Electrochemically Active Nickel Foams as Support Materials for Nanoscopic Platinum Electrocatalysts
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ABSTRACT:

Platinum is deposited on open-cell nickel foam in low loading amounts via chemical reduction of Pt cations (specifically Pt$^{2+}$ or Pt$^{4+}$) originating from aqueous Pt salt solutions. The resulting Pt-modified nickel foams (Pt/Ni foams) are characterized using complementary electrochemical and materials analysis techniques. These include electron microscopy to examine the morphology of the deposited material, cyclic voltammetry to evaluate the electrochemical surface area of the deposited Pt, and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry to determine the mass of deposited Pt on the Ni foam substrate. The effect of potential cycling in alkaline media on the electrochemical behavior of the material and the stability of Pt deposit is studied. In the second part of this paper, the Pt/Ni foams are applied as electrode materials for hydrogen evolution, hydrogen reduction, oxygen reduction, and oxygen evolution reactions in an aqueous alkaline electrolyte. The electrocatalytic activity of the electrodes towards these processes is evaluated using linear sweep voltammetry curves and Tafel plots. The results of these studies demonstrate that nickel foams are acceptable support materials for nanoscopic Pt electrocatalysts and the resulting Pt/Ni foams are excellent electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction. An unmodified Ni foam is shown to be a highly active electrode for the oxygen evolution reaction.
INTRODUCTION

The following four electrochemical reactions presented for an alkaline aqueous electrolyte are of upmost importance to water electrolysis and hydrogen fuel cell technologies: (i) hydrogen evolution reaction (HER, eq. 1); (ii) hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR, eq. 2); (iii) oxygen reduction reaction (ORR, eq. 3); and (iv) oxygen evolution reaction (OER, eq. 4).

\[ \text{2 H}_2\text{O} + 2 \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + 2 \text{OH}^- \quad (1) \]

\[ \text{H}_2 + 2 \text{OH}^- \rightarrow 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2 \text{e}^- \quad (2) \]

\[ \text{O}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4 \text{e}^- \rightarrow 4 \text{OH}^- \quad (3) \]

\[ 4 \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{O}_2 + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 4 \text{e}^- \quad (4) \]

The availability of high-purity hydrogen gas (H\(_2\)(g)) is one important challenge that must be addressed in order for fuel cells to be considered viable energy delivering technologies. The global demand for H\(_2\)(g) grows at a rate of approximately 10% per year and is driven by its use in fertilizer production, food processing, and metallurgical applications.\(^1,2\) Increased commercialization of hydrogen fuel cells will contribute to the growing demand for high-purity H\(_2\)(g) that is generated through a limited number of processes including water electrolysis (eq. 5 presents the overall reaction).\(^2,3\) It is generally accepted that the mechanism of cathodic HER occurring at a solid metallic electrocatalyst (M(s)) proceeds through the steps outlined in equations 6 – 8.\(^4,5,6,7,8,9,10\)

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow \text{H}_2(g) + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2(g) \quad (5) \]

\[ \text{M}(s) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(l) + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{M–H}_{\text{OPD}} + \text{OH}^-(aq) \quad (6) \]
M–H\text{OPD} + H_2O(l) + e^- \rightarrow M(s) + H_2(g) + OH^-(aq) \quad (7)

2 M(s)–H\text{OPD} \rightarrow 2 M(s) + H_2(g) \quad (8)

where H\text{OPD} refers to the over-potential deposited H that is a reaction intermediate. Many commercial alkaline electrolyzers use Ni-based electrodes due to their stability in alkaline media,\textsuperscript{11,12} the electrocatalytic activity of Ni,\textsuperscript{11,13} and the relatively low cost of Ni-based materials. A large number of studies are available examining water electrolysis using electrodes comprised of combinations of Ni and other metals, such as Ni/Fe,\textsuperscript{14,15,16} Ni-Zn,\textsuperscript{7,15} Ni-Fe-Zn,\textsuperscript{15} Ni-P,\textsuperscript{17} Co-P,\textsuperscript{17} Ni-P-Fe,\textsuperscript{17} Ni-Mo,\textsuperscript{15,18} Ni-Mo-Cd,\textsuperscript{18} Ni/NiFeS,\textsuperscript{19} Raney-Ni/Mo,\textsuperscript{10} Ni/spinel-type Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, Ni/La-doped Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4},\textsuperscript{20} and others. The development of industrial electrode materials focuses on low-cost, high efficiency, and long lifetime; in this respect, Ni-based materials are relevant electrocatalysts for alkaline water electrolysis. Several types of fuel cells operate in alkaline conditions and utilize Pt or combined Pt/Ni electrocatalysts for HOR or ORR.\textsuperscript{21,22,23,24,25,26} The results presented herein are applicable to the development of water electrolyzers, alkaline fuel cells, and alkaline alcohol fuel cells.

Open-cell metallic foams are of interest for research in electrochemistry due to their rigid, open porous network, which affords these materials a large surface area for electrochemical utilization and can allow for good mass transport properties.\textsuperscript{27} A number of studies describe the use of Ni-based foams as an electrode support material for other electrocatalysts including Pt,\textsuperscript{28,29,30} Rh,\textsuperscript{29,31} Pd,\textsuperscript{32,33,34} Ag,\textsuperscript{35} Sn-Co,\textsuperscript{36} and Co-W-B.\textsuperscript{37} As an electrocatalyst support material, Ni foams offer valuable structural characteristics including a consistent open-pore three-dimensional structure with tunable density and large surface area, and good stability in a range of different electrolytes, including strongly alkaline conditions.\textsuperscript{38} Due to the electrocatalytic
activity of Ni towards a variety of reactions that include the HER and OER, the Ni foam can act as both an electrocatalyst in itself and as a Pt electrocatalyst support material.

This study presents the fabrication and characterization of Pt/Ni foams using a combination of materials science, electrochemical, and analytical techniques. The Pt/Ni foam electrodes are evaluated in terms of their stability in an aqueous alkaline environment and their electrocatalytic activity towards the four above-mentioned reactions. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is used to examine the size and morphology of the deposited Pt particles; energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) during electron microscopy analysis is used to verify the presence of Pt particles on the Ni foam surfaces; cyclic voltammetry (CV) is used to determine the electrochemically active surface area ($A_{\text{ecsa}}$) of the deposited Pt; and mass of the deposited Pt was determined by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). Each of the above mentioned electrochemical reactions, namely HER, HOR, ORR, and OER, are conducted in alkaline media using Pt-modified Ni foams with various Pt mass loadings, as well as unmodified Ni foam and polycrystalline Pt (Pt(poly)). These electrochemical reactions are studied using linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and steady state polarization curves (Tafel plots).

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Preparation of Pt/Ni Foams.** Deposition of Pt on Ni foam was accomplished using an electroless deposition method that is similar to the impregnation method described elsewhere.$^{39,40,42,43}$ The Ni foam used in these studies was supplied by the former Inco Technical Services Ltd. Uniform Ni foam disks (bulk density $\rho = 0.21$ g cm$^{-3}$), having diameters equal to 10 mm and being ca. 3 mm in thickness, were first prepared using a stainless steel
punch. Extensive structural, chemical, electrochemical, and morphological characterization of
the Ni foam used in this study and other similar Ni foam materials is available elsewhere.\textsuperscript{44,45}

Prior to the deposition of Pt, each Ni foam disk was degreased with acetone under reflux for two
hours in order to remove any organic impurities. The foam was then dried in ultra-high purity (UHP) \( \text{N}_2(g) \) atmosphere, and weighed using an analytical balance. To deposit Pt, the Ni foam
disk was first placed in a glass vial and submerged in 10 mL of 0.20 M aqueous NaBH\(_4\) (a
chemical reducing agent) for 120 seconds, allowing the pores of the foam to become saturated
with the solution. The foam was then removed from the NaBH\(_4\) solution and, while still wetted
with the NaBH\(_4\) solution, placed in a glass vial with 10 mL of an aqueous solution of Pt salt and
allowed to soak for 120 seconds. Four different Pt salt solutions consisting of two Pt salts with
different Pt oxidation states (Pt\(^{2+}\) and Pt\(^{4+}\)) and two concentrations for each oxidation state were
applied. Thus, the deposition solutions were as follows: 1 mM K\(_2\)PtCl\(_4\) (type A), 2 mM K\(_2\)PtCl\(_4\)
(type AA), 1 mM K\(_2\)PtCl\(_6\), (type B), and 2 mM K\(_2\)PtCl\(_6\) (type BB). All solutions contained 0.10
M KOH, in addition to the dissolved Pt salt. After soaking for 120 seconds in the Pt salt
solution, the foam was placed in fresh NaBH\(_4\) for an additional 120 seconds and then again in
fresh Pt salt solution for 120 seconds. The deposition steps were repeated 2, 5, or 10 times, as
indicated by the number of times the Ni foam was soaked in the Pt salt solution. The
nomenclature used herein refers to the concentration and type of Pt salt in the deposition
solution, as well as the number of depositions. For example, samples of type AA10 are prepared
using 2 mM K\(_2\)PtCl\(_4\) solution with 10 deposition events. The final deposition step was always
submersion in the NaBH\(_4\) solution. Rinsing and sonicating in UHP water was used to remove
deposition solution that could be trapped within the Ni foam pores before drying the electrodes
in a stream of UHP \( \text{N}_2(g) \). All aqueous solutions were made with UHP water (Millipore).
**Electrochemical Measurements.** Electrochemical measurements were carried out using a two-compartment Pyrex electrochemical cell and three electrodes. The working electrode (WE) was either an unmodified Ni foam disk, a Pt(poly) wire, or a Pt/Ni foam disk prepared using the method described above. The foam materials were attached to a thin Ni wire (Alfa Aesar Puratronic®, 0.25 mm in diameter) by winding it through the mesh; the Ni wire was sealed in a glass tube and the quality of the electrical connection was verified by examining the electrical resistance that was practically zero. The contribution of the Ni wire to the overall surface area in contact with the electrolyte, and thus to the electrochemical signal, is less than 1% and assumed to be negligible.\textsuperscript{44,45} The counter electrode (CE) consisted of high-purity Pt gauze (99.98% in purity, Alfa Aesar) spot-welded to a Pt wire (99.98% in purity, Alfa Aesar) and covered with electro-deposited Pt (Pt black). The surface area of CE was at least ten times larger than that of the WE. The separation between WE and CE was approximately 3 cm. The reference electrode (RE) was a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) made in the same manner as the CE. Hydrogen gas (UHP, Praxair 5.0 grade) was bubbled through the RE compartment at a pressure of 1 bar. All potentials herein are reported with respect to RHE. The RE was in electrolytic contact with WE via a Luggin capillary in order to minimize the uncompensated resistance of the solution (the so-called \textit{IR} drop). Electrochemical characterization was carried out in 0.50 M aqueous KOH solution (Sigma-Aldrich KOH pellets, A.C.S. reagent grade) prepared using UHP water. Prior to each experiment, the electrolyte solution was degassed by bubbling UHP \textit{N}_2(g) (Praxair 5.0 grade) for 30 minutes. Throughout the duration of electrochemical measurements, UHP \textit{N}_2(g) was passed over the electrolyte to maintain an inert gas environment. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was used to determine the value of \textit{IR} for this electrochemical set up at various potential values (i.e. $E = -0.20, 0.00, 0.20, 0.80$, and 1.50 V). The value of $IR =$
0.68 ± 0.04 Ω was determined by taking an average value of four separate measurements at each of the potentials mentioned (a total of 20 measurements). Glassware was cleaned according to well-established procedures. The electrochemical measurements were carried out at a temperature of \( T = 298 \pm 1 \) K. An Autolab model PGSTAT302 potentiostat (Metrohm) equipped with NOVA Advanced Electrochemical Software (Metrohm) was used to control experimental parameters and to acquire the data.

The following four reactions were carried out using unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly) and Pt/Ni foam electrodes: (i) HER; (ii) HOR; (iii) ORR; and (iv) OER. Each reaction was carried out using two different experimental methods, linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) and a staircase-type Tafel polarization program. The Tafel polarization experiments were performed by pre-programming the potentiostat to apply a sequence of potential (\( E \)) steps, each with a magnitude of 5 mV. Each \( E \) value was maintained for 10 s and during this time the current (\( I \)) was recorded. At each \( E \), the value of \( I \) was determined by averaging it for the last 2 s of the \( I \) versus time transient; such determined \( I \) value represents near steady-state conditions. The 0.50 M aqueous KOH electrolyte was purged with \( \text{N}_2 \) gas for 60 minutes then saturated with either \( \text{H}_2(\text{g}) \) (in the case of HER and HOR) or \( \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \) (in the case of ORR and OER) by bubbling the gas through the electrolyte for 30 minutes while stirring. Throughout the electrochemical measurements, the electrolyte was stirred and \( \text{H}_2(\text{g}) \) or \( \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \) was passed above the electrolyte to maintain saturation. The history of the electrode has an important influence on its electrochemical behavior. Both Ni and Pt form oxides in \( E \) ranges that overlap with the ORR and OER; the presence of an oxide can influence the material’s electrocatalytic activity. In an effort to control the history of the Pt/Ni foam electrodes, the LSV and Tafel polarization measurements were always performed in the following sequential order:
1. Electrolyte purging for 60 minutes with N$_2$(g);

2. Potential scanning (CV) 100 times in the 0.005 $\leq E \leq$ 1.30 V range at $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$ with N$_2$(g) purging;

3. Electrolyte saturation with H$_2$(g) for 30 minutes;

4. HER LSV experiment followed by HER Tafel polarization experiment;

5. HOR LSV experiment followed by HOR Tafel polarization experiment;

6. Electrolyte purging with N$_2$(g) for 60 minutes;

7. Potential scanning (CV) 100 times in the 0.005 $\leq E \leq$ 1.30 V range at $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$ with N$_2$(g) purging;

8. Electrolyte saturation with O$_2$(g) for 30 minutes;

9. ORR LSV experiment followed by ORR Tafel polarization experiment; and

10. OER LSV experiment followed by OER Tafel polarization experiment.

Further details pertaining to the LSV and Tafel polarization measurements are provided in the Supplementary Information file.

**Electron and Ion Microscopy.** Complementary electron and ion imaging techniques were used to analyze the Pt deposited on Ni foams. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) characterization was performed using a Strata DB235 FESEM/FIB instrument equipped with an energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) for elemental analysis (manufactured by EDAX) and operated at 5 kV. Focused gallium ion beam (FIB) analysis was performed using a Micron 2500 instrument (FEI Company). The FIB instrument was used to acquire images in the secondary ion (SI) and secondary electron (SE) detection modes, which provide different topographical and chemical information.
Inductively Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry Quantification of Platinum.

Liquid samples for inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) quantification of Pt on Ni foams were prepared through the following steps: (i) Pt/Ni foam disks (three of each type) were weighed using an analytical balance; (ii) each disk was digested in 2.0 mL of aqua regia (mixture of HCl and HNO$_3$ in 3:1 proportion by volume; the solution should be treated with caution as described in a respective Materials Safety Data Sheet) and sonicated for 30 minutes; (iii) the samples were diluted with 5 mL of UHP water. Sample blanks were prepared from unmodified Ni foam disks using the same method. The ICP-OES analysis was performed on the same day as the sample digestion. The analysis was performed using a lateral view ARCOS ICP-OES instrument (SPECTRO Analytical Instruments, Kleve, Germany) fitted with a concentric nebulizer (Glass Expansion, Victoria, Australia) and a cyclonic spray chamber (SCP Science, Quebec, Canada). Platinum and nickel standard solutions (0.1 – 500 mg L$^{-1}$) in ultrapure 2 % (v/v) HNO$_3$ with 2 % (v/v) HCl were prepared from commercially available 1000 mg L$^{-1}$ single element standard solutions (SCP Science, Quebec, Canada) and UHP water. Optimization of the nebulizer gas flow rate was performed to arrive at a set of conditions that maximizes sensitivity for the Pt II 214.423 nm emission line. The optimized conditions are outlined in the Supplementary Information file. The intensities of the Pt II 214.423 nm and Ni II 221.648 nm optical emission lines were analyzed. The standard solutions were aspirated in order of increasing concentration, followed by the aspiration of sample blanks, and then the samples to be analyzed. The optical emission intensities were blank-subtracted and the elemental concentrations were determined by linear interpolation, using external calibration standards. The limits of detection for Pt and Ni were determined to be 0.04 and 0.01 µg L$^{-1}$, respectively. The limit of detection for Pt was at least 50 times lower than the amount of Pt in the least abundant
sample. Three samples of each type (A2, A5, A10, B2, B5, B10, AA2, AA5, AA10, BB2, BB5, BB10) were each analyzed in triplicates.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Part 1: Characterization of Pt/Ni Foams. This section presents the characterization of Pt/Ni foams with respect to size and morphology of the deposited Pt particles, as well as the electrochemical properties of the resulting Pt/Ni materials. The amount of Pt deposited on the Ni foam is quantified with respect to the $A_{ecsa}$ of Pt and the mass of deposited Pt per gram of Ni foam substrate.

Characterization of Pt/Ni Foams Using Scanning Electron Microscopy. Figure 1 displays one image of unmodified Ni foam and three SEM images of Pt/Ni foam at various levels of magnification. The Pt/Ni foam shown in these images is of the type AA 10, meaning that the Pt was deposited from 0.002 M K$_2$PtCl$_4$ solution with 10 deposition events. As it is discussed below, the AA 10 samples have the highest mass loading and highest surface area of Pt.
Figure 1. Secondary-electron scanning electron microscope image of unmodified Ni foam at 5000× magnification (A), and of Pt/Ni foam (type AA 10) at various levels of magnification; 2540× (B), 10 000× (C), 150 000× (D).

Focused ion beam secondary ion (FIB SI) images of each sample type were also collected and are shown for reference in the Supplementary Information file. Image A of Figure 1 shows the surface microstructure of unmodified Ni foam; the surface is smooth with visible grain boundaries. Image B shows Pt/Ni foam at a magnification of 2540×; at this level of magnification, the entire width of the strut that comprises the Ni foam structure is visible; Pt particles can be seen dispersed over the surface. Image C shows Pt/Ni foam at a magnification of 10 000×; at this magnification, well-dispersed Pt particles are visible as small, bright specks. Some regions of the surface have clusters of small Pt particles concentrated in a ca. 1 µm diameter area. Image D shows Pt/Ni foam at 150 000× magnification. The diameter of the deposited Pt particles is estimated to be in the 5 – 30 nm range. However, it is possible that higher magnification images would reveal the presence of smaller particles that cannot be
observed at this scale. The Pt particle diameter was determined through the analysis of SEM images at the 150,000× and 200,000× level of magnification (see the figure and histogram in Supplementary Information Section). The limited resolution of our SEM images likely skews this particle size analysis to be larger than the real value since only particles with a diameter greater than 5 nm could be quantified. For this reason we can only provide an estimate corresponding to the range of particle sizes. Other Pt/Ni foam samples were also analyzed by SEM and found to have a similar size range of Pt deposits from 5 – 30 nm in diameter. Histogram analysis was not performed for all samples since it calculates only a skewed average due to the limited resolution. Further analysis by transmission electron microscopy could help to elucidate the particle size and distribution; however, we believe that this particular analysis is not necessary to evaluate the utility of Ni foams as a support material for Pt electrocatalysts.

The Ni foams used in this study have a consistent three-dimensional structure made up of struts that are 20 – 40 µm in width, and interconnecting pores that are 300 – 500 µm across. The foams are manufactured in sheets that are ca. 3 mm in thickness and a cross-section of the material reveals 5 – 6 layers of Ni struts through the depth of the foam (see the Supplementary Information file). The struts in the center of the foam are up to 3 layers away from the outer face of the material. In experiments that are not described within this contribution, we explored a variety of methods and conditions for depositing Pt on open-cell Ni foams and have encountered some difficulty with obtaining an even distribution of Pt throughout the depth of the material. Electrochemical deposition methods, for example, are particularly susceptible to the development of an uneven Pt deposition gradient from outer surfaces of the material to inner regions. The method described herein does not encounter these difficulties, rather, it produces an even distribution of Pt particles throughout the Ni foam structure. This was verified using the
FIB instrumentation; FIB images which were collected at a variety of sample depths can be found in the *Supplementary Information* section for this manuscript.

Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was applied in order to confirm the presence of Pt on the Pt/Ni foams. Figure 2 shows EDS spectra collected from a Pt/Ni foam (type AA 10) at three regions that appear to have different amounts of Pt according to the corresponding SEM image on the left. The black, red, and green colored boxes on the SEM image correspond to the EDS spectra of matching color. The largest analysis area is ca. 36 µm² (Region 1, the large black rectangle). This region is meant to serve as a representative of the overall distribution of Pt over the surface. Region 1 has some locations with deposited Pt that is clustered together and other locations where only small Pt particles or no Pt particles are observed. Region 2 (the red rectangle) corresponds to an area of about 3 µm² that contains a cluster of deposited Pt on the surface. Region 3 (the green rectangle) corresponds to an area of about 3 µm² that, from the SEM image, does not appear to have very much Pt and only a few small particles are visible. In each region Ni, Pt, C, and O are detected in the EDS analysis. The C and O are present as adsorbed surface species (CO₂) and metal oxides (β-Ni(OH)₂); carbon is a typical surface contaminant. Other than the peak corresponding to Pt, the EDS spectra for Regions 1, 2, and 3 are identical to the EDS spectra of an unmodified Ni foam. The EDS spectra of the three regions displayed in Figure 2 are very similar to each other. In each region, the presence of Ni, C, O, and Pt is detected; Ni is the most abundant element in each spectrum. The peaks corresponding to C and O have similar intensities and shapes in the three spectra. The Pt peak in the EDS spectra is comparable in height and size, even though Pt appears to be more abundant in Region 2 as compared to Region 3 from the SEM image. Although this analysis is not quantitative, the observation that the Pt signal from Region 3 is comparable to that from Region
2 supports the proposal that the surface of the Ni foam has a relatively uniform coverage of Pt particle. This further suggests that small Pt particles, most of which are too small to observe in the SEM images, contribute significantly to the observed Pt EDS signal and subsequently studied properties of the Pt modified Ni foams.

![Image of Ni foam with EDS spectra](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Energy dispersive X–ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis performed at three regions on Pt/Ni foam (type AA 10). The three analysis regions are specified in the scanning electron microscope image on the left using colored boxes that are matched with corresponding EDS spectra.

**Electrochemical Behavior of Pt/Ni Foams in Alkaline Media.** Cyclic voltammetry was used to examine the electrochemical properties of Pt/Ni foams in aqueous alkaline electrolyte and to compare the electrochemical behavior of Pt/Ni foams to that of unmodified Ni foam and bulk Pt(poly). Figure 3 shows overlaid CV profiles in the $0.05 \leq E \leq 1.30$ V range for unmodified Ni foam (black curve), Pt/Ni foam (sample type BB 10, the blue curve), and Pt(poly) (red curve), each acquired in 0.50 M aqueous KOH electrolyte at $T = 298$ K and at a scan rate ($s$) of 100 mV s$^{-1}$. A typical CV profile for Pt(poly) in aqueous KