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ABSTRACT

Defoliation of *Alnus rubra* Bong. saplings on Burnaby Mountain, B.C., between August 1970 and August 1971 was mainly caused by two chrysomelid beetles, *Pyrrhalta punctipennis* (Mannerheim) and *Altica ambiens* Le Conte and one sawfly, *Eriocampa ovata* L. (Hymenoptera, Tenthredinidae). Insect damage was low until August. Between mid-June and the autumn there was a progressive decline in the numbers of leaves per tree. The number of leaves damaged increased steadily from June on, but the proportion of each leaf damaged remained small until mid-August. After mid-August the damage per leaf increased rapidly, and by early September severe leaf damage was apparent. No relationship was established between leaf surface lost to defoliators and stem wood volume increment or between residual leaf surface in the autumn and stem growth.
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INTRODUCTION

Little work has been done on methods of measuring damage to either forest or agricultural crops by defoliating insects (Strickland et al., 1970). Judging by the scarcity of reviews and papers, the manner in which defoliation influences the growth of crop plants and forest trees has not been studied adequately (Kulman, 1971). One result of this deficiency is that it is difficult to assess objectively the need for control measures. Conspicuous but superficial damage may elicit excessive and uneconomical controls while cryptic but significant damage may go untreated. Economic injury levels of pest density must be determined carefully; there are factors that influence the market value of the crop that must be considered entirely apart from total productivity (Stern et al., 1959). Crop loss assessments must be devised before pest control costs and the market value of the crop can be superimposed (Stern et al., 1959).

This thesis attempts to assess the effects of defoliating insects on the productivity and growth of red alder (*Alnus rubra* Bong.)

*ALNUS RUBRA BONG.*

Red alder is the most abundant hardwood in the Pacific
Northwest and coastal Alaska. The total red alder volume was estimated in 1957 to be about 12.5 billion board-feet, about 90% of which was in Washington and Oregon (Worthington, 1957). The volume of red alder is only about 3% of the conifer volume in the Pacific Northwest. In Washington and Oregon, increasing amounts of red alder are being used for pulpwood and lumber (Worthington, 1957). Red alder is generally relegated to the status of a weed tree in conifer forests, on roadsides and along power lines, among other locations. It is probable that it will be regarded as an economically important tree in the future.

I. Taxonomy

*Alnus rubra* Bong. (= *Alnus oregona* Nuttal) (Betulaceae) is the largest species in the genus *Alnus*. It is also known as Pacific Coast alder, Oregon alder and Western alder. *Alnus rubra* Bong. is the name accepted by Johnson (1968).

The form of the tree and leaf morphology distinguish it easily from other *Alnus* species. There is a single bole which is smooth and tapering and the crown is short and dome-shaped. The leaves have a serrated margin with a characteristic inroll.

II. Range

Red alder occurs in the Pacific Coast region from southeast Alaska to Southern California, latitudes 60°N and 34°N
respectively. It generally occurs below an elevation of 2500 feet and less than 100 miles from the ocean (Worthington et al., 1962). It is prevalent along river valleys, lowlands and moist mountain slopes. Fig. I shows the range of A. rubra.

III. Salient features of A. rubra ecology and life history

Red alder is an important component in three cover types in the Pacific Northwest: the red alder type, the Sitka spruce type and the Sitka spruce-western hemlock type. It also occurs in clumps in the Douglas-fir type, the Douglas-fir - western hemlock type and the western red cedar type. It is thus widespread in coniferous forest communities (Worthington et al., 1962).

A. rubra has exacting moisture requirements, 25 inches of precipitation per annum or more being necessary. The annual precipitation over most of its range varies from 25-120 inches. It generally thrives on all slopes at low elevations but north and east-facing slopes are favoured where precipitation is low.

Red alder propagates itself by means of small wingless seeds, called nutlets, which are born in hard black cones. Seed production begins at about 10 years of age and continues to maturity; alder has a longevity of 50-70 years. The seeds are produced in very large quantities. Dispersal of seeds is
Fig. I. The range of red alder
by wind. The seeds must have full overhead light for germination. Young seedlings can tolerate shade for the first two years but thereafter they must have full overhead light. The requirement for full overhead light explains why red alder is a pioneer species in areas where existing vegetation has been cleared by logging and where areas have been denuded by fire or ice storms. Red alder seedlings grow very rapidly after the first year; where conifers of the same age are present red alder outstrips them in height growth, overshades them, and inhibits their growth. This characteristic makes red alder a major weed species in conifer forests. Red alder is overtaken by conifers after about 20-30 years of growth. But in extra moist soils of high organic content, such as valley bottom soils, alder maintains its dominance and becomes the climax vegetation (Worthington et al., 1962).

IV. **Red alder and nitrogen fixation**

The genus *Alnus* is one of the few genera of Angiosperm plants that can fix atmospheric nitrogen. This important physiological process was first demonstrated in European alder, *Alnus glutinosa* (L.) (Bond, 1956). Most *Alnus* spp. including A. *rubra* carry the root nodule endophyte responsible for the assimilation of free nitrogen.
Tarrant (1963) considers that red alder foliage is perhaps more important than root nodules as the direct source of nitrogen compounds available for recycling.

During a 26 year period, *A. rubra* was estimated to add 36 pounds of fixed nitrogen per acre per annum (Tarrant, 1963). The mean annual accumulation rate for fixed nitrogen in mixed plantings with Douglas-fir was 56.6 lbs./acre. In Alaska, studies on a recently deglaciated area where Sitka alder, *Alnus sinuata* (Regel) Rydberg, was becoming established had a mean annual accumulation rate of 55 lbs. per acre (Crocker and Major, 1955).

Tarrant et al (1968) found that fixed nitrogen and organic matter content increased in mixed plantings of *A. rubra* and conifers. Yamaya (1968) reported that the rate of nutrient cycling under alder forests is very rapid. Thus, although alder increases organic matter and nitrogen content, the trees would have to be cut to break the cycle and to release the extra nutrients in the soil for use by other tree species.

The nitrogen fixation capacity of alder, its rapid growth and the rapid cycling of nutrients in alder forests have been exploited in various ways:-

a) In Europe alder has been used to stabilize flood deposits and landslide areas and to reclaim mine spoils.
b) Although still only potentially important, the use of alder for improving the growth of associated timber species, or in rotation with species, is probably the most interesting. The following tree genera have been found to do better in the presence of alder than its absence (Tarrant et al, 1968): *Fraxinus*, *Liquidambar*, *Liriodendron*, *Picea*, *Pinus*, *Platanus*, *Populus* and *Pseudotsuga*. This is probably due to the capacity of alder species to enhance soil fertility.

V. Growth and Yield

A. *rubra* is the largest of the six species of alder in North America. Maximum diameter ranges from 24-30" while maximum height is 100-130 ft. Generally, red alder has a long pencil-like bole and hence is suitable for lumber. The trees with very large diameters tend to have short merchantable boles and are less suitable for lumber.

Red alder grows largely in mixed forests. This scattered distribution makes measurement of volume increments per unit area difficult. The mean annual increment varies widely depending on the density and distribution of alder and on the site characteristics of the chosen area. As one would expect, pure stands, low in acreage, have higher mean annual increments; they tend to be found in areas of optimum moisture and soil
conditions such as valley bottoms containing alluvial soils. Pure stands, on the average, have mean annual yields of about 400-500 board feet per acre. This is about 20,000 to 35,000 bd. feet per acre on a 50-70 year rotation (Worthington, 1957).

