directions so that $(\partial^2 E/\partial u_x \partial u_y) = 0$, then for $KV/kT \geq 1$ [28]:

$$H_{hf}(V,T) = H_{hf}(V=\infty,T) \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2}kT \left[ \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial u_x^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\partial^2 E}{\partial u_y^2} \end{array} \right]^{-1} \right]$$

(29)

Since the magnetic energy $E(u_x, u_y, u_z)$ is proportional to the volume $V$, eq. (29) can also be written as:

$$H_{hf}(V,T) = H_{hf}(V=\infty,T) [1 - kT/2KV]$$

(30)
III.4 Application of an External Magnetic Field

Mössbauer spectra of systems exhibiting superparamagnetism are very sensitive to magnetic fields above the blocking temperature $T_B$, and can be used to obtain the mean particle-size through studies with applied external magnetic fields. In the presence of an external magnetic field, $B$, applied along the symmetry axis, eq. (23) must include an energy term for the interaction of the magnetic moment of the particle with the applied magnetic field $B$,

$$E(\theta) = KV\sin^2 \theta - B\mu \cos \theta$$

(32)

where the magnetic moment $\mu$ of the particle is equal to the product of the saturation magnetization $M_s$, and the volume of the particle. If the applied field is large enough ($B \geq 2K/M_s$) then the magnetic energy will only have one minimum (Fig. 3.4). The magnetization can then be considered to be fixed in one of the easy directions, and this is the situation in large, magnetically ordered crystals.
Fig. 3.4: Magnetic energy as given by eq. (32) for various values of $\frac{B M_S}{K}$ (taken from [56]).
The magnetization of a ferromagnetic microcrystal, averaged over a time that is large compared to the time scale of the fluctuations, is given by:

\[
\langle M \rangle = M_S \frac{\int_0^\pi \exp \left[ - \frac{E(\theta)}{kT} \right] \cos \theta \sin \theta \, d\theta}{\int_0^\pi \exp \left[ - \frac{E(\theta)}{kT} \right] \sin \theta \, d\theta}
\] (33)

When the effect of the anisotropy is negligible compared to the influence of the applied field, eq. (33) reduces to a classic Langevin function.

\[
\langle M \rangle = M_S \left[ \frac{\mu B}{kT} \right] = M_S \coth \left[ \frac{\mu B}{kT} \right] - M_S \frac{kT}{\mu B}
\] (34)

The hyperfine field is proportional to \( \langle M \rangle \) so that the observed magnetic splitting can be written:

\[
H_{hf}(V,T,B) = B + H_{hf}(V=\infty,T) \left[ \frac{\mu B}{kT} \right]
\] (35)

In the limit of low and high fields the Langevin function is
given by the simple expressions.

\[ L \left[ \frac{\mu B}{kT} \right] = \frac{\mu B}{kT} \quad \frac{\mu B}{kT} \ll 1 \quad (36) \]

\[ L \left[ \frac{\mu B}{kT} \right] = 1 - \frac{kT}{\mu B} \quad \frac{\mu B}{kT} \gg 1 \quad (37) \]

The relative intensities of the Mössbauer lines depend on the direction of the magnetic field at the nucleus relative to the γ-ray direction. If the magnetic field is parallel or perpendicular to the γ-ray direction, the relative areas of the six lines of a \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) spectrum are given by 3:0:1:1:0:3 and 3:4:1:1:4:3 respectively.

III.5 The Demagnetizing Field in Small Particles

In a ferromagnetic particle the arrangement of the atomic magnetic dipoles can result in uncompensated magnetic poles at the surface, and these create a demagnetizing field, \( H_D \). This field adds to the magnetic hyperfine field at the Mössbauer nucleus, and it therefore contributes to the magnetic splitting of the Mössbauer spectrum. In multidomain, or large particles, the domain boundaries are arranged in such a way so as to minimize the total magnetic energy, and hence the demagnetizing field is negligible.
The contribution of the demagnetizing field to the splitting of the nuclear states, is significant for magnetic microcrystals. For single domain systems, the uncompensated poles at the particle surface will create a demagnetizing field in the interior of the particle. \( N \) is a demagnetizing coefficient which depends on the shape of the particle and the magnetization direction. For spherical particles, the demagnetizing coefficient would be equal to \( \frac{5}{3} \pi \). The contribution of shape anisotropy to the anisotropy barrier is a direct result of the demagnetizing field, and is reflected by an increase or decrease in the magnetic splitting of the Mössbauer spectrum.

Knudsen and Mørup [29] have found the effects of the demagnetizing field is most noticeable when the magnetization direction is determined by other contributions to the magnetic anisotropy energy constant. In metallic iron the demagnetizing field increases the magnetic splitting of the Mössbauer spectrum because \( H_D \) and the hyperfine field are both antiparallel to the magnetization. As a result, the magnetic field acting at the nuclei in a spherical single-domain particle of \( \alpha \)-Fe should be larger than the bulk value by \( \approx 7 \text{kG} \) [29,30].

\[
H_D = -N M_S
\] (38)
111.6 Surface Effects

Magnetism at surfaces and interfaces of 3d transition metals has received considerable experimental and theoretical interest in the past. The reduced symmetry and the lower coordination number at the surface or interface may induce new magnetic phenomenae. Freeman and coworkers [31,32] have calculated spin-dependent energy dispersion, electronic density of states, and spin-densities for a 7-layer Fe (001) film. Their results predict an enhancement of the magnetic moment per Fe atom of ~32% at the clean Fe (001) surface as compared to the bulk. However it has been shown by Ohnishi et al [32] that contributions to \( H_{\text{int}} \) from polarized bulk conduction electrons \( (H_{\text{ce}}) \) are different at the free Fe (001) surface than the those in the bulk, since \( H_{\text{ce}} \) changes sign from negative in the interior to positive at the topmost surface layer. This results in a predicted reduction in the magnitude of \( H_{\text{int}}(T=0) \) by ~32% (relative to the bulk) of the first layer Fe atoms of a clean Fe (001) surface. The total-spin-density map for a 7-layer Fe (001) film with a clean surface is shown in Figure (3.5). The positive spin-density extends far into the vacuum region (the wave function of the surface atoms becoming more atomic like) resulting in a large increase of the Fe moment at the surface. Covering the Fe (001) surface with Ag atoms results in an increased spin-density around the Fe atom, and a lower enhancement of ~11% is predicted for the magnetic moment of the interface Fe atom [33]. As the Ag atom restores the negative
Fig. 3.5: Self-consistent spin-density map of seven-layer Fe (001) on the (110) plane. Full lines indicate positive spin density, while dashed lines indicate negative spin density. Left: clean Fe (001) surface. Right: Topmost Fe atom replaced by an Ag atom (taken from [33]).
valence contribution at the interface, a reduction of only \(~7\%\) is predicted for the $H_{\text{int}}$ of the interface Fe atoms.

Most of the Mössbauer studies of surface magnetism have been made using thin films of $\alpha$-Fe in UHV, and covered by different metallic or insulating materials. These effects have been reviewed by Shinjo [34], Topsøe et al [35], Walker [36], and Korecki [37]. As can be seen from Table (3.1,2), the magnitude of the surface hyperfine field depends on the nature of the covering material. In many of the film studies, the surface layer was prepared in such a way that all the surface atoms have identical environments. In small particles, however, a large number of different surface sites will exist, so a distribution in surface hyperfine fields is usually observed.

III.7 Mössbauer Studies of Superparamagnetism

Since the first observation of superparamagnetic relaxation using Mössbauer spectroscopy over 30 years ago [50], the technique of Mössbauer spectroscopy has been widely used in studies of superparamagnetism to give information on magnetic fluctuations, and thereby on magnetic anisotropy and particle size. Superparamagnetic behaviour has been observed in a variety of materials, ranging from biological systems [51] and corrosion products [52], to geological [53] and lunar samples [54]. A number of excellent reviews have been written and the interested reader is referred to these [55-58].
### Table 3.1

**Surface Magnetic Hyperfine Fields**

*Observed For Fe° Films at 4.2°K*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Surface Fe Environment</th>
<th>(&lt;H_{\text{surface}})&gt; (^\text{a})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Keune et al [38]</td>
<td>2-10Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/Cu interface</td>
<td>290kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/Cu interface</td>
<td>306kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lauer et al [39]</td>
<td>105Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/Cu interface</td>
<td>290kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keune et al [38]</td>
<td>5Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/Ag interface</td>
<td>358kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owens et al [40]</td>
<td>60Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/Ag interface</td>
<td>349kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korecki et al [41]</td>
<td>21 layer Fe (110) film</td>
<td>Fe/Ag interface</td>
<td>350kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(2nd layer)</td>
<td>347kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3rd layer)</td>
<td>345kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hine et al [42]</td>
<td>8Å thick Fe (111) film</td>
<td>Fe/Sb interface</td>
<td>320kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stern et al [43]</td>
<td>50 layer Fe (110) film</td>
<td>Fe/MnF₂ interface (1st 2 layers)</td>
<td>369kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(1st 3 layers)</td>
<td>362kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droste et al [44]</td>
<td>50 layer Fe (110) film</td>
<td>Fe/MgF₂ interface (1st 2 layers)</td>
<td>358kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50 layer Fe (110) film</td>
<td>Fe/MgO interface (1st 2 layers)</td>
<td>330kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shinjo et al [45]</td>
<td>SP 8Å thick Fe film</td>
<td>Fe/MgO interface</td>
<td>380kG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\)Reported w.r.t \(\alpha\)-Fe at RT.
Table 3.2

Surface Magnetic Hyperfine Fields
Observed For Fe° Particles at 4.2°K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Morphology</th>
<th>Surface Fe Environment</th>
<th>(\langle H_{\text{surface}} \rangle^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dormann et al [46]</td>
<td>15-200Å, granular Fe in amorphous Al₂O₃ matrix</td>
<td>Fe/Al₂O₃ interface</td>
<td>370kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15-200Å, granular Fe in amorphous ZrO₂ matrix</td>
<td>Fe/ZrO₂ interface</td>
<td>410kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dormann et al [47]</td>
<td>60-75Å, granular Fe in amorphous SiO₂ matrix</td>
<td>Fe/SiO₂ interface</td>
<td>380kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>60-75Å, micro-crystalline Fe in amorphous SiO₂ matrix</td>
<td>Fe/SiO₂ interface</td>
<td>367kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furubayashi et al [48]</td>
<td>20Å Fe with oil and amino radicals</td>
<td>Fe/amino radical, oil and amino radicals</td>
<td>404kG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziethen et al [49]</td>
<td>13±2, 16±3Å, microclusters in Faujasite-X zeolite</td>
<td>Fe/zeolite interface</td>
<td>380kG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Reported w.r.t α-Fe at RT.

\(^b\)Alkynapthalene containing polybutenylsuccinopolyamine.

III.8 Particle Size Determination

Studies of superparamagnetic particles in the presence of applied magnetic fields can be very useful since particle-size information can be obtained directly. Above the blocking
temperature, magnetic saturation can be obtained in moderate magnetic fields even at room temperature (Fig. 3.6). According to eqs. (32,37) the hyperfine splitting of a superparamagnetic particle is restored for \( \mu_B/kT > 1 \).

\[
|H_{\text{obs}} - B_{\text{appl}}| \approx H_{hf} \left[ 1 - \frac{kT}{\mu B_{\text{appl}}} \right]
\]  

(39)

A plot of \( |H_{\text{obs}} - B_{\text{appl}}| \) as a function of \( B_{\text{appl}}^{-1} \) should give a straight line with slope \( H_{hf}(kT/\mu) \) and intercept \( H_{hf} \). If the saturation magnetization is known (equal for example, to the value for the bulk compound), then the average particle volume can be obtained \( (\mu=M_{S}V) \). Such an approach for determination of the average particle volume has been used for samples of Fe₃O₄ [60], silica-supported Ni particles doped with ⁵⁷Co [61], amorphous frozen ferrofluids [62], carbon-supported α-Fe particles [63], and FeOOH particles found in ion exchange membranes [64].

If collective magnetic excitations are present in the particles studied, then the particle size can be approximated using eqs. (26,27):

\[
\frac{\text{measured splitting}}{\text{bulk splitting}} \approx 1 - \frac{kT}{2KV}
\]  

(40)

This method of particle-size determination has been used by
**Fig. 3.6:** Mössbauer spectra of synthetic feroxyhite ($\delta'$-FeOOH) obtained at 298°K. The magnetic field was applied perpendicular to the γ-ray direction. (from [59])
Mørup and Topsøe [65,66] for MgO-supported and unsupported Fe₃O₄ particles, by Raupp and Delgass [67] for silica-supported Fe and NiFe catalysts, and by Phillips et al [68] for graphite-supported Fe particles.

The blocking temperature can be thought of as corresponding to that point at which the relaxation time due to fluctuations about the easy axis is equal to the nuclear Larmor precession time.

\[ \tau_L = \frac{\hbar}{\gamma_0 \mu H_{\text{obs}}, T_B} = \tau_0 \exp \left\{ \frac{K V}{k T_B} \right\} \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

A value for \( T_B \) can be obtained experimentally by \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer measurements at various temperatures, and is generally defined as the temperature at which the spectral area due to the superparamagnetic fraction is equal to the paramagnetic fraction. If a value for the magnetic anisotropy constant, \( K \) is available (from other experiments, or literature) then the average particle volume can be estimated. This of course is a crude estimate since the value of \( K \) itself is a function of many factors such as the nature of the particle-supported interface, and the actual particle volume. Johnson and Glasby [53] have estimated the average volume of FeOOH microcrystals found in geological samples from the ocean floor, and Dalton et al [69] also used this approach to determine the average size of Fe₂O₃ crystallites contained in high alumina cement.
Analysis of the lineshape using theoretical models and assumptions regarding values for $K$ can yield mean values for particle-size distributions. Such analyses have been performed by a number of people with some success [68,70-74].

III.9 Superferromagnetism

If there is an exchange interaction between the microcrystals (for example, if the particles are sufficiently close to each other), then in such a magnetically coupled system the nucleus will experience an additional hyperfine field. The two stable magnetic energy positions at $\theta=\pi$ and $\theta=0$ then have different energies. As a result, even in zero applied field, the magnetization relaxation frequencies between the two easy directions will not be equal ($\tau_+ \neq \tau_-$) and must be described by at least two dwell times. Magnetization fluctuations of such type have been named superferromagnetism. This concept was introduced independently by Mørup et al [75], and Rancourt et al [76] with different interpretations regarding the line broadening.

Brown [25] considered the situation of a small uniaxial ferromagnetic particle in the presence of an externally applied magnetic field $B$, applied along the uniaxial direction, and derived an expression for the relaxation time:
where \( h_0 = H_{\text{eff}} M_s / 2K \), \( \alpha = KV / kT \), and \( A = M_s \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} / K \gamma_0 \). The effective field \( H_{\text{eff}} \) will be the sum of any external applied field and fields from other contributions (e.g., interaction between particles, particle and support, stress and shape anisotropy, etc.), and for \( h_0 = 0 \), eq. (42) reduces to (25). The high-energy barrier approximation (37) is valid when \( KV / kT > 1 \), and \( h_0 < 1 \). According to Brown, the blocking temperature will be the temperature at which \( \tau_+ \approx \tau_- \), and for \(^{57}\text{Fe} \) Mössbauer, this occurs when the lines corresponding to the transitions \( \pm^3 \rightarrow \pm^1 \) (lines 1 and 6) disappear.

Rancourt et al [76, 77] defines a "Mössbauer window" to be a range of relaxation times \( 10^{-1} \tau_m \geq \tau_{\text{window}} \geq 10^{-3} \tau_m \), where \( \tau_m \) is the Mössbauer measurement time (\( \tau_m \approx 2 \times 10^{-8} \) s), and is related to the lifetime of the \( I = \frac{3}{2} \) nuclear spin state. At low temperatures (\( \tau_+ > \tau_m \)), the magnetization vector will be effectively stopped during the Mössbauer measurement time, and magnetic splitting is observed with a reduction in the hyperfine field.

\[
H_{\text{obs}} = \frac{(\tau_+ - \tau_-)}{(\tau_+ + \tau_-)} H_{\text{bulk}} \tag{43}
\]

At higher temperatures (\( \tau_+ < \tau_m \)), a single line or a doublet will be observed due to the onset of fast superferromagnetic relaxation. It is still possible to see a hyperfine field at
these temperatures if the ratio $\tau_-/\tau_+$, is sufficiently different than 1. When the relaxation times fall within the Mössbauer window ($\tau_+ \sim \tau_m$), then transitional type of spectra are observed. As pointed out by Rancourt, many authors have mistaken these transitional type of Mössbauer spectra at $T_m$ as corresponding to the region of $T_B$. Calculations have shown that depending on the value of $A$, $T_m$ can be quite a small fraction of $T_B$ [76]. Using this model, Rancourt et al [77] were the first to determine the effective anisotropy field ($h_o$) for intra-zeolitic $\alpha$-$Fe_2O_3$ particles.

Mørup [74], using a modified Weiss mean-field theory for interacting particles, obtained an expression for the observed hyperfine field as a function of temperature.

\[
\langle H_{obs}(T) \rangle = H_{\text{bulk}}(T) \left[ \frac{3T_P}{T} \left( \frac{H_{\text{bulk}}(T)}{H_{\text{bulk}}(T_P)} \right)^2 \frac{\langle H_{obs}(T) \rangle}{H_{\text{bulk}}(T)} \right] \tag{44}
\]

The transition temperature $T_P$ is the state at which $\langle M \rangle \rightarrow 0$, and is analogous to $T_m$ in Rancourt's interpretation. Fits based on eq. (44) for the temperature dependence of the average magnetic hyperfine field of $\alpha$-FeOOH particles, show a remarkable agreement with experimental results [75].

Mørup et al [78] have shown that for a system of magnetically interacting SP particles in the presence of an externally applied magnetic B field, the normalized hyperfine
field \( b(T,B) = \langle H_{\text{obs}} \rangle / H_{\text{bulk}} \) can be described by:

\[
b(T,B) = 1 - \frac{kT}{\mu(T)[B + B_i(T,B)]} \tag{45}
\]

where \( B_i(T,B) \) is the effective contribution from neighbouring interacting particles to the total internal hyperfine field of the particle. The magnetic coupling may be estimated from the field dependence of the magnetic hyperfine splitting above \( T_p \) if the magnetic anisotropy is negligible. This can be done by simply rewriting eq. (45).

\[
[1 - b(T,B)]^{-1} = \frac{\mu(T)}{kT} [B + B_i(T,B)] \tag{46}
\]

A plot of \([1 - b(T,B)]^{-1}\) as a function of applied magnetic field \( B \), will result in a straight line with slope \( \mu(T)/kT \) allowing an estimate of the mean particle volume. The magnetic coupling can be calculated from the y-intercept \( \mu(T)B_i(T,B)/kT \).
IV. $^{57}$FE MÖSSBAUER SPECTROSCOPY OF THE LI/FE$S$ AND LI/FE$S_2$

BATTERY SYSTEMS

$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy has the advantage that it is a non-destructive technique. Thus an in situ study of iron sulfide cathodes during discharge would in principle yield information concerning the change in the environment of the iron atoms with the extent of lithiation during battery discharge. The battery cells supplied by MoLi Energy shown in Figure (4.1), were specially designed so that such a study can be carried out.

IV.1 Previous Studies of Battery Cells Using Mössbauer Spectroscopy

The concept of Mössbauer spectroscopy as an analytical tool for battery research is not a new one, and has been applied before in the past. It has been used as early as 1974, when Kennedy and Sammells [79] used $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer to study the reduction process in Na|β-alumina|Na$_2$O·11(Fe$_x$Al$_{2-x}$O$_3$) cathodes where 0.9 < x < 1.2. Later, Melendres and Tani [80] (ANL) obtained $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer measurements of some solid state preparations of compounds which were previously found in discharged cathodes of high temperature Li/Fe$S_2$ cells. This technique was also applied by Jacobson and McCandlish in 1979 [81], in an in situ study of the Li$_x$KFe$S_2$ (0 ≤ x ≤ 1) system, and in the following year by Eibschutz [82] (Bell Laboratories), to study Li/VS$_2$ cathodes. In this study, some Fe was substituted
Fig. 4.1: Cross-section of a Li/FeS₂ cell designed for in situ ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer experiments. (1) FeS₂ cathode pressure-wetted with LiAsF₆-PC or LiClO₄-PC electrolyte, on Al foil (2) Li metal anode (3) Be metal (low Z window) (4) Polypropylene separator (5) Polypropylene casing.
for V, and thus used as a probe to give indirect information about the valence state of V as a function of discharge. In a recent study, Morzilli and Scrosati [83] report the use of Mössbauer spectroscopy for studying Li\(\text{LiClO}_4\)-PC|Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) cells. The Mössbauer spectra obtained for the cathode at various stages of discharge were quite helpful in clarifying the discharge mechanism.

IV.2 S.F.U. Research on Li/FeS and Li/FeS\(_2\) Batteries

Preliminary investigations on the Li/FeS\(_2\) cell used in this study, were first carried out by Dr. C.H.W. Jones, Dr. D. Sharma, and J. Aramini in the summer of 1983. The RT \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer spectra was measured \textit{in situ}, for a cell at various stages of discharge and recharge. A very broad absorption peak at near-zero velocity appeared in the spectra at early stages of discharge and in all the spectra for the duration of discharge. A phase exhibiting magnetic hfs appeared in the last 25% of discharge (Fig. 4.2) with broad peak positions similar to that of elemental iron. During recharge of the cell, the presence of Fe\(^0\), in the spectra decreased with rechargement, while the broad singlet remained in the spectra up to full recharge. It was concluded from the study, that \(^{57}\text{Fe}\) Mössbauer could be used as a feasible monitor of phase changes occurring in the cathode during discharge, although low temperature measurements would be required in order to identify all the components in the cathode.
Fig. 4.2: In situ RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS$_2$ cell between stages of 75% and full discharge.
A similar observation has been reported by Clark and coworkers [84], who also tried using $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy to determine the presence of metallic iron. They did note the change in the Mössbauer spectra of unreacted FeS$_2$ as the cathode became fully discharged, as was observed by the S.P.U. group, but could not detect any magnetic hyperfine structure. As a result, they felt that the technique was not a viable one, and did not pursue the use of Mössbauer spectroscopy any further.

The presence of an appreciable amount of FeS$_2$ in their RT spectra for a cathode discharged to 75% of full discharge (Fig. 4.3) would indicate poor cell utilization.
Fig. 4.3: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum of a FeS$_2$ cathode removed from a cell that was discharged to 75% of full discharge (taken from [84]).
V. EXPERIMENTAL

V.1 Mössbauer Spectroscopy

The schematic diagram for the Mössbauer experimental arrangement is shown in Figure (5.1). All Mössbauer equipment used for the duration of the study had been obtained from the Harwell Mössbauer group. The MWG 200 Waveform Generator simultaneously sends pulses to operate the multi-channel analyzer while providing a waveform to drive the servo-amplifier. The MSA 200 Servo-amplifier is an amplifier designed to suit the Vibrator MV 200. The output from the velocity transducer in the MV 200 is compared with a suitably attenuated signal from the waveform generator, and the amplified difference used to drive the vibrator. The waveform consists of a linear ramp, during which the vibrator executes a constant acceleration motion. Positive velocities correspond to the source moving towards the absorber.

The 14.4 keV γ-ray signals were detected using a Kr/CO₂ proportional counter (Reuter Stokes RSG-61 and RSG-61-M1) powered by a Fluke 413C dc supply. The detector signals were then fed through a Canberra 1406A preamplifier, amplified and shaped by an Ortec 440A amplifier and selected by an Ortec 406A timing single-channel analyzer (SCA). The drive and counting electronics were interfaced with the multi-channel analyzer (Nuclear Data 66 and 510). Data was collected in 256 channels of
Fig. 5.2: Schematic diagram of the Mössbauer experiment.
the 4096 channels available in the MCA. An IBM PC which was interfaced with the MCA facilitated data manipulation and storage.

Throughout the duration of the experiments, sources of varying strength were used. The initial $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded using a 6.2 mCi $^{57}$Co source. Later spectra were recorded using a 22.0 mCi and then a 27.4 mCi $^{57}$Co source. All sources were diffused into 0.006 mm Rhodium foils, and were produced by the New England Nuclear Corporation.

The absorber sample thicknesses ranged from 32 to 48 mg cm$^{-2}$. Samples were sealed in teflon holders under an inert atmosphere and all openings on the sample holders were masked with vacuum grease. The sample holder was then wrapped in paraffin film, and covered with masking tape. A teflon holder employing an oil ring seal design was used in later experiments.

Low temperature $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer measurements were carried out at 77.4K and 4.2K in a liquid helium cryostat (Harwell MHC 200). The PVC outer jacket of the cryostat (Fig. 5.2 and 5.3) was later replaced by a one-piece stainless steel jacket designed in our laboratory. The boil-off of the liquid He was sufficiently low, so that a single three litre filling would last three days.

A Varian V-3800 electromagnet was used for $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer measurements in an external magnetic field. The homogeneity of the field across the 3½" pole gap was better than 0.05%, and B values as high as 1.6T could be achieved. The externally applied
The figure shows an exploded section of the cryostat, which seals as follows:

The \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly and the Lower Shell are sealed to the Outer Shell with "O" Rings.

The He Tank Assembly is sealed to the \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly by a piston "O" Ring Seal.

The He Tank Assembly and the \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly have a greased metal to metal contact.

The \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly, Outer Shield and Lower Shield have greased metal to metal contacts and are screwed together with twelve screws.

Insulation

The He Tank Assembly is super insulated on the outside with aluminized mylar.

The \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly and Shields are super insulated on the outside with Aluminium foil.

Windows

Mylar windows are fitted to He Tank Assembly and Lower Shell.

The Lower Shield has a greased-on aluminium foil window.

Vibration Suppression

Both the He Tank Assembly and \( \text{N}_2 \) Tank Assembly are braced to each other and also to the Outer Shell with a network of cotton threads to suppress vibration.

\textbf{Fig. 5.2: Exploded section of the Harwell MHC 200 cryostat.}
Fig. 5.3: Experimental arrangement for low temperature Mössbauer showing the transducer mounted in cryostat.
field was perpendicular to the direction of the γ-rays, and field values were measured using an nmr system. A small electromagnet with adjustable pole gap was also used to apply moderate magnetic fields in some of the experiments. The field values were measured using a gaussmeter.

V.2 Iron Pyrite Lithiation

Lithiation of iron pyrite was achieved by adding an appropriate amount of n-butyl lithium in hexane to a sample of FeS₂ (<40μm mesh pyrite), or FeS in a Schlenck tube, and stirring for several days at 25°C under purified dry Argon [85]. The supernatant solution was removed and analyzed for unreacted n-BuLi by either the Gilman double titration procedure [86], or by titration using a 1,3-diphenylacetone p-tosylhydrazone in THF as an indicator [87]. The solid was washed several times with dry hexane and dried under vacuum.

V.3 Synthesis of Li₂FeS₂

Li₂FeS₂ was prepared by heating a mixture of lithium sulfide and iron sulfide at 800°C [16,17,80]. An intimate mixture of

\[
\text{FeS} + \text{Li}_2\text{S} \xrightarrow{800\degree C} \text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2
\]

5 mmoles of FeS and Li₂S (98% purity) was prepared in a glove
bag under prepurified Ar, and transferred to a graphite crucible contained in a quartz Carius tube. The quartz tube and graphite crucible had been previously heated to \( \approx 950^\circ C \) under high vacuum to remove any impurities. The Carius tube was evacuated \( (10^{-3} \text{ torr}) \), sealed, heated slowly to \( 800 \pm 5^\circ C \) for 3 days in a tube furnace, and then slowly cooled. The heating and cooling steps were each carried out over a period of 4-5 days. The temperature was measured using an Al-Cr thermocouple which had been calibrated with a digital thermometer.

V.4 Synthesis of \( \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4 \)

\( \text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4 \) was prepared by two different methods. The first, involved heating a stoichiometric mixture of iron sulfide, iron disulfide, and lithium sulfide at \( 450^\circ C \) [88].

\[
3\text{FeS} + \text{FeS}_2 + 3\text{Li}_2\text{S} \xrightarrow{450^\circ C} 2\text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4
\]

An intimate mixture of 1.1482 g of FeS, 522.3 mg of FeS\(_2\), and 600 mg of Li\(_2\)S was prepared and then pressed into a disc at a pressure of 280 MN/m\(^2\) under Ar in a glove bag. The pellet was then placed into a graphite crucible inside a quartz Carius tube that had been previously heated to \( 950^\circ C \) under a high vacuum for several hours. The Carius tube was evacuated, sealed, heated slowly to \( 450 \pm 5^\circ C \) for 140 hrs, and then slowly cooled. The heating and cooling steps were carried out over a period of 32
hrs, and 21 hrs. The pellet was then ground into a powder, pelletized and the procedure used in the first heating repeated, except that the temperature was maintained at 450°C for 112 hrs. A small sample of the mixture was removed after each heating step, and $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer measurements used as a criterion as to whether the mixture would benefit from further heating.

The second preparation for Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ involved heating a mixture of lithium carbonate and sulfur (both in excess) with

$$\text{4Fe + 4Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + 9\text{S} \xrightarrow{800°C, 280 \text{ atm}} 2\text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4 + \text{Li}_2\text{CO}_3 + 4\text{CO}_2$$ (49)

iron powder at 800°C. An intimate mixture of 1.0000 g of fine Fe powder, 6.0000 g of S powder, and 2.6732 g of Li$_2$CO$_3$ powder was prepared, and pelletized into 127 x 6 mm discs with a pressure of 280 MN/m$^2$. The pellets were then placed into a quartz tube. This was found to be the best vessel for holding the molten solution, in that minimal fusion to the walls occurred during the cooling period. The quartz tube was placed into a graphite crucible contained in a quartz furnace tube and heated $\approx$50°C/hr under prepurified dry Ar to 805 ± 5°C for 1 hr, then cooled slowly. The quartz/graphite tube arrangement was pretreated as described earlier. The reaction was carried out in a single-zone vertical tube furnace while the heating and cooling steps were 16 and 19 hrs respective.
V.5 Sample Annealing

A sample of ~300mg of chemically lithiated FeS$_2$ was weighed out in an inert atmosphere, transferred to a pretreated graphite/quartz Carius tube, evacuated to $10^{-3}$ torr, and sealed. The arrangement was heated over a period of 3-4 days to 600-640°C, held at this temperature overnight, and then cooled to RT over the period of a day.
VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

VI.1 $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer Spectra of Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS$_2$ Cathodes at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K

The RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of FeS$_2$ cathodes removed from cells after various stages of discharge, are shown in Figure (6.1). These cells had been previously discharged at room temperature at a current of 400-500 $\mu$A/cm$^2$. The cathodes were sufficiently thin (12 mg cm$^{-2}$) that corrections for absorber thickness were not necessary. The absorption spectra show the presence of paramagnetic Fe in at least three different sites: a doublet due to FeS$_2$, a broad singlet at near zero velocity, and a shoulder on the singlet peak. As will be shown later, the broad line near zero velocity is the result of very small Fe$^0$ particles exhibiting fast superferromagnetic (SF) relaxation. The FeS$_2$/singlet intensity ratio varied with the degree of cell discharge, until at full discharge there was very little FeS$_2$ remaining. The "shoulder" on the singlet at positive velocities, indicated another Fe species present as one of the final products in the cell reaction.

These spectra are at first glance rather disappointing and appear to provide little useful information about the nature of the intermediates or the final products formed during discharge. Indeed in earlier $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer studies of this same system by Clark et al [84], they observed RT spectra similar to the 1e-
Fig. 6.1: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were discharged at RT with a current of 400-500$\mu$A.
and 2e- discharge spectra reported here, and concluded that Mössbauer spectroscopy could not provide any useful information. They did not pursue their Mössbauer experiments. The fact that they did not observe spectra similar to the 4e- discharge spectrum of Fig. (6.1) suggests that they had only very poor cathode utilization in their experiments.

The Mössbauer spectra observed on cooling the discharged cathodes to 77.4°K or 4.2°K and illustrated in Figures (6.2) and (6.3) provide a much more detailed and informative picture. It is immediately apparent that magnetic hyperfine interactions are observed in the fully discharged cathode and also in partially discharged cathodes at 4.2°K.

VI.2 Studies of the Fully Discharged FeS₂ Cathode

The spectra of Figure (6.4) show the RT, 77.4°K and 4.2°K spectra of a fully discharged cathode. The appearance of the hfs on lowering the temperature could arise from the presence of a discharge product which is paramagnetic at RT, and which is ferromagnetic or anti-ferromagnetic at low temperature. However the low temperature spectra yield a hyperfine field very similar indeed to that of iron, although the spectra are complex and suggest the presence of more than one iron site. Since the anticipated final product in the cell is indeed iron, this suggests that the spectra of Figures (6.2) to (6.4) exhibit superparamagnetism, i.e. very small particles of iron are
Fig. 6.2: 77.4°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were discharged at RT with a current of 400-500µA.
Fig. 6.3: 4.2°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$|\text{LiAsF}_6-\text{PC}|\text{FeS}_2$
cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were discharged at RT with a current of 400-500μA.
Fig. 6.4: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of a cathode removed from the fully discharged Li$|$LiAsF$_6$-$|$PC$|$FeS$_2$ cell #R-1.
present which at room temperature do not exhibit hfs because the magnetization is fluctuating between the easy directions. On cooling below the blocking temperature the characteristic hfs is observed.

VI.2a $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of a Fully Discharged Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$

Cathode at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K

The Mössbauer spectra for a cathode from a fully discharged Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$ cell are shown in Figure (6.5). The cathode had been previously discharged at room temperature with a drain current of 650μA (fast discharge). These spectra show some interesting features in comparison with the spectra of Figure (6.4) namely:

i) while in Figure (6.4) hfs was observed at 77.4°K this is not so in Figure (6.5).

ii) in Figure (6.4) the 4.2°K spectrum showed a hint of a shoulder to higher velocities for the higher velocity peaks and particularly for the absorption at 6.5 mm s$^{-1}$. In Figure (6.5) these shoulders are more clearly marked.

Both of these features are consistent with suggestion that in the LiClO$_4$ electrolyte cell, the iron particles formed are smaller in size. This leads to a lower blocking temperature below which hfs is observed in the case of the LiClO$_4$ cell. Moreover the shoulders on the absorption peaks, which are most
Fig. 6.5: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of a cathode removed from a fully discharged $\text{Li}|\text{LiClO}_4-\text{PC}|\text{FeS}_2$ cell #R-4. The cell had been discharged at RT with a current of 650$\mu$A (fast discharge).
pronounced in Figure (6.5), are precisely what one would expect for surface iron atoms in small particles and the smaller the particles the more clearly they should be resolved. This point will be returned to later.

VI.2b \[^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer of a Fully Discharged Li|LiClO}_4-PC|\text{FeS}_2\] Cathode in an Externally Applied Magnetic Field

Confirmation that superparamagnetism arising from the presence of small particles was observed in these spectra is given by spectra in an externally applied weak magnetic field. Figure (6.6) elegantly illustrates the effect of an applied field on the spectrum of a fully discharged cathode. These spectra are characteristic of SP small particles in which the magnetization vector becomes aligned in the presence of a relatively weak external field. The relative intensities of the absorption lines in the magnetically split spectra are close to 3:4:1:1:4:3 as expected for a sample magnetized at right angles to the γ-ray beam. The broad line-widths and the asymmetry of the lines indicate a distribution in the hyperfine magnetic fields. The magnitude of the hfs shows a dependence on the applied field strength and this in turn allowed an estimate of the mean particle volume.
Fig. 6.6: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra for the fully discharged Li/LiClO$_4$-PC/FeS$_2$ cathode #R-4 in externally applied magnetic fields. The spectra are normalized to the same height.
VI.2c Computer Analysis of the Spectra for Fully Discharged Cathodes

A detailed analysis of the spectra of the RT spectrum of a fully discharged cathode was not attempted. The broad unresolved absorption near zero velocity arises from the SP small particles and the shoulder to more positive velocity may reflect the surface atoms in these particles.

Two approaches were taken in the fitting of the 4.2°K hfs spectra:

i) Using a set of independent Lorentzians to represent the six-line magnetic components and the FeS₂ paramagnetic doublet, and applying the fitting program GMFP [89,90].

ii) Assuming a hyperfine field distribution (hfd) and applying the fitting program MCAL [91]. This latter approach yields a hfd plot rather than Mössbauer parameters.

The first approach yields only an estimate of the hyperfine field and the isomer shift. The spectral lines are in all probability not Lorentzian. The derived parameters for the fully discharged cathodes of Figures (6.4) and (6.5) are given in Table (6.1). The assumptions and approximations used in the fits are given in the footnotes of the table. In each case it was assumed that the spectra could be fitted to two sets of six-line
### Table 6.1
Mössbauer Parameters for Fully Discharged FeS\textsubscript{2} Cathodes at 4.2°K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Cell</th>
<th>IS (mm/s)\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>(\Gamma) (mm/s)</th>
<th>(H_{\text{int}}) (kG)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bulk Fe\textsuperscript{o}</td>
<td>#R-1</td>
<td>-0.012(7)</td>
<td>0.74(3)</td>
<td>342(3)</td>
<td>68(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface Fe\textsuperscript{o}</td>
<td>#R-1</td>
<td>0.07(4)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>0.80(8)</td>
<td>385(4)\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>27(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>#R-1</td>
<td>0.22214</td>
<td>0.42497</td>
<td></td>
<td>5(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulk Fe\textsuperscript{o}</td>
<td>#R-4</td>
<td>-0.056(5)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>0.36(1)\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>340(3)\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>22(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surface Fe\textsuperscript{o}</td>
<td>#R-4</td>
<td>0.13(1)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>1.46(2)\textsuperscript{f}</td>
<td>385(4)\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>76(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FeS\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>#R-4</td>
<td>0.21968</td>
<td>0.41926</td>
<td></td>
<td>2(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}IS reported w.r.t. \(\alpha\)-Fe foil at 295°K.

\textsuperscript{b}Each magnetic sextet was fitted assuming 3 sets of Lorentzian doublets with the same IS and \(\Gamma\). The intensity of the inner doublet was constrained to be \(\frac{1}{4}\) that of the outermost doublet.

\textsuperscript{c}Average of IS determined for each doublet.

\textsuperscript{d}Only the IS of the two innermost doublets constrained to be equal.

\textsuperscript{e}Fixed using values from FeS\textsubscript{2} powder at 4.2°K.

\textsuperscript{f}Average of \(\Gamma\) determined for each doublet.

\textsuperscript{g}IS and \(\Gamma\) of doublets are independent w.r.t. one another.

Magnetic hyperfine splittings and a quadrupole doublet due to a small fraction of undischarged FeS\textsubscript{2}.

The component with \(H = 340\) to 342kG is b.c.c. iron. The field observed is a little greater than that for \(\alpha\)-Fe (\(H = 338(3)\)kG at 4.2°K [92]), and the difference appears to lie outside the error of the measurements.

The magnetic field observed at the Fe nucleus in a small particle can be written as:
\[ H_{\text{obs}} = H_{\text{bulk}} + H_{\text{dem}} + H_{\text{dip}} \]  

(50)

Where \( H_{\text{bulk}} \) is the magnetic hyperfine field of nonmagnetized \( \alpha \)-Fe bulk material, \( H_{\text{dem}} \) the demagnetizing field due to surface atoms, and \( H_{\text{dip}} \) the magnetic field of neighbouring particles. If we assume that the iron particles are approximately spherical and we use \( H_{\text{dem}} = 7 \text{kG} \) and \( H_{\text{bulk}} = 338 \text{kG} \), then the \( H_{\text{obs}} \) values of 340 and 342kG would yield \( H_{\text{dip}} \) values of 3 and 5kG. The \( H_{\text{dip}} \) arises from interaction between neighbouring particles which are physically close together i.e. a weakly coupled SF system, and a \( H_{\text{dip}} \) of 3kG is not unexpected.

Rancourt et al [49] studied 25 ± 5Å \( \alpha \)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) particles supported by zeolite-Y at temperatures above and below \( T_B \). The two different SF dwell times (\( \tau_+ \) and \( \tau_- \)) were determined for spectra recorded below the blocking temperature from a detailed analysis of the lineshape through Voigt profiles [93]. Using eq. (42), they obtained a similar result for \( H_{\text{dip}} \) of 3.2kG.

The second magnetic component with \( H_{\text{obs}} = 385(4) \text{kG} \) may arise from atoms in the surface layers of the small particles. Such an enhancement in the field for surface layers is in accordance with predictions by Freeman et al [32,33] as mentioned earlier. The IS determined is slightly larger than that for bulk \( \alpha \)-Fe but considerably smaller than values typical for Fe\(^{2+}\) or Fe\(^{3+}\), thus indicating that these iron atoms have a slightly smaller s-electron density at the nuclei.
An analysis of the spectra of the fully discharged cathodes using MCAL resulted in the hyperfine field distributions shown in Figure (6.7). It has been noted by Williams *et al.* [71] that the oscillations observed in the hfd plots at small fields are of no significance and usually result from the limit imposed on the distribution increment and from statistical fluctuations in the experimental data. Quadrupolar and SP contributions to the spectrum will also produce peaks in the low field regime of the hfd plots.

The hfd plots clearly show a sharp maximum at ca. 342kG and a distribution about this value. There is evidence for a small peak in the distribution at ca. 275-295kG which could arise from amorphous iron. The shoulder at 385kG may arise from surface iron. The broad distribution would be consistent with a wide distribution in surface $H_{\text{int}}$ predicted by Ohnishi's calculations.

Xiao and Chien [94] measured $H_{\text{int}}$ for various binary amorphous Fe-transition metal and Fe-metalloid alloys at 4.2°C, and determined the $H_{\text{int}}$ of pure amorphous Fe by extrapolation to 100% Fe. The study revealed different states of amorphous Fe with $H_{\text{int}} \approx 250-280kG$ that could be related to the theoretically proposed $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ states of f.c.c. iron. Recently Pollard [95] has observed an Fe component resulting from high temperature reduction of fine $\gamma$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ particles with an $H_{\text{int}}$ of 302kG at 4.2°C. The IS of 0.08 mm/sec was close to the range of IS values determined by Xiao and Chien for pure amorphous Fe. Broad hfd's
Fig. 6.7: Hyperfine field distribution plots for the 4.2°K spectra of fully discharged cathodes a) removed from a Li|LiAsF₆-PC|FeS₂ cell and b) removed from a Li|LiClO₄-PC|FeS₂ cell.
of 100-300kG have also been reported for non-crystalline Fe° clusters in zeolites [49,96], and sputtered non-crystalline Fe films [97].

The ratio of the spectral areas can give a rough indication of the actual ratio of surface atoms to bulk atoms in the particle, hence a crude estimate of the particle volume could be obtained. Assuming that 1) the particles were spherical, 2) the recoil-free fraction was the same for the surface and bulk atoms, and 3) the surface atoms were only the atoms in the first one or two layers, then the ratio of the "shell volume" of surface atoms over the total volume is:

\[
\frac{n^3 - (n - (1 \text{ to } 2))^3}{n^3} = \frac{A_{\text{surface}}}{A_{\text{surface}} + A_{\text{bulk}}} \tag{44}
\]

The distance \(n\) is in terms of inter-atomic nearest neighbor distances, while the thickness of the shell was assumed to be about 1 - 2 inter-atomic distances since surface effects are important for only one or two layers. The distance between an Fe atom and its nearest neighbor in a b.c.c. lattice at 300°K is \(\approx 2.5\AA\) as determined by x-ray diffraction. At 4.2°K, there will be a slight decrease in the lattice spacing due to thermal contraction. Using eq. (44), the mean diameter of the Fe° particles in the fully discharged LiAsF₆ electrolyte cathode is estimated to be 16 to 46 inter-atomic distances. This yields a range for the mean particle diameter of 32 - 115Å assuming an
Fe-Fe distance of 2 - 2.5Å. For the 4.2°K spectrum of the fully discharged LiClO₄ electrolyte cathode, the area of the surface contribution to the total spectrum resulted in a crude estimate for the mean particle diameter of 10 - 26Å.

The Mössbauer spectra in Figure (6.6) were fit to six independent Lorentzian lines with varying linewidth and intensity, and since only the positions of the lines were of interest for determination of the mean hfs, the error in this gross over-simplification was assumed to be minor.

According to eqn. (32), if the particles are purely superparamagnetic, then a plot of |(H_{obs}) - B_{applied}| vs B_{applied}^{-1} should give a straight line with slope equal to H_0 kT/\mu(T) and intercept H_0. The results of such a plot shown in Figure (6.8), yielded an intercept of 280 ± 3kG, which after subtraction of the 7kG demagnetizing field for spherical particles, resulted in a saturation field of 273 ± 3kG. This value is far below the literature value of 330kG that would be expected for α-Fe, and further suggested that the Fe° particles present in the fully discharged LiClO₄ electrolyte cathode #R-4 were most likely superferromagnetic in nature.

If the dipole-dipole interactions between the particles are taken into consideration, then according to the more precise expression (40), a plot of \{1 - b(B,T)\}^{-1} as a function of B is a straight line with slope \mu(T)/kT and intercept \mu(T)B_(1)(T,B)/kT. The mean magnetic moment \mu(300°K) of the particles was
Fig. 6.8: A plot of $|B_{\text{obs}} - B_{\text{applied}}|$ vs $|B_{\text{applied}}^{-1}|$ based on the spectra shown in Figure (6.6).
determined to be $1.8(2) \times 10^{-20}$ JT$^{-1}$ from a least squares fit of the plot shown in Figure (6.9). Assuming that the particles are spherically shaped and that the saturation magnetization is equal to that of bulk metallic iron, the magnetic moment corresponded to a mean particle diameter of $27 \pm 1\text{Å}$. The effective magnetic field due to particle-particle interactions $B_1(B=0,300^\circ\text{K})$, was determined from the y-intercept to be $5 \pm 1\text{kG}$, and is in agreement with the value estimated from the spectrum at $4.2^\circ\text{K}$.

Christensen et al [63] obtained a value of $1.6(3) \times 10^{-20}$ JT$^{-1}$ for the $\mu(300^\circ\text{K})$ of $25 \pm 2\text{Å}$ SP a-Fe particles supported on carbon. Since the mean particle sizes are similar, the small difference in the mean magnetic moments can be attributed to particle-support (Li$_2$S matrix in this study), and magnetic dipolar interactions which were negligible in the aforementioned study because the particles were well separated.

VI.3 Studies on Partially Discharged FeS$_2$ Cathodes

VI.3a $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Partially Discharged Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$

Cathodes at 295, 77.4°, and 4.2°K

While cells utilizing LiAsF$_6$ as an electrolyte did not yield significant evidence for intermediates in the discharge, when LiClO$_4$ was the electrolyte for slow discharge at drain currents of 470 to 520μA, intermediates were indeed observed for spectra
Fig. 6.9: A plot of \( \{1 - b(B,T)\}^{-1} \) as a function of applied magnetic field where \( b(B,T) \) is the reduced hyperfine magnetic field for the spectra of Figure (6.6).
recorded at low temperature.

The RT Mössbauer spectra for a LiClO₄ electrolyte cell discharged at 470μA are shown in Figure (6.10). The fully discharged cathode shows the presence of a magnetic component even at RT. This would be consistent with the formation in this case of larger iron particles than those observed in the other batteries studied.

In the 77.4°K spectra (Fig. 6.11) and particularly in the 4.2°K spectra (Fig. 6.12) there is clear evidence for the formation of an intermediate or a group of intermediates with a magnetic field of ≥ 240kG at 4.2°K. The question immediately arises as to the identity of the intermediate(s). It has been proposed by Nardi et al [84] that lithiated intermediates LiₓFeS₂ should be formed during discharge. From the Li-Fe-S phase diagram (Fig. 4.1), Li₂FeS₂ would appear to be a very likely candidate. This compound was synthesized in our laboratory and its x-ray crystal structure determined [98]. The Mössbauer parameters for the RT and 77.4°K spectra are compared with the results of Melendres and Tani [80] in Table (6.2).

The Mössbauer spectrum of Li₂FeS₂ at RT (Fig. 6.13) shows the presence of two quadrupole split doublets. On cooling to 4.2°K an exceedingly complex magnetic hyperfine split spectrum is observed. There appears to be a range of sites which are magnetically inequivalent at 4.2°K. This spectrum is compared with that of partially discharged cathodes in Figure (6.14).
Fig. 6.10: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were slowly discharged at RT with a drain current of 470-520μA.
Fig. 6.11: 77.4°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$|\text{LiClO}_4$-PC$|\text{FeS}_2$
cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were slowly discharged at RT with a drain current of
470-520μA.
Fig. 6.12: 4.2°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathodes at various stages of discharge. The cells were slowly discharged at RT with a drain current of 470-520μA.
Table 6.2
Mössbauer Parameters for Li₂FeS₂ at 295 and 77.4°K

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>T (°K)</th>
<th>IS (mm/s)ᵃ</th>
<th>Γ (mm/s)ᵇ</th>
<th>QS (mm/s)ᵃ</th>
<th>A (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 1</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.698(2)</td>
<td>0.513(2)ᵇ</td>
<td>0.990(3)</td>
<td>83.9(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 2</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.648(3)</td>
<td>0.276(4)c</td>
<td>1.779(5)</td>
<td>16.1(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 1ᵈ</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.50(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.62(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 2ᵈ</td>
<td>RT</td>
<td>0.49(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.46(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 1</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.57(2)</td>
<td>0.476(8)b</td>
<td>1.08(1)</td>
<td>67(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 2</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.52(2)</td>
<td>0.360(12)b</td>
<td>1.73(1)</td>
<td>33(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 1ᵈ</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.61(2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.06(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doublet 2ᵈ</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.61(1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.68(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃIS reported w.r.t. α-Fe foil at 295 °K.
bConstrained to be equal.
cAverage of Γ for two peaks.
dFrom Melandres and Tani [80].

There appears to be a very poor correspondence between the two spectra.

Another lithiated phase reported in the literature is that of "Li₃Fe₂S₄". Samples of this phase were prepared in our laboratory but we have been unable to characterize the material by chemical analysis because of the presence of impurities (e.g. FeS₂ and Li₂S). Nevertheless a relatively pure sample containing traces of FeS₂ yielded the 4.2°K spectrum shown in Figure (6.15a). There is some similarity between this spectrum and that of the discharged (2e-) cathode although they are certainly not identical. In particular the absorption at 5.63 mm/s corresponds
Fig. 6.13: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$_2$FeS$_2$ at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K.
Fig. 6.14: 4.2°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathodes #R-11 (2e-) and #R-13 (3e-) compared with the spectrum for chemically synthesized Li$_2$FeS$_2$ recorded at the same temperature and velocity.
Fig. 6.15: 4.2°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of a) chemically synthesized $\text{Li}_3\text{Fe}_2\text{S}_4$, compared with spectra of partially discharged (2e-) $\text{Li}||\text{LiClO}_4-\text{PC}||\text{FeS}_2$ cathodes discharged at b) RT and c) 55°C, recorded at the same temperature and velocity.
exactly, as do the absorptions at -3.02 mm/s and -3.66 mm/s. This points to the probable presence of Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ in the discharge process, along with other lithiated phases.

It has been pointed out that on discharge at 55°C, the FeS$_2$ cell may approach thermodynamic equilibrium and formation of one intermediate phase, Li$_2$FeS$_2$, has been postulated [99].

The Mössbauer spectrum of a cell which had been discharged at 55°C is compared in Figure (6.15) with one discharged at RT. There are clearly similarities between the two but the 55°C spectrum is cleaner and perhaps simpler. Indeed it corresponds very closely to the Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ phase.

From this evidence it would appear that, for a cell which approaches thermodynamic equilibrium, Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ is formed during the discharge. However there is no definitive evidence for the formation of Li$_2$FeS$_2$.

For cells discharged at RT it would appear that a mixture of lithiated species are present including a small amount of Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$. It would appear that the dominant intermediate is another discrete lithiated FeS$_2$ species which the present time remains unidentified (Y-phase).

The nature of these spectra and also those of chemically lithiated FeS$_2$, which will be discussed later, suggest that the lithiation proceeds in fairly discrete steps. If Li$_x$FeS$_2$ phases with continuously variable $x$ were formed then the spectra would
in all probability be even more complex than they are. The observed spectrum of \( \text{Li}_2\text{FeS}_2 \) is evidence of the complexity of such a system.

**VI.3b Collective Magnetic Excitations**

One further point deserves attention. The magnetic field observed at 77.4°K (Fig. 6.13) is significantly less (332(3)kG) than that of bulk material. This diminution in the field at the iron nucleus is in all probability due to collective magnetic excitations where the magnetization vector fluctuates about the easy axis leading to a reduced field.

**VI.4 Studies on Chemically Lithiated FeS\(_2\)**

**VI.4a \(^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer of Chemically Lithiated FeS}_{2}\) at 295 and 4.2°K**

The electrochemical reactions occurring inside the cathode were mimicked by reacting samples of the same FeS\(_2\) powder used in cathode preparation with n-BuLi in hexane. The \(^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer spectra of FeS}_{2}\) after reaction with various stoichiometric amounts of n-BuLi had been recorded at 295°K (Fig. 6.16) and 4.2°K (Fig. 6.17). The low temperature Mössbauer spectra indicated a mixture of different Fe phases, each having a distribution in hyperfine magnetic fields. This was better shown by the asymmetry in the peak shapes of the Fe component with the
Fig. 6.16: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS$_2$ ($x=0 \rightarrow 3.74$) samples.
Fig. 6.17: 4.2k \text{K} \text{Fe} Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated Li$_x$Fe$_2$S$_2$ ($x=0 \rightarrow 3.74$) samples.
observable hyperfine field at 295°C. As a result, a Lorentzian analysis was not attempted and a qualitative analysis will have to suffice.

The absorption spectra recorded at RT displayed a similar pattern to that seen in partially discharged FeS$_2$ cathodes. The appearance of a broad absorption peak at near-zero velocity which increases in intensity as the extent of lithiation also increases is consistent with previous observations. After the stoichiometry of intercalated Li to FeS$_2$ had exceeded 2:1, there was evidence of an appreciable amount of some magnetic compound present; the magnitude of $\langle H_{\text{int}} \rangle$ increasing with further lithiation from $\approx 290kG$ to $\approx 320kG$ w.r.t. $\alpha$-Fe at RT. The pronounced asymmetry in the peaks of this magnetic component is clearly indicative of a hfd.

It is apparent upon comparing the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded at RT and 4.2°C, that the single absorption present at near-zero velocity in the RT spectra, was actually comprised of at least 1 - 3 magnetic components which became resolved at 4.2°C. Two of the magnetic components could be identified from the peak positions, and IS as corresponding to SP or SF Fe° particles, and the hyperfine magnetic field due to the surface atoms. The other magnetic component had an $\langle H_{\text{int}} \rangle$ of $\approx 240kG$, and a more positive IS. The peak positions of this Fe phase were very similar to that of the "Y-phase" that was observed in FeS$_2$ cathodes discharged to about the same extent. The Y-phase is most apparent in the low temperature spectrum for the sample
Li_xFeS_2 \times 2.4 and the similarity between the Mössbauer spectrum for this particular chemically lithiated sample, and the cathode electrochemically lithiated to the same extent (Fig. 6.18) is remarkable.

It can be seen from Figure (6.17), that the Y-phase, and small particles of Fe^0 were formed after a small amount of Li intercalation had occurred, with the ratio of each component dependent on the extent of lithiation in each sample. Although there are some irregularities, the general trend displayed in the spectra seems to be that as the extent of lithiation increases, the relative amount of Y-phase and FeS_2 decreases, while the portions due to Fe^0 increase until by x \geq 3, there was only a small amount of Y-phase and FeS_2 left. Further lithiation results in the mean Fe^0 particle volume getting larger so that the contribution from the surface Fe atoms to the total spectra becomes less and less significant.

The Fe phase with the observable \langle H_{int} \rangle at RT, was not observed at 4.2^K, hence this phase must also be α-Fe with a particle-size distribution corresponding to values of T_B > 295^K. This volume dependence is also reflected by the approach of \langle H_{obs} \rangle to the saturation value of 330kG with a corresponding increase in lithiation. The reduced but still observable \langle H_{int} \rangle is a consequence of collective magnetic excitations. Reduced hyperfine fields for small particles of Fe^0 have been observed at RT before in the past by other workers [67,68,100].
Fig. 6.18: 4.2°K Mössbauer spectra of a) chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{x}\text{FeS}_2$ and b) electrochemically lithiated $\text{Li}_{x.5}\text{FeS}_2$. 

$\text{Li}_x\text{FeS}_2$
$x = 2.4(1)$
VI.4b $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Chemically Lithiated FeS$_2$ in an Externally Applied Magnetic Field

The room temperature $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of some lithiated samples before and after application of an external magnetic field is shown in Figure (6.19). After application of the magnetic field, it can be seen from the spectra that the broad singlet peak at near-zero velocity became resolved into a six-line hyperfine spectrum with the magnitude of the observed hfs close to the saturation value of 330kG for bulk Fe$^\circ$. The results are consistent with the interpretation that SP or SF Fe$^\circ$ particles were present in most of the lithiated FeS$_2$ samples. A particle-size determination through Mössbauer measurements with various external B fields was not possible since many of the samples contained particles below their respective blocking temperatures.

VI.4c $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Chemically Lithiated FeS$_2$ After Annealing

The RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of some chemically lithiated FeS$_2$ samples after annealing, is shown along with the spectrum for Fe$^\circ$ foil in Figure (6.20). The major component in the two samples was bulk Fe$^\circ$ with a much narrower hyperfine field distribution than what was previously observed in the unannealed sample at 4.2 and 295$^\circ$K. Annealing the sample had the effect of fusing all the SP Fe$^\circ$ particles into larger sizes exhibiting an
Fig. 6.19: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated FeS$_2$ (Li$_x$FeS$_2$) samples with and without an externally applied magnetic field. The spectra are normalized to the same height.
Fig. 6.20: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$_x$FeS$_2$ a) $x = 3.74$, annealed at 640°C and b) $x > 4$, annealed at 600°C. A spectrum for Fe$^0$ foil is also shown for comparison in (c).
observable $H_{\text{int}}$. The samples were chosen because the low
temperature spectra indicated that they were the cleanest,
however annealing the samples had resulted in other paramagnetic
Fe species being formed such as FeS$_2$.

VI.4d X-Ray Powder Diffraction of Chemically Lithiated FeS$_2$

X-ray powder diffraction was carried out on some of the
lithiated FeS$_2$ samples, and the powder patterns are shown in
Figure (6.21). As the extent of lithiation increases, the
intensities of the peaks due to FeS$_2$ decreases with a
corresponding increase in the Li$_2$S peak intensities. The (110)
diffraction peak due to Fe metal was just barely discernable and
the broadened peak would also indicate that the particles are
very small, or non-crystalline. The presence of the Y-phase
could not be detected in the Li$_x$FeS$_2$ ($x = 2.40$) sample or in the
$x = 3.34$ sample.

VI.4e Computer Analysis of the Spectra for Chemically Lithiated
FeS$_2$

The hfd plots of the 4.2°K spectra for the lithiated Li$_x$FeS$_2$
($x \approx 3$ to 3.74) samples, are shown in Figure (6.22). The high
field regime of the hfd plots exhibits the same features
displayed in the hfd plots of the fully discharged cathodes
(Fig. 6.18) i.e. hfd's for amorphous, b.c.c., and surface Fe°.
The hfd for the bulk component was centered at c.a. 347kG and
Fig. 6.21: X-ray powder diffraction spectra of chemically lithiated FeS$_2$ (Li$_x$FeS$_2$) samples.
Fig. 6.22: Hyperfine magnetic field distribution plots for 4.2°K spectra of the chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS$_2$ ($x \approx 3 \Rightarrow 3.74$) samples.
from (50), would indicate that \( H_{\text{dip}} \approx 0 \) (i.e. the particles are superparamagnetic with negligible dipole-dipole interactions.

The hfd plots clearly show that an increase in lithiation results in a decrease in the amount of amorphous Fe\(^0\) present, as well as a decrease in the contribution of surface Fe\(^0\) attributed to the formation of larger Fe\(^0\) particles.

VI.5 Studies of Partially and Fully Discharged FeS Cathodes.

VI.5a In situ \(^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer of Li|LiAsF}_6\text{-PC|FeS Cells}

Two Li|LiAsF\(_6\)-PC|FeS cells were discharged (500\(\mu\)A) to various extents at RT, after which the \(^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer absorption spectrum was recorded in situ. The series of spectra shown in Figure (6.23), is actually a combination of spectra obtained for the two cells #FeS-1, and #FeS-2.}

Before discharge (0e-), the Mössbauer spectrum consisted of a six-line hfs due to antiferromagnetic FeS. At 75\% discharge (1.5e-), essentially all of the FeS had been consumed, and the appearance of the Mössbauer spectrum was very similar to the RT \(^{57}\text{Fe Mössbauer spectra of fully discharged FeS} \_2\text{ cathodes (Figs. 6.4 and 6.5). The spectrum consisted of a magnetic sextet with very broad linewdths responsible for the slope in the baseline, a broad singlet at near-zero velocity and a shoulder towards positive velocities, which had been observed in fully discharged...}
Fig. 6.23: RT in situ $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|Fe$_3$S$_2$ cells #FeS-1 and #FeS-2 at various stages of discharge. The cells were discharged at RT with a drain current of 500μA.
FeS$_2$ cathodes.

The Mössbauer spectrum for the fully discharged cell (2e-) was similar to the RT Mössbauer spectrum of the fully discharged FeS$_2$ cathode #R-14 (Fig. 6.10), and some of the RT spectra of lithiated FeS$_2$ (Fig. 6.16). The magnetic Fe-phase is due to $\alpha$-Fe particles that are too large to exhibit fast SP relaxation, but yet are not large enough to exhibit an $H_{\text{int}}$ equal to $H_{\text{bulk}}$. This is born out by the experiments to be described below.

VI.5b $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of a Fully Discharged Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS Cathode at 295, 77.4 and 4.2$^\circ$K

A cathode was removed from the fully discharged #FeS-1 cell used in the *in situ* study, and the $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra recorded at low temperatures (Fig. 6.24). It can be seen from the spectra at low temperatures that the only Fe-phase present in the cathode appears to be Fe$^0$ exhibiting a distribution in hfs. The absence of an appreciable hfs component due to surface Fe$^0$ would indicate that the mean particle size is greater than the particles observed in fully discharged FeS$_2$ cathodes.

VI.5c *In Situ* $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of a Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS Cell in Externally Applied Magnetic Fields

A Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cell was discharged using a drain current of 500$\mu$A to 1 equiv. Li and then to 1.5 equiv. Li at 400$\mu$A. The
Fig. 6.24: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of the Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cathode #FeS-1 at 295, 77.4, and 4.2 K.
$^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were recorded in situ for the cell #FeS-3 in externally applied fields of various strengths at each of the steps. The direction of the external field was perpendicular to the direction of the γ-ray beam. Unfortunately the dimensions of the cell, and the voltage of the power supply limited the strength of the field produced by the small electromagnet used in these experiments to 1500G.

It can be seen from the spectra, shown in Figure (6.25), that application of a magnetic field results in partial resolution of the broad SP fraction into a magnetic hyperfine spectrum. The strength of the applied field was insufficient to allow the determination of the mean particle volume, and so the experiments merely confirmed the presence of superparamagnetism in the cathode. This is more readily seen in the spectra for the fully discharged cathode removed from the #FeS-2 cell (Fig. 6.26) before, and after the application of a stronger external field.

VI.5d Computer Analysis of the Spectra for Partially and Fully Discharged FeS Cathodes

A simple Lorentzian fit for the in situ hyperfine spectrum (0e-) of the Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cathode #FeS-1 resulted in an H$_{int}$ and an IS close to the literature parameters for pyrrhotite, or non-stoichiometric Fe$_{1-x}$S [101]. The low-temperature spectra of the fully discharged cathode were fitted to six independent
Fig. 6.25: RT in situ $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of partially discharged Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cell #FeS-3 with and without an applied external B of 1500G.
Fig. 6.26: RT $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectra of fully discharged Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cathode #FeS-2 with and without the application of an external B of 9500G.
Table 6.3
Mössbauer Parameters for Fully Discharged
Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS Cathode #FeS-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>$T$ (°K)</th>
<th>IS (mm/s)$^a$</th>
<th>$\Gamma$ (mm/s)</th>
<th>$&lt;H_{\text{int}}&gt;$ (kG)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>bulk Fe°</td>
<td>77.4</td>
<td>0.06(1)</td>
<td>0.74(2)$^c$</td>
<td>338(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bulk Fe°</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.02(1)</td>
<td>0.77(2)$^c$</td>
<td>346(3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$IS reported w.r.t. α-Fe foil at 295 °K.
$^b$Magnetic sextet fitted as six independent Lorentzian peaks.
$^c$Average of $\Gamma$ for all six peaks.

Lorentzian peaks using the program MOSSFIT [102] and the results are shown in Table (6.3). At 77.4°K, the particles exhibit an $<H_{\text{int}}>$ equal to that of the bulk material within experimental error. The $<H_{\text{obs}}>$ measured at 4.2°K is 346(3)kG and as discussed earlier, is characteristic of SP iron.

The hfd plot for the spectrum of the fully discharged #FeS-1 cathode at 4.2°K (Fig. 6.27a) does not show an appreciable amount of amorphous Fe° or surface Fe° present. The maxima of the bulk hfd are similar to the values obtained from a Lorentzian analysis.
Fig. 6.27: Hyperfine field distributions plots for the low temperature spectra (Fig. 6.24) of the fully discharged Li|LiAsF₆-PC|FeS cathode.
VI.6 Studies on Chemically Lithiated FeS

VI.6a $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Chemically Lithiated FeS at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K

As in the FeS$_2$ study, the same FeS powder used in the cathode preparations was lithiated with n-BuLi in the ratios 1:1, 1.5 and 2 to mimic 50%, 75% and full discharge of the Li|LiAsF$_6$-PC|FeS cell.

The RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectrum of lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x\approx1$) (Fig. 6.28a) shows two magnetic sextets. The phase with sharp line-widths is unreacted FeS, while the other phase with broadened line-widths can be attributed to α-Fe particles with reduced $H_{int}$. The absorption in the centroid of the spectrum for Li$_{1.8}$FeS is indicative of a SP fraction.

In contrast with the RT Mössbauer spectra of a discharged cell, the majority of the Fe$^0$ particles have $\tau>>\tau_L$, with only a small fraction being superparamagnetic at RT. The increase in $\langle H_{int} \rangle$ with a corresponding increase in lithiation is not as pronounced as was observed in the chemically lithiated FeS$_2$ samples.

In FeS$_2$ which has the NaCl structure, the Fe-Fe nearest neighbour distance (3.82Å) is almost 30% greater than the Fe-Fe distance in FeS (2.99Å) which has a structure closely related to that of NiAs. The closer proximity of the Fe$^0$ atoms formed upon
Fig. 6.28: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x \approx 1 \rightarrow 1.8$) samples.
reduction in FeS will thus favor formation of larger Fe° particles compared with FeS₂.

In the FeS₂ study, the trend which was observed in chemically lithiated FeS₂ samples was very similar to that observed in the cathodes at various stages of discharge. However, the RT Mössbauer spectra of the lithiated LiₓFeS samples (x = 1, 1.46) are quite different from the analogous spectra for 50% and 75% discharged FeS cathodes (Fig. 6.23, 25).

Various experimental problems involving the cryostat, and discharge of Li/FeS cells occurred during this study. As a result, no low temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer measurements could be obtained for FeS cathodes at intermediate stages of discharge. It is difficult therefore, to draw conclusions regarding the Fe-phases formed in the cathode since the most interesting information is usually obtained from 4.2°K measurements on partially discharged cells. It is certain, however, that the Fe° particles formed by electrochemical lithiation of FeS are much smaller than those produced through chemical lithiation.

The low temperature ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectra of the LiₓFeS samples at 77.4°K (Fig. 6.29) and 4.2°K (Fig. 6.30) show that almost all of the FeS had been reduced to Fe° when the extent of lithiation had approached ≈ 1.5, and this is qualitatively similar to the results for lithiated FeS₂.
**Fig. 6.29:** 77.4ºK $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated $\text{Li}_x\text{FeS}$ ($x \approx 1 \to 1.8$) samples.
Fig. 6.30: 4.2°K $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x \approx 1 \rightarrow 1.8$) samples.
VI.6b Computer Analysis of the Spectra for Chemically Lithiated FeS

The hfd plots for the Li\textsubscript{x}FeS \(x = 1.46\) and \(x = 1.80\) RT Mössbauer spectra are shown in Figure (6.31). The maximum of the hfd is ca. 301-306kG and considerably lower than the value of 330kG for multi-domain \(\alpha\)-Fe. As is observed in some of the chemically lithiated FeS\textsubscript{2} samples, the reduced hfs may arise from a small particle size.

The hfd plots for the Mössbauer spectra of the same lithiated samples at 77.4\(^\circ\)K are shown in Figure (6.32). The \(x = 1.46\) sample exhibits a broad symmetrical hfd centered at 357kG, while the \(x = 1.80\) sample displayed a narrower hfd with a maximum centered at 352kG. These values are considerably higher than the \(H\text{\textsubscript{int}}\) of bulk \(\alpha\)-Fe at 77.4\(^\circ\)K, even when the influence of the surface demagnetizing field is taken into consideration. As noted by Niemantsverdriet et al. [103], the influence of the demagnetizing field would probably be obscured by thermal excitations at this temperature.

Recently Herr et al. [104] have proposed a new solid state structure for nanocrystalline Fe (10-100Å polycrystals). An \(^{57}\)Fe Mössbauer study on pure 60Å crystals of Fe\textsuperscript{0} (pelletized into discs) was carried out over the temperature range of 10-300\(^\circ\)K. At 77\(^\circ\)K the spectra was interpreted as arising from two components: 1)20% due to bulk Fe and 2)80% due to the Fe atoms which are situated in the interphase boundaries between
**Fig. 6.31:** Hyperfine magnetic field distribution plots for RT spectra of the chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x = 1.46$ and 1.80) samples.
Fig. 6.32: Hyperfine magnetic field distribution plots for 77.4°K spectra of the chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x = 1.46$ and 1.80) samples.
crystallites. The results of a Lorentzian analysis on the 77°K spectrum indicated that the $H_{\text{int}}$ of the bulk Fe$^0$ atoms was enhanced to 343kG from the influence of the interfacial component. The interfacial Fe$^0$ atoms exhibited a broad hfd with a mean $(H_{\text{int}})$ of 351kG. The enhancement in the $H_{\text{int}}$ of ≈4% w.r.t. the $H_{\text{int}}$ of iron in a b.c.c. lattice was attributed to the expansion of the nearest neighbour spacing in the interfacial component.

The Fe particles observed in our study can be thought of as clusters of Fe$^0$ microcrystallites, and hence there would also be interfacial Fe atoms throughout the cluster (Fig. 6.33). Thus the broad hfd observed for the $x = 1.46$ spectrum can be interpreted as the sum of hfd's arising from 1) bulk Fe$^0$ atoms with an enhanced $(H_{\text{int}})$ and 2) the interfacial Fe$^0$ atoms at the crystal boundaries. In the $x = 1.80$ sample, the mean size of the crystals must be greater resulting in a lower number of interfacial Fe$^0$ atoms, and a corresponding lower value for $(H_{\text{bulk}})$.

The analogous hfd plots for the 4.2°K spectra (Fig. 6.34) do not fully support this interpretation as the hfd for the bulk Fe$^0$ atoms exhibits a sharp maximum at 347kG. Thus at RT a field of 301-306kG is observed, at 77.4°K the field is 357(4)kG, and at 4.2°K the internal field is 347(4)kG. While the presence of interfacial iron can explain the greatly enhanced $H_{\text{int}}$ at 77.4°K, the subsequent diminution in $H_{\text{int}}$ at 4.2°K is difficult to understand. The latter may in part be an artifact of the hfd.
Fig. 6.33: Model of an iron microcluster showing the different phases that can be observed by Mössbauer spectroscopy at 4.2°K.
Fig. 6.34: Hyperfine magnetic field distribution plots for 4.2°K spectra of the chemically lithiated Li$_x$FeS ($x = 1.46$ and 1.80) samples.
folds. The spectra at 77.4°K exhibit broad asymmetric lines due to relaxation while those at 4.2°K are sharply resolved.

VI.7 $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Chemically Lithiated CuFeS$_2$

VI.7a $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Li$_4$CuFeS$_2$ at 295, 77.4 and 4.2°K

The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of chemically lithiated chalcopyrite Li$_4$CuFeS$_2$ were recorded at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K (Fig. 6.35). The spectra are qualitatively similar to the spectra for the fully discharged Li|LiClO$_4$-PC|FeS$_2$ cathode #R-4 at the same temperatures. The 4.2°K spectrum can be attributed to SP α-Fe particles and contributions from surface atoms. The RT spectrum, and the 77.4°K spectrum, neither of which shows any hfs, indicate that the Fe° particles produced in this sample are considerably smaller than the Fe° particles produced in FeS$_2$ chemically lithiated to the same extent (Fig. 6.16).

VI.7b Variable Temperature $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of Li$_4$CuFeS$_2$

The $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra for the Li$_x$CuFeS$_2$ ($x = 4$) sample between $\approx 60°$ and 15°K are shown in Figure (6.36). This series of experiments was conducted using the variable temperature apparatus of the UBC Mössbauer group. The counting statistics were poor, the percent effect low and the linewidths broad. Nevertheless, this series of spectra showed that as the temperature was lowered the SP fraction decreased with an
Fig. 6.35: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of $\text{Li}_4\text{CuFeS}_2$ at 295, 77.4, and 4.2°K.
Fig. 6.36: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$_4$CuFeS$_2$ between 60 and 15$^\circ$K
associated increase in the magnetic component. From these spectra a value for the blocking temperature, $T_B$, was estimated to be $\approx 35 \pm 5^\circ K$.

VI.7c $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer of $\text{Li}_4\text{CuFeS}_2$ in Externally Applied Magnetic Fields

The RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of the $\text{Li}_4\text{CuFeS}_2$ sample in an external magnetic field, applied perpendicular to the direction of the $\gamma$-ray beam, are shown in Figure (6.37). It can be seen from the spectra that some of the particles exhibit hfs in the presence of an external field as low as 1500G. As was discussed in VI.5c, the dimensions of the electromagnet and the limitations of the power supply were insufficient to produce the required field strength necessary in order to use the high field approximation, (37), for determination of the mean particle size. Thus while these experiments confirm the presence of superparamagnetism in the sample, they do not allow a determination of particle size.
Fig. 6.37: RT $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra of Li$_4$CuFeS$_2$ in the presence of various external magnetic fields.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

1. The RT Mössbauer experiments were not very informative (cf. Clark's attempts). The in situ measurements in particular, were not as practical or as useful as we thought they might be. Nevertheless Mössbauer spectroscopy has proved to be a useful technique for studying the mechanism of discharge in these battery systems.

2. Thus low temperature Mössbauer and external magnetic field measurements provided very detailed information about the physical form of the iron particles formed in fully reduced FeS₂ cathodes. This is the first time that such measurements have been carried out on reduced cathodes. The average particle diameter of the iron in one of the cathodes was estimated to be $27 \pm 1\text{Å}$. It is of some interest that these experiments have provided examples of:

   i) superparamagnetism,
   ii) superferromagnetism,
   iii) surface effects,
   and iv) collective magnetic excitations

   all in the same system.

3. The formation of intermediates during discharge was found to be dependent on the rate of discharge and electrolyte. While no evidence for Li₂FeS₂ was found in FeS₂ cathodes discharged
at RT or at 55°C there was possible evidence for Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$, (and other Li$_x$FeS$_2$ phases). Elemental iron was formed early in the discharge cycle as proposed by Clark et al [84]. The formation of iron particles:

$$\text{FeS}_2 \rightarrow (\text{Li}_x\text{FeS}_2) \rightarrow \text{Fe}^0$$

proceeds rapidly without attaining thermodynamic equilibrium and as a result only small quantities of intermediate are observed during discharge.

4. The mechanism for the discharge of an Li/FeS cell is apparently a one step process. No evidence for any intermediate(s) was obtained although low temperature spectra on partially discharged cathodes were not carried out. The iron particles present in fully reduced cathodes are larger than that found in analogous FeS$_2$ cathodes with comparable electrolyte and rate of discharge. This may in part be due to the difference in crystal structure of FeS compared with FeS$_2$ or different particle sizes in these two materials.

5. The Fe$^0$ particles produced upon chemical lithiation of LiCuFeS$_2$ are considerably smaller than the Fe$^0$ particles formed from FeS$_2$ chemically lithiated to the same extent.

6. This work has provided new information about Li$_2$FeS$_2$ and the first Mössbauer measurements on Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$. 
VII.2 Suggested Further Studies

The complexity of the Mössbauer spectrum of Li$_2$FeS$_2$ at 4.2°K suggests that further measurements between 77.4 and 4.2°K will be required to allow an interpretation of the spectra in terms of the various magnetic hfs components. It appears that some of the iron sites in Li$_2$FeS$_2$ at 4.2°K also experience electric quadrupole perturbations, and further experiments will be required to determine the magnetic properties.

The compound Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ is interesting as it possesses an internal magnetic field and a significant electric quadrupole interaction. It is not possible to determine the hyperfine parameters such as $H_{\text{int}}$, the asymmetry parameter $\eta$, and the quadrupole coupling constant $\frac{1}{2}e^2qQ$ from the spectrum without first knowing the angle between the electric field gradient (EFG) tensor and the direction of the internal magnetic field. An x-ray crystal structure of Li$_3$Fe$_2$S$_4$ will be required to allow such an analysis.

While the in situ RT Mössbauer experiments did not prove to be very informative, future experiments in the presence of an applied external magnetic field could provide a method for in situ iron particle-size determination. The average Fe$^+$ particle size could be determined for different discharge rates and/or electrolytes. Similarly a study on the iron particle size at different stages of recharge should provide further insight into the poor reversibility of the Li/FeS$_2$ cell. These
experiments should be carefully designed and carried out in a short period of time in order to minimize the effects of diffusion of the particles to form larger particles. The external magnetic fields should also be large enough (~1T) in order to use the high field approximation for particle-size determination, and measurements must be carried out above the blocking temperature of the particles.

The work on the Li/FeS system is incomplete and will require low temperature Mössbauer experiments on partially discharged cathodes to determine whether intermediates are indeed formed. The in situ experiments discussed previously can also be extended for the Li/FeS system.
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