Average yields for red alder are less than conifer yields. This is due to:-

a) The shorter merchantable tree height of red alder. Merchantable height of red alder at 50 years of age is 40-50 feet while the comparable height for conifers associated with alder is 65-90 feet.

b) The larger crown area per acre of red alder, and therefore, fewer stems per acre. This is true only of mature alder. Young alder, below 15 years of age, often attains such a high density that there is virtually no understorey vegetation.

Removal of virgin conifer forests through logging etc., tends to favour encroachment by red alder. Hence, the total volume of red alder, although only about 3% of the softwood volume in the Pacific northwest, may increase as more conifer forests are denuded.

Examples of yield tables for red alder are shown (Table 1).
**TABLE 1. Examples of yield tables for red alder**

### PACIFIC NORTHWEST YIELD TABLES¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Board-foot yield²</th>
<th>Cubic-foot yield³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average net volume</td>
<td>Mean annual increment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>6,800</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>13,700</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>20,600</td>
<td>412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>27,300</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>33,800</td>
<td>483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### BRITISH COLUMBIA F.S. YIELD TABLES⁴

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Board-foot yield²</th>
<th>Cubic-foot yield³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3,400</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>12,750</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>23,500</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>30,750</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>35,000</td>
<td>583</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Site index is 90 feet (50 years).
2. PNW table: Scribner rule for all trees larger than 9.5 inches d.b.h. to a fixed top diameter of 8 inches (inside bark). Scaled in 8-foot logs.
   B.C. table: B.C. rule for all trees larger than 6.5 inches d.b.h. to an average top diameter of 8 inches (outside bark). Scaled in 8-foot sections.
3. PNW table: All trees larger than 5.5 inches d.b.h. to a minimum 4-inch top (inside bark).
   B.C. table: All trees larger than 0.9 inch d.b.h.
4. British Columbia Forest Service (1947). Site index is 96 'feet (50 years).

VI. Characteristics as a tree and a wood; present uses; potential uses

Most of the Pacific coast red alder is in Washington and Oregon and most of the commercial uses of alder referred to below apply to these areas. Elsewhere, red alder is only of minor use and is treated as a weed species, especially where it encroaches on land suitable for conifers. Thus, in British Columbia, it is generally regarded as brush, alongside broadleaf maple (Acer macrophyllum Pursh), vine maple (Acer circinatum Pursh), salmonberry (Rubus spectabilis Pursh), bracken (Pteridium aquilinum L) and salal (Gaultheria shallon Pursh).

In British Columbia, alder is estimated to occupy 105,000 acres (Hetherington, 1964). In B.C. alder is the main target of brush control. Control measures against brush are used prior to planting conifers in locations where alder is already established; where alder invades a conifer plantation it assumes the status of a major weed species and control is required.

Prior to World War II, red alder was relatively untapped as a commercial tree. Since then, it is regarded as a potentially valuable timber species. Within the last decade it has assumed value as a pulpwood source. This change in its economic status is attributed to various factors, e.g.:-

a) Decline in quality and quantity of traditional hardwood
sources has served to focus attention on the good qualities of red alder as a wood. It was long recognized as a suitable wood for furniture but was overshadowed by other hardwoods.

b) Technological advances in alder pulping have made it a potentially valuable species for fine tissues.

c) The economics of managing and utilizing red alder are not adequately researched, so far. But it is apparent that most of the alder acreage is in the coastal belt and is readily accessible.

The alder tree is straight and clear boled for 50-60% of its height when grown in relatively dense stands. Limbs are shed rapidly. In early growth, it tends to grow straight up towards the overhead light; hence the pencil-like form. Its bark is thin.

The wood is whitish when freshly cut but turns brown quickly due to oxidative reactions. Red alder wood has a fine even texture and straight grains. Growth rings show clearly.

The following properties of alder make it a useful furniture wood; it is moderately hard, it machines well, it takes finish well, it is easily glued, it does not split readily on nailing, and when seasoned, it does not shrink or warp appreciably.

In addition to common furniture manufacture, it is used
as core stock and cross bands in plywood.

Pulpwood exceeds furniture as the main use for red alder in Washington and Oregon. This is a fairly recent development. Red alder grows very rapidly and has a short generation time from establishment to harvest. It has a thin bark and straight clean bole which are good features for a pulpwood; there is little wastage and the material to be pulped is fairly homogeneous. It is suitable for the following products:-

i) Sulphite alder pulp is blended with softwood pulp to make high-grade tissue. Red alder has short, soft cellulose fibers which are suited for this product.

ii) Neutral sulphite semichemical alder pulp is suitable for manufacturing insulation board, corrugation board and grease-proof paper.

iii) Red alder sulphate and soda pulps are suitable for printing paper, book paper, tissue paper and towelling paper. However, since alder pulp is resinous, bleaching is necessary. Douglas-fir is the main species for sulphate pulping in the Pacific western forests. Alder sulphate pulp blended with the fir pulp produces paper that is light and takes a good finish; it is suitable for magazines, milk cartons etc. Fir pulp alone produces a bulky product with poor finish due to its large, coarse fibres.
Red alder wood is a source of cheap firewood because it is so abundant, it burns readily when well-dried, there is little ash and hardly any odour, sparking is minimal, and the wood is light and easy to saw into logs. The only fault of red alder as firewood is that it burns too quickly. It is also used to make charcoal.

Approximately 200 million board feet of red alder were logged in Oregon and Washington in 1959 (Worthington et al., 1962). Two-thirds of this was used for making pulp and the rest for furniture and miscellaneous uses.

In the light of the foregoing survey, one would predict a bright future for red alder in the Pacific northwest. Its economic value should increase and its status as a weed tree in British Columbia should vanish. The main characteristics in its favour appear to be:

a) its nitrogen fixing capacity,
b) its rapid early growth,
c) its abundance and accessibility,
d) its suitability for lumber and pulpwood; blending with soft wood pulp seems promising especially since alder is closely associated with conifer over most of its range.

VII. Pests and diseases

The principal natural enemies of red alder are two fungi,
several leaf-eating insects, two aphid species and bark and wood-boring beetles.

a) **Fungi**

The most destructive is white heart rot, *Fomes igniarius* (Fr.) Kickx., (Conners, 1967).

*Didymosphaeria oregonesis* Goodding causes stem cankers (Conners, 1967).

b) **Sucking insects**

There are two species of aphids, *Pterocallis alni* (DeGeer) and *Euceraphis gillettei* Davidson. *P. alni* is well known (Browne, 1968).

c) **Leaf-eating insects**

There are two species of Chrysomelidae, *Alticaambiens* Le Conte (Worthington, 1957; Keen, 1952) and *Pyrrhalta punctipennis* (Mannerheim). The latter is not mentioned in any of the reviews of red alder.

Two species of tent caterpillars, *Malacosoma pluvialis* Dyar and *Malacosoma distria* HBN. (Keen, 1952) occur on red alder.

Lastly two species of sawflies *Eriocampa ovata* L. (Ross, 1951) and *Hemichroa crocea* Fourcroy (Worthington, 1957) occur on red alder.
d) Bark beetles and wood-boring beetles

*Alniphaqus aspericollis* (Le Conte) the alder bark beetle is common (Fowells, 1965). There are several minor wood-boring beetles that attack alder.
Red Alder on Burnaby Mountain

I. Site Characteristics

Burnaby Mountain has an elevation of 1200 ft. above sea level. The slopes on the north and western side are steep while the rest are more gentle and longer.

The parent material in the lower Fraser Valley is of glacial and post-glacial flood-plain origin (Kelley and Spilsbury, 1939). The soil on Burnaby Mountain falls into the Alderwood series on the basis of its colour, profile, drainage, and parent material. The Alderwood series is marked by several feet of hard boulder clay containing stones and gravel overlying deep layers of stratified sands and gravel. Two to four feet of surface alluvium complete the profile.

Observations on excavation sites on Burnaby Mountain and on cleared areas reveal that the soil is very sandy and, except where vegetation cover is dense and mulch has accumulated, the soil is generally very loose and unstructured in texture; it appears porous and conducive to leaching.

The mean monthly precipitation for Burnaby Mountain for the last five years is shown in Fig. II. The annual precipitation is usually above 80". The temperature regime in the Lower Fraser Valley is shown in Fig. III. Temperatures are fairly uniform in the Lower Fraser Valley (Kelley and Spilsbury,
Fig. II MEAN MONTHLY PRECIPITATION OVER A PERIOD OF 5 YEARS ON BURNABY MOUNTAIN

N.B.: BARS INDICATE THE RANGE OF VARIATION OF 5 YEARS PRECIPITATION OVER THE PERIOD

MONTH

PRECIPITATION (inches)
Fig. III. TEMPERATURE REGIME IN THE LOWER FRASER VALLEY

Temperatures are based on a 30 year average

(Kelley and Spilsbury, 1939)
The original forest of Burnaby Mountain was logged repeatedly commencing early in the century. Little of the original forest remains.

Two types of vegetation zone can now be distinguished on Burnaby Mountain, viz:–

a) a typical second-growth upland forest dominated by red alder, western hemlock and western red cedar.

b) the areas that were cleared 5 years ago for construction of buildings and roads. Where unmanaged, these areas have been invaded by dense stands of red alder saplings and several shrubs.

A survey of the floristic composition of the two vegetation zones revealed the following:–

a) the older second-growth forest consists almost entirely of the following:–

- Red alder – very abundant
- Western hemlock – abundant
- Western red cedar – abundant
- Douglas-fir – scattered
- Broad-leaf maple – less abundant
- Vine maple – less abundant

b) on the cleared areas the regeneration is almost entirely
made up of *Alnus rubra* Bong saplings. But there are a few species associated with it, mainly shrubs, viz:-

- Salmon-berry - common
- Thimble-berry - common
- Pacific willow (a tree) - common
- Bracken (a fern) - common

The alder saplings constitute very dense stands. The associated species listed above are found only where the alder seedlings are about 1-2 years old and only a couple of feet tall. Where the saplings are older, the growth is very rapid and the red alder canopy cuts out overhead light to other seedlings as well as exhausting the food and water resources in the soil. Thus, an almost pure stand of very densely-packed older saplings results. There is almost nothing growing under the closed canopy.

The growth rate, in terms of the volume increments will be analyzed in detail in a later section. Defoliants have been applied to some of the alder stands in the past few years to check growth. No significant control of the regeneration resulted probably because the spraying was not sustained.
Project on Defoliation

Scope and Objectives

Though alder saplings seem to thrive on Burnaby Mountain, by the end of summer, most trees are severely defoliated.

In the light of the earlier review of the economic status of *Alnus rubra* in the Pacific northwest, it seems useful to study the impact on the growth of the species by the major insects feeding on it. The general principles of what constitutes economic damage are still not well defined (Strickland et al., 1970; Stern et al., 1959). One reason is the difficulty of objectively assessing the interaction between plant growth and pest damage. Another reason is the presence of many factors which have effect on growth; they are interrelated in complex ways and studying one in isolation is unlikely to yield meaningful results, for example:

a) Buds and new foliage produce hormones which regulate metabolic processes such as production of organic compounds through photosynthesis, and the utilization of these compounds for growth (Kulman, 1971). Therefore, if defoliation resulted in fewer buds and less new foliage, lack of the necessary hormones might stop utilization of stored food in the plant. This could interfere with growth increment quite independently of
the reduction of photosynthetic surface, in which case, the direct effect of defoliation is on utilization of organic substances (Kozlowski and Keller, 1966).

b) The translocation of materials in the plant is very complex. At different times the lower and upper part of the stems may be acting as either a source or a sink for organic substances. Hence, the location of defoliation on the plant, and the time in the growing season when it occurs could influence growth in a complex fashion (Clausen and Kozlowski, 1967; Larson and Gordon, 1969).

c) Flower and fruit production may reduce foliage production and may thus confound the influence of defoliation on the area of photosynthetic surface (Kulman, 1971).

The impact of a complex of insects on plant growth and yield introduces even more factors to the system because the insects have differing feeding habits and differing life histories.

The scope of the present project was limited to defoliators only because the extent of the damage they cause can be estimated relatively quickly. The effect of the damage on the growth increment can be measured only approximately. One could hypothesize that although many factors influence growth, some weigh more heavily than others. Because the very heavy defol-
iation caused by the Chrysomelids and the sawfly by the end of the summer is the most conspicuous and drastic form of damage, it was considered plausible to study the effect of this component first.

Alder saplings constitute a suitable experimental subject because they grow rapidly and because some of the factors that complicate analysis of defoliation are absent in alder saplings. For example, there is no flower or fruit production by the saplings studied.

In practice, pest density rather than symptoms of pest presence, such as defoliation, is used for correlation with crop loss – and conversely, crop yield. Studying the effects of defoliation on the plant can be visualized as part of the overall study of productivity; and hence, it is theoretically important.
METHODS AND MATERIALS

I. The sampling site

A small stand of *Alnus rubra* covering about two acres on the top of Burnaby Mountain was selected as a sampling site. Because site characteristics greatly influence growth, a site of relatively uniform elevation, aspect, and soil profile was chosen. The stand consisted almost entirely of *A. rubra* saplings between 3 and 5 years of age, and from 5 to 9 feet in height, which had grown from seed following clearing of the secondary forest about six years ago. All saplings were in the phase of rapid growth. Sampling began in the summer of 1970 and continued the following year. In both years saplings from the same age classes were sampled.

II. Visual estimation of defoliation

Toward the end of summer, 1970, the alder trees on Burnaby Mountain were heavily defoliated. But there was much variation in the degree of defoliation of individual trees even within the small experimental site; damage ranged from virtually nil to nearly 100 percent defoliation.

Eighty-eight saplings representative of the various levels of defoliation were selected and tagged with durable markers.

The first step was to estimate the total number of leaves
per tree by counting the leaves on representative branches and multiplying by the number of branches, or branch equivalents, on the tree. (For each sapling the total number of leaves was expressed in terms of (x) branches, each branch bearing approximately the same number of leaves; thus two or three short upper branches were ranked as one (x) standard branch). Twenty percent of the (x) branches, selected from all levels and sides of the tree, were examined for leaf damage. Visual estimates of damage to the leaf surface by insects were made.

The following data were recorded:

a) Total number of leaves per sampled (x) branch
b) The number of (x) branches per tree
c) The number of undamaged leaves (in most samples this was nil)
d) The number of leaves that had heavy, medium, or light damage respectively, according to the proportion of leaf surface damaged.

III. Check of visual estimation of defoliation

The three classes of damage, heavy, medium, and light were subjective estimates. This kind of estimation is subject to error but it is commonly used where more accurate measurements would entail excessive labour and time. In this case, precise measurements of surface areas and the areas of many
small holes in each sampled leaf seemed impractical. Thus the less accurate visual estimate was used.

But some measure of the accuracy of this estimate seemed desirable. Thus a sample of 4-5 leaves per tree were selected, categorized into one of the three damage classes, and placed in a plant press. The total areas of these leaves, and the areas damaged were later measured by placing the pressed leaf on 1 mm$^2$ graph paper and tracing around the margins of the leaf and the damaged areas with a sharp, hard pencil. The respective areas were then estimated by counting 1 mm squares contained within the margins.

By these means a fairly accurate estimation of percentage of leaf surface damage by insects was obtained. As these leaves were previously categorized by visual estimate into heavy, medium, and light defoliation classes, these classes could now be checked against percentage defoliation. Thus the consistency of the visual estimates could be checked and could be given some meaning in terms of percentage defoliation.

The method of measuring leaf areas by counting squares is slow and laborious, especially when leaves are heavily damaged and there are many small holes. Some heavily damaged leaves have lost a large proportion of their margins and it was necessary to reconstruct the original leaf shape from rather
ragged remnants. This required a certain amount of guesswork.

Despite these deficiencies, this method was the most acceptable of those tried. Three other methods were considered and rejected.

i. Planimeter measurements - The planimeter was not sufficiently accurate to consistently measure the areas of the complex shapes of alder leaves and their damaged areas.

ii. Weight related estimates - Theoretically if the weight of an undamaged leaf is known, the weight loss of a damaged leaf could be correlated with surface area lost. There are a number of difficulties to application of the method: (a) Leaves of similar area vary in thickness, and therefore in weight. (b) Leaves collected were already damaged, thus the undamaged weight of the leaf was unattainable. (c) Not all damaged tissue is removed from the leaf, thus non-functional tissue is included in the weight of damaged leaves. (d) Leaves vary in thickness from base to tip, thus equal areas of tissue removed from base or tip correspond to unequal changes in weight. (e) The weights of leaves vary with moisture content and it is difficult to bring leaves to a uniform moisture content.

iii. Optical methods - A beam of light is passed through the leaf and the intensity of transmitted light is correlated
to degree of leaf area damaged. Differences of optical density of leaf tissue within and between leaves introduce experimental errors. Apparatus of sufficient sensitivity and accuracy was not available.

Thus the method of counting squares proved to be the simplest, most direct, and most accurate of suggested methods. But it is slow.

IV. Revised defoliation estimates

In 1971 the sampling program for estimating percent defoliation was modified to enhance the reproducibility of the estimates. Sample branches were selected from arbitrarily preselected sites on the stem one foot, two feet and three feet below the growing tip (when no branch occurred at precisely the measured distance, the nearest branch to the measured distance was selected). The total number of leaves and the number of leaves damaged per sample branch were recorded. Ten percent of the damaged leaves on each sample branch were selected, enclosed in a plastic bag, and the leaf area and area of damage were later measured by counting squares. These measurements were made while the leaves were still turgid to minimize variations of areas due to shrinkage of dried or wilted leaves. In addition the total number of leaves per sapling was counted in 1971. Only rarely, if ever, do insects
remove a complete leaf from an alder tree. Even when virtually the entire leaf surface is destroyed, the petiole and main veins remain to be counted. In summer, however, with the onset of hot, dry weather, some leaves fall. At the same time growing tips are producing new leaves. Thus the total number of leaves per tree is continually changing.

In spite of these confounding elements, the total number of leaves on the tree at the time of each count was considered to constitute the number born by the tree for the previous time interval.

V. **Measurements of seasonal growth**

Tree growth was measured in terms of growth volume of the main stem.

The main stem of the tree was considered to be made up of a series of hollow cones fitted one over the other. Each cone constitutes one year's growth. The first year's growth was considered to be a solid cone. The volume of each cone was calculated from the basal diameter of the tree at the end of each growing season and the height attained by the tree in the same season.

The stem of red alder saplings, especially those growing in dense stands, is straight and erect, and it is close to circular in basal section. Stems over three years old, however,
produce a terminal zone which is triangular in section. This part of the stem is very thin and constitutes an insignificant part of the total stem volume; for convenience this triangular form was ignored and the entire stem was considered conical in shape.

The numbers of and basal diameters of cones are readily obtained from the annual rings of the basal section. A disc of wood was sawn from the base of each stem and the face smoothed with a sharp chisel. Annual rings showed up as brown rings 5-10 minutes after cutting. These are caused by a sharp line of demarcation between large, thin-walled springwood cells and small, thick-walled summerwood cells of the same year. Occasionally false rings appeared. False rings are readily distinguished from true annual rings by microscopic examination of a microtome section stained with safranin.

Figs. IV-VII illustrate the gross morphology of the growth rings.

The heights of annual cones were obtained by splitting the stem longitudinally and noting the point where the number of annual rings decreases by one. This indicates the point where one year's longitudinal growth terminates.

From the height and basal diameter of cones, the total volume of the tree at the end of each year was calculated.
The annual volume measurement was obtained by subtracting each year's total volume from the total volume attained the previous year.

VI. **Sampling for the vertical distribution of the main defoliators**

In July, 1971, the density of the principal defoliators, *P. punctipennis* larvae and *E. ovata* larvae was high. Observations on *P. punctipennis* showed a scarcity of early instars on the top half of the sapling. Also epidermal damage to the leaves, attributed to early instars of *P. punctipennis* and *A. ambiens* was more concentrated in the lower half of the sapling. This suggested different feeding sites for different instars of *P. punctipennis* larvae. To determine the vertical distribution of *Pyrrhalta* larvae, 30 saplings averaging 3-4 feet were selected. Some were shaded and some fully exposed to light; they also varied in the crown shape and vigour. Since *E. ovata* larvae were important defoliators too and were abundant, they were included in the sampling programme.

Each sapling was divided into foot intervals along the vertical axis. For each interval, the following data was obtained:

a) the total leaf number

b) the number of damaged leaves
c) a visual rating of the extent of epidermal damage and perforation on the leaves; this was indicated by the symbols, x, xx, xxx, etc., a single x indicating the lowest rating
d) the total number of *P. punctipennis* and *E. ovata*
e) the number of early (mainly I) and late (II and III) instars of *P. punctipennis*

The subdivision of the saplings into intervals generally resulted in individual branches falling into single intervals because *A. rubra* branches are relatively horizontal in inclination. *A. ambiens* larvae were too scarce to justify inclusion in the sampling programme. *E. ovata* larvae were mainly in the early instars and they were not categorized into early and late stages. Sampling was done over a wide range of daylight hours.
Fig. IV. Cross section of *A. rubra* stem under low power magnification to show the overall appearance of growth rings. The parenchyma rays are conspicuous.
Fig. V. Cross section of *A. rubra* stem stained with safranin. It shows the typical growth ring. The sharp contrast between the large xylem vessels of the springwood and the smaller elements of the summerwood is also illustrated.

Magnification: x 25
Fig. VI. Cross section of *Alnus rubra* Bong stem stained with safranin. Figure shows a rare type of false ring which causes the true ring to appear double under low power magnification.

Magnification: x 25
Fig. VII. Cross section of *A. rubra* stem stained with safranin to show the hazy discontinuous aspect of two false rings under low power magnification. This type of false ring is common on *Alnus* stem sections. To the naked eye the false rings appear just a shade fainter than the rest of the rings and could be confused for true rings.

Magnification: x 25
RESULTS

Classification of late summer (August and September, 1970) damage into visually estimated damage classes is shown in Table 2. Table 2 shows the mean number of leaves sampled per sapling, the mean number of leaves falling into each damage class and the number of whole trees falling into each damage class.

Estimates of defoliation done by careful measurements of leaf areas and areas of leaf damage are shown in Tables 3 and 4. The tables show the calculated percentages of leaf surface damaged.

The visual and measured defoliation indices for 1970 were compared (Fig. VIII). It is apparent that there is much discrepancy between the two. Hence visual impression of the severity of defoliation are deceptive.

Both in the fall of 1970 and in the summer of 1971, measurements of leaf damage on A. rubra saplings entailed:-

a) an estimate of the percentage of leaf surface area destroyed by defoliators at each sampling date (Fig. IX).

b) an estimate of the total number of leaves per sapling at each sapling date. Using a mean leaf size the total photosynthetic surface per sapling was calculated (Table 5). Figs. XA and XB show the pattern of change of the two variables.
Fig. VIII Relationship between visual and measured damage to leaves
c) an estimate of the proportion of leaves damaged per sampling date (Fig. XI and Table 6).

Figures XA and XB reveal that maximal leaf surface area per sapling was achieved about the end of June, 1971. Before the end of June the production of new leaves at the growing tips was high. In July and August a moderately high and persistent leaf-fall was noted. Though no precise measurement of leaf production or loss were made, before mid-summer new leaf production apparently exceeds leaf-fall while after mid-summer leaf-fall apparently exceeds new leaf production.

Figure XI shows that very few leaves were damaged prior to June, 1971, and of those leaves damaged, an almost imperceptible portion of the leaf surface was destroyed by defoliators (Fig. IX).

Although the number of leaves attacked by defoliators increased rather dramatically in late June and July, the extent of the damage per leaf remained low well into August. Figure XII shows the seasonal change in the proportion of leaves damaged superimposed on the percentage of leaf area damaged. Between June and July about 20% of the leaves were damaged but the area of leaf surface destroyed remained under 3%.

The percentage of leaf surface destroyed shows a sharp
FIG. IX
SEASONAL CHANGE IN MEAN PERCENT LEAF AREA DAMAGED BY INSECTS
FIG X. (A), CHANGE IN MEAN SURFACE AREA PER STEM
(B), CHANGE IN MEAN NUMBER OF LEAVES ON STEM
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FIG. XII RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE NUMBER OF DAMAGED LEAVES AND PERCENT AREA DAMAGED
upward trend in August and reaches a maximum in late August.

Leaf damage was not evenly distributed throughout the total foliage of the tree. Many leaves received no damage, as indicated by the low proportion of leaves showing damage until late August 1971. Of the leaves damaged, there was a predominance of leaves with very slight damage and a very few leaves with heavy damage (Figs. XIII-XVIII).

The distribution of the agents causing damage showed that the number of first instar larvae of *P. punctipennis* decreased with increasing height on the stem. Thus damage by this defoliator tended to be confined to the lower and more shaded branches. The remaining instars of *P. punctipennis* and all instars of *E. ovata* were widely scattered throughout the crown, (Figs. XIX-XXI).

Volume increment data obtained in the fall of 1970 is shown in Table 7. Percentage increment rather than gross volume increment was considered more suitable for comparison of yields to eliminate variance of gross volume growth introduced by differences in initial tree size (Table 8). Table 8 shows mean percentage increase in wood volume of very high magnitudes; a five-fold increase for 3 year old saplings and a three-fold increase for 4 year old saplings.

The relationship between total defoliation at the end of
the season and volume increment of the stem over the season showed no correlation (Fig. XXII). Also there was no significant correlation between the residual leaf surface and volume increment (Figs. XXIII and XXIV).
FIG. XIII
FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF DAMAGE TO LEAVES
OF RED ALDER. 21 VI 71.
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FIG. XIV  28.vi. 71. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF DAMAGE TO LEAVES OF RED ALDER.
FIG. XV. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF DAMAGE TO LEAVES OF RED ALDER.
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FIG. XVI 15 VII 71. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF

DAMAGE TO LEAVES OF RED ALDER.
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FIG. XVII 22 vli 71. FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF DAMAGE TO LEAVES OF RED ALDER.
FIG. XVIII  6 viii 71, FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF DAMAGE TO LEAVES OF RED ALDER.
Fig. XIX Frequency Distribution of P. Punctipennis First Instars on Stems
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FIG. XX FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF LATE INSTARS OF P. PUNCTIPENNIS ON STEM
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FIG. XXI: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF E. OVATA LARVAE ON STEM
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Fig. XXIII Relationship between wood volume increment and residual leaf area in three-year old stems
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Fig. XXIV RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WOOD VOLUME INCREMENT AND RESIDUAL LEAF AREA IN FOUR-YEAR OLD STEMS
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Notes on Insects Occurring on Red Alder on Burnaby Mountain

I. Pyrrhalta punctipennis (Mannerheim) (Chrysomelidae)

The genus Pyrrhalta has been revised twice. This species was previously assigned to the Galerucella and Tricholechmaea. The current name, P. punctipennis is after Wilcox (1965).

P. punctipennis is extremely abundant on A. rubra on Burnaby Mountain. Surveys of the population at various sites on the mountain showed that the distribution was markedly clumped. There were high densities on open stands consisting of young short alder saplings of the order of 2-4 feet. In contrast, older stands which were dense had very low densities. Densities of 10-20 per sapling adults were common on the short saplings. Adults were numerous during the months of May and June in 1971 and then the numbers began to decline. Adult numbers increased again in mid-August.

P. punctipennis adults were observed feeding, on alder foliage, in April. They appeared very soon after the red alder had acquired new foliage. Eggs were obtained from adult beetles reared on alder leaves in petri dishes. Fresh leaves were supplied every second day. The female lays round, whitish-yellow eggs, scattered over the leaf surface (Fig. XXV). Where
Fig. XXV  Eggs of *P. punctipennis*

Magnification:  x 5
the adults were reared on alder twigs bearing several tiers of leaves the females showed preference for the lowest leaves i.e. the base of the twig. Incubation lasts about one week.

The first instar larvae are greyish-black but the later instars are yellowish with black stripes across the abdominal segments (Fig. XXVI). *P. punctipennis* development passes through a first, second and third instar and then a pre-pupa and pupa stages. The development from egg to adult takes 35-40 days, approximately. There are two generations in a year.

The early instars feed mainly on the leaf epidermis and the patches thus made later turn yellowish brown. They are concentrated on the lower branches and feed on the ventral leaf surfaces. The older larvae chew away round holes on the leaf surface (Fig. XXVI). They are scattered more widely on the crown. Adults similarly eat away round holes on the leaf (Fig. XXVII). The adults, unlike the larvae, feed mainly on the dorsal side of the leaf. Many adults were observed feeding in groups of 2-10 on single leaves. The net effect of the eating away of many small holes is to skeletonize the leaf. Adult *P. punctipennis* appear to prefer feeding on short young saplings and also on the terminal leaves of taller saplings.
Fig. XXVI

**Top:** Characteristic circular holes eaten off by large larvae of *P. punctipennis*

**Bottom:** A third instar larva of *P. punctipennis*
Fig. XXVII

Comparison of leaf damage caused by adult Chrysomelids.

Leaves marked (A) show isolated holes eaten off by *A. ambiens*.

Leaves marked (B) show the concentrated feeding of *P. punctipennis*. 
This feature and were generally larger than the area.

B. Aliso larvae were observed on leaves of black alder (Alnus tenuifolia) and red alder (Alnus rubra).

Hartica ambien is commonly known as the alder leaf miner. It occurs also on other coastal hardwoods. All three species feeding common on

A. ambien in summer. In April but it is spread over several months in overlapping generations.

Early instars of A. ambien feed on the epidermis on the lower side of the leaf; the patches fed on then turn brown.
Males were distinguished from females by the pyramidal cleft on the last sternite (Fig. XXVIII). The females lacked this feature and were generally larger than the males.

P. punctipennis larvae were observed feeding on leaves of black cottonwood (Populus trichocarpa Torr. et Gray) and balsam poplar (Populus balsamifera L.)

II. Altica ambiens Le Conte (Chrysomelidae)

Altica was the older name for the genus. A. ambiens is commonly known as the alder flea beetle. It is native to the Pacific coastal states. It occurs also on other coastal hardwood such as poplar and willow, in addition to being common on red alder (Keen, 1952). A. ambiens was reported as very common on red alder (Worthington, 1957; Keen, 1952) but on Burnaby Mountain it is relatively scarce.

The low density of A. ambiens persisted through spring and summer. Oviposition occurs after about one week of feeding in April but it is spread over several weeks. This results in overlapping generations.

Early instars of A. ambiens feed on the epidermis on the lower side of the leaf; the patches fed on then turn brown (Fig. XXIX). Older larvae eat away small holes in the leaf leaving the small veins intact (Fig. XXIX). This skeletonizing habit appears to be characteristic. Adults also eat away holes
Fig. XXVIII

A. Dorsal view of adult *P. punctipennis*.

B. Ventral view of adult *P. punctipennis* showing the cleft on the last sternite of the male (left).

Magnification: x 8
Fig. XXIX  Top: Third instar larva of *Altica ambiens* Leconte. The greyish black colour and black tubercles readily distinguish the larvae from those of the other Chrysomelid occupying the same niche i.e. *P. punctipennis*.

Middle: Brown patches on leaf surface indicate epidermal damage by young *A. ambiens* larva. Young *P. punctipennis* larva cause similar damage.

Bottom: Holes made by older *A. ambiens* larva tend to be more rectangular than circular. Often veinlets are left intact giving rise to a reticulate pattern to the damaged surface of the leaf.
in the leaf, but they do not generally leave the small veins intact.

A Tachinid parasite was collected from an adult *A. ambiens* in May, 1971 but continued observation of laboratory cultures of adult beetles did not yield more parasite specimens. There is no previous record of parasitism on *A. ambiens*. The Tachinid imago is shown in Fig. XXX.

III. *Eriocampa ovata* L.

The red-backed sawfly, *E. ovata*, is widespread on *Alnus* spp. throughout Canada (Ross, 1951; Raizenne, 1957; Bouchard, 1960). It is bivoltine and reproduces parthenogenetically (Bouchard, 1960). On Burnaby Mountain it was found to be bivoltine and to reproduce pathenogenetically; it passes through 6 or 7 instars which defoliate *A. rubra* foliage heavily leaving only the midrib and secondary veins (Borden and Dean, 1971).

In 1971 early instars of *E. ovata* were observed in large numbers in late June but the 1971 population was much smaller than the 1970 one for the remainder of the summer and in the fall. Sawfly larvae were more abundant on the lower and middle-level branches of the alder saplings. Fig. XXXI shows a mid-instar larva of *E. ovata* and the nature of the damage it causes on alder leaves.
Fig. XXX.

A. Adult *A. ambiens* specimen with the tachinid parasite pupa still attached to the lateral posterior side of the abdomen.

B. Dorsal view of the tachinid imago.

Magnification: x 8
Fig. XXXI.  A. Mid-instar larva of *Eriocampa ovata* L. (topmost) showing the characteristic white exuviae that larval instars except the last one exhibit.

B. Early damage by *E. ovata* larva. Extensive feeding at one point on the surface before moving on produces the large irregular holes.

C. Extreme damage by *E. ovata* larva. By the end of summer the damaged leaves are largely reduced to this level of defoliation, *E. ovata* being the main defoliator late in the season.
IV. *Hemicroa crocea* Fourcroy (Tenthredinidae)

The striped alder sawfly appears very rare on Burnaby Mountain red alder. Late instar larvae were collected from young saplings at a site near the University Buildings on 22nd July, 1971. No other specimens were found on *A. rubra* locally. The larvae died after two days during which they fed voraciously on excised alder leaves. They changed from the original yellow colour broken by dark stripes into a pale dark colour; the cuticle also appeared shrivelled, as if the body contents were disintegrating. This, coupled with the simultaneous death suggested the larvae may have had a disease. Fig. XXXII shows a late instar larva of *H. crocea*.

V. *Malacosoma pluvialis* Dyar

*M. pluvialis*, the western tent caterpillar is common in the Pacific northwest especially on alder. A colony of larvae was observed in early June, 1971. The larvae were reared on alder leaves through the pupa stage but no adults emerged, since parasitization by a Braconid was 100%.
Fig. XXXII. Mature larva of *Hemichroa crocea* Pourcroy. The lateral stripes are a characteristic feature.

Magnification: x 8
DISCUSSION

The object of the research was to define the effect of defoliation by insects on *A. rubra*. Seasonal growth increment as indicated by wood volume was considered a suitable parameter for correlation with defoliation index. This is because the stem is the economically important product of *A. rubra*. Also wood increment is the best measure of cellulose production by woody plants. Therefore, the study would be of theoretical interest from the standpoint of productivity in plant ecosystems.

One of the important factors in crop production is the evaluation of the economic threshold of pest damage. Pest management resources can be meaningfully applied if, and only if, the economic threshold level is determined. The project on red alder could be visualized as a simulation of a crop production situation. Thus, analysis of the impact of defoliators on the growth of alder saplings is here considered the first step in the determination of economic threshold of insect damage.

Red alder on Burnaby Mountain is conspicuously defoliated by two Chrysomelids and a sawfly. The intensity of damage varies from year to year, thus in 1970 it was much higher than
in 1971, but is normally sufficiently high to warrant speculation that it may harm the host plant. It was alarmingly high by late summer in 1970. A similar level of apparent damage to a commercial forest plantation or agricultural crop would almost certainly call for insect control measures, at least in keeping with the prevailing philosophy of pest control.

Visual estimates of defoliation are unreliable as shown in Fig. VIII. For example, saplings with "heavy" defoliation had as little as 30% loss of leaf surface and a maximum loss of 85%. Those with "light" defoliation had as much as 27% loss of leaf surface. Therefore, visual estimations of leaf damage are a poor criterion of leaf surface lost to insects in this example.

The lack of normalcy in the distribution of leaf damage probably contributes to the wide margin of error in the visual estimates. Damaged leaves are not randomly distributed throughout the tree. Also the amount of damage per leaf is not randomly distributed throughout the damaged leaves. The distribution of damaged leaves is probably closely linked with the chronology and mobility of the defoliating agents and in addition to these factors, the feeding behaviour of the defoliators might determine the distribution of the amount of dam-
age per leaf.

First instar and young second instar larvae of *P. punctipennis* were prevalent on the lower level branches. Older instars of this Chrysomelid have more vertical mobility and thus scatter leaf damage over the whole stem. However, *E. ovata* larvae, which like *P. punctipennis* larvae, eat holes in the leaf, may concentrate rather than scatter leaf damage. *E. ovata* larvae have relatively less vertical mobility. They feed voraciously at a given site before moving on to the next leaf. On tall saplings, above 4 feet in height, *E. ovata* appear to concentrate on the lower and middle level shaded branches whereas adult *P. punctipennis* appear to prefer feeding on the exposed leaves.

The seasonal change in the population density of the defoliators is another factor that determines the degree and distribution of damage both on individual stems and in a given area. Thus, for example, *A.ambiens* were limited to very few sites on Burnaby Mountain and its contribution to defoliation was not studied in as much detail as was that of *P. punctipennis* and *E. ovata*. *P. punctipennis* adults were abundant by May in 1971. In June there was a large population of adults and larvae. Adults then began to decrease in numbers until mid-August when second generation adults appeared in large
numbers. *E. ovata* larvae showed a steady build up from the beginning of July in 1971 and by early August were very abundant. Thus, the contribution of *P. punctipennis* larvae and adults begins early and builds up to a peak in August. The sawfly damage supplements the Chrysomelid damage later in the summer. The smaller numbers in 1971 might explain the markedly high overall damage on red alder in late 1971.

Between mid-June and late fall foliage area per tree appeared to be on the decline as shown in Fig. XA. The sharp drop in leaf surface between mid-June and mid-July in 1971 was probably due to the dry, hot weather and normally the decline in foliage area would be expected to be more gradual. However, the early start of the decline in leaf surface area was an unexpected finding. Though measurement of the chronology of stem increments were not made, it is known that the formation of springwood cells is complete by mid-summer. Springwood constitutes the bulk of the wood volume increment. It is probable that there is a relationship between these two events. After mid-summer, the stem growth consists of summerwood. Because total leaf surface is on the decline during this period, it may be that the spring flush of leaves produces a photosynthetic surface surplus to the summer needs of the tree.
Virtually no insect damage occurred until after mid-summer. From mid-June to mid-August the number of damaged leaves increased, up to 20% of the leaves showing visible damage. But the average damaged areas remained small (less than 10% of the total leaf surface) until mid-August. Thus, there was little loss of foliage to insects until mid-August, by which time most of the summerwood production is complete. Significant leaf damage began to occur in late August and early September.

Because the decline in leaf surface area resulting from factors other than insect defoliation appears to overshadow the relatively small loss caused by defoliators, one would not expect to find any correlation between late summer defoliation and stem increment. No such correlation could be established. Nor would one expect a correlation between insect defoliation and stem growth at any other period in the growing season, because little defoliation occurred before late summer.

If the amount of foliage lost over the summer did not affect stem growth, one might expect that stem growth would be related to the residual foliage on the tree. But no significant correlation between these variables was found either.

It is thus concluded that there was not direct relationship between the amount of foliage left on the tree at the
end of the growing season and the amount of stem growth. Williams (1971) working on A. rubra seedlings found that total plant photosynthesis reaches a maximum in late summer; translocation patterns indicated that most of the carbohydrate produced then tends to be stored in the roots where it provides the source of material for the spring flush of growth. Hence, a complex of physiological processes exists and one would need to study all the key components in the system to get a complete picture.

The amount of photosynthesis carried on by the tree would appear to be a key component to the tree's welfare. It seems probable that this would be related to the gross area of photosynthetic surface. But a number of additional questions could be asked. To what degree does leaf damage interfere with photosynthesis and translocation of the remaining portion of the leaf? In what manner does the gross photosynthetic area influence a tree's growth? How much of a tree's foliage is essential for normal growth, and how does this change over the season? Leaf area represents not only photosynthetic area, but also respiratory area and translocation area. What sort of changing balance is maintained between these processes as the physical environment changes with the advancing season? A search of
literature reveals little information on this subject. It seems rather important in relation to the potential of phytophagous animals to injure the tree or to limit its growth. It would certainly have an effect on determination of the economic threshold of damage. An investigation of these questions would be an appropriate subject for further study.
CONCLUSIONS

1. The red alder on Burnaby Mountain is attacked by three main defoliating insects:
   a) *Pyrrhalta punctipennis* (Mannerheim)
   b) *Eriocampa ovata* L.
   c) *Altica ambiens* Le Conte

2. These insects cause virtually no damage to leaves of *A. rubra* before mid-summer. After mid-summer visible damage becomes apparent. Up to about 20% of the leaves are damaged but the areas of damage are small and less than 3% of the total surface is lost before August.

3. A progressive decline in photosynthetic surface area of *A. rubra* saplings was found between mid-June and the fall. It is likely due to an excess of leaf fall, pronounced in dry hot weather, over leaf production.

4. Severe defoliation of *A. rubra* by these insects does not occur until late fall. After which time, stem growth is virtually, if not totally, completed for the season.

5. No relationship was established between leaf surface lost to defoliators and the stem wood volume increment.

6. No relationship was established between leaf surface remaining in the tree at the end of the season and stem wood volume increment.
7. Thus, defoliation of *A. rubra* by these insects, though apparently high during some years, does not appear to have any direct effect on stem wood volume increment in the current year.
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TABLE 2. Visual estimates of the degree of defoliation on red alder leaves in the fall of 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of sampling</th>
<th>Mean total number of leaves per stem</th>
<th>Mean number of leaves damaged per stem</th>
<th>Mean number of leaves in the damage classes:</th>
<th>Mean number of damage classes for all stems per sampling date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Heavy (H)</td>
<td>Medium (M)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 VIII 70</td>
<td>381 ± 103 (S.E)*</td>
<td>74 ± 20</td>
<td>55 ± 14</td>
<td>55 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 VIII 70</td>
<td>355 ± 44</td>
<td>71 ± 8</td>
<td>25 ± 14</td>
<td>23 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 VIII 70</td>
<td>662 ± 109</td>
<td>127 ± 17</td>
<td>85 ± 14</td>
<td>27 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 VIII 70</td>
<td>742 ± 142</td>
<td>113 ± 19</td>
<td>74 ± 18</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IX 70</td>
<td>587 ± 99</td>
<td>104 ± 16</td>
<td>14 ± 2</td>
<td>71 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IX 70</td>
<td>574 ± 56</td>
<td>101 ± 10</td>
<td>34 ± 7</td>
<td>54 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 IX 70</td>
<td>364 ± 102</td>
<td>53 ± 13</td>
<td>16 ± 4</td>
<td>30 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 IX 70</td>
<td>386 ± 29</td>
<td>73 ± 5</td>
<td>36 ± 4</td>
<td>21 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 IX 70</td>
<td>321 ± 39</td>
<td>56 ± 7</td>
<td>20 ± 5</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. * ± in all instances refers to the standard error (S.E)
TABLE 3. Data on mean leaf areas, mean damaged leaf areas and the mean defoliation percentages for A. rubra saplings in 1970

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of sampling</th>
<th>Mean total leaf area (sq mm)</th>
<th>Mean area of leaf damaged (sq mm)</th>
<th>Mean percent of leaf area damaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 VIII 70</td>
<td>5478 ± 431 (S.E)*</td>
<td>2978 ± 531</td>
<td>54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 VIII 70</td>
<td>4088 ± 283</td>
<td>1199 ± 323</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 VIII 70</td>
<td>6307 ± 310</td>
<td>2385 ± 284</td>
<td>37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 VIII 70</td>
<td>5360 ± 401</td>
<td>2581 ± 493</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IX 70</td>
<td>5020 ± 354</td>
<td>571 ± 100</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IX 70</td>
<td>4945 ± 191</td>
<td>1520 ± 238</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 IX 70</td>
<td>4853 ± 251</td>
<td>1691 ± 225</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 IX 70</td>
<td>6831 ± 363</td>
<td>2358 ± 271</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 IX 70</td>
<td>5610 ± 254</td>
<td>1467 ± 217</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. * ± refers to the standard error (S.E)
TABLE 4. Data on mean leaf areas, mean leaf areas damaged and the mean defoliation percentages for A. rubra saplings in 1971

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of sampling</th>
<th>Mean total leaf area (sq mm)</th>
<th>Mean area of leaf damaged (sq mm)</th>
<th>Mean percent of leaf area damaged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 VI 71</td>
<td>4810 ± 278 (S.E)*</td>
<td>77.3 ± 19.1</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 VI 71</td>
<td>6067 ± 423</td>
<td>53.80 ± 14.4</td>
<td>0.87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 VII 71</td>
<td>6674 ± 395</td>
<td>57.0 ± 19.0</td>
<td>0.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 VII 71</td>
<td>5672 ± 314</td>
<td>86.7 ± 16.0</td>
<td>1.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 VII 71</td>
<td>4969 ± 305</td>
<td>111.7 ± 23.7</td>
<td>1.79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 VIII 71</td>
<td>5180 ± 163</td>
<td>123.4 ± 13.3</td>
<td>2.38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N.B. * ± refers to standard error (S.E)
TABLE 5. The seasonal change in the mean number of leaves per stem and the mean total photosynthetic surface per stem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Mean number of leaves per stem (running averages)</th>
<th>Mean leaf size area (sq cm)</th>
<th>Total photosynthetic surface (sq cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 VIII 70</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>5478</td>
<td>$27 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 VIII 70</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>4088</td>
<td>$15 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 VIII 70</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>6307</td>
<td>$32 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 VIII 70</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>5360</td>
<td>$37 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IX 70</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>5020</td>
<td>$33 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IX 70</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>4945</td>
<td>$26 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 IX 70</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>4853</td>
<td>$20 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 IX 70</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>6831</td>
<td>$25 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 IX 70</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>5610</td>
<td>$19 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 VI 71</td>
<td>1134</td>
<td>4810</td>
<td>$54 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 VI 71</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>6067</td>
<td>$59 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 VII 71</td>
<td>635</td>
<td>6674</td>
<td>$42 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 VII 71</td>
<td>574</td>
<td>5672</td>
<td>$32 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 VII 71</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>4969</td>
<td>$31 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 VIII 71</td>
<td>598</td>
<td>5180</td>
<td>$31 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 6. Seasonal change in the mean percentage of the total foliage per sapling that is damaged by defoliators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Mean % leaves damaged</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 VIII 70</td>
<td>97.3</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 VIII 70</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>13.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 VIII 70</td>
<td>92.39</td>
<td>6.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 VIII 70</td>
<td>87.4</td>
<td>16.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 IX 70</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 IX 70</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>8.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 IX 70</td>
<td>92.6</td>
<td>5.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 IX 70</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 IX 70</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 VI 71</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>14.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 VI 71</td>
<td>30.20</td>
<td>14.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 VII 71</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>14.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 VII 71</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>18.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 VII 71</td>
<td>43.7</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 VIII 71</td>
<td>87.7</td>
<td>13.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 7. Seasonal wood volume increments in red alder saplings aged 2 - 4 years

The terminal figures in each horizontal row represent yields during the season ending in fall, 1970. Volumes are expressed in cubic centimeters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Year</th>
<th>2nd Year</th>
<th>3rd Year</th>
<th>4th Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>205.35</td>
<td>54.24</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>123.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>181.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>54.41</td>
<td>217.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>43.91</td>
<td>812.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>149.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.03</td>
<td>285.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>148.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>320.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>186.8</td>
<td>405.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>498.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>361.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>205.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>301.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>237.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>250.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>159.2</td>
<td>322.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>150.6</td>
<td>698.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>91.8</td>
<td>195.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>158.7</td>
<td>674.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>229.9</td>
<td>631.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>345.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>512.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>78.3</td>
<td>289.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>121.5</td>
<td>324.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>134.9</td>
<td>320.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>144.8</td>
<td>333.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>167.9</td>
<td>608.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>397.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>319.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>211.5</td>
<td>654.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>310.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>85.8</td>
<td>338.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>198.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>80.2</td>
<td>320.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>102.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>50.56</td>
<td>223.62</td>
<td>375.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>116.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>182.8</td>
<td>471.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>159.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>103.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>124.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st Year</td>
<td>2nd Year</td>
<td>3rd Year</td>
<td>4th Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>198.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>106.5</td>
<td>153.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>78.0</td>
<td>250.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>118.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>121.2</td>
<td>314.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>51.5</td>
<td>164.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>105.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>52.9</td>
<td>94.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>219.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>103.1</td>
<td>168.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>74.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>77.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>51.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>233.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>86.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>210.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>165.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>274.0</td>
<td>284.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>102.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>197.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>366.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 8. Seasonal wood volume increments in red alder saplings expressed as proportional increase

Only 3 year and 4 year olds were analyzed this way since the other age classes contained very few saplings in the area sampled.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3 YEAR OLD STEMS</th>
<th>4 YEAR OLD STEMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Volume after 2 yrs (cu cms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume after 3 yrs (cu cms)</td>
<td>54.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50.36</td>
<td>123.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.45</td>
<td>181.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54.41</td>
<td>217.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43.91</td>
<td>812.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>149.17</td>
<td>148.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>320.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>210.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.9</td>
<td>405.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>186.8</td>
<td>102.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>194.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>498.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>361.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>366.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>301.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87.2</td>
<td>237.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74.9</td>
<td>103.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>159.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>150.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>91.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>158.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>229.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>79.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>78.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>76.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>121.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>144.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>608.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167.9</td>
<td>99.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>319.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>211.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>310.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>85.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>198.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>80.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>116.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume after</td>
<td>Volume after</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 yrs (cu cms)</td>
<td>3 yrs (cu cms)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.3</td>
<td>182.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>159.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>103.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>124.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>106.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>48.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>69.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.9</td>
<td>121.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>52.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.47</td>
<td>51.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>51.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>52.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>60.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>103.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>70.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>40.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>71.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 8. (Cont'd)**

- Mean (x) increment factor = 5.07
- S.D = 2.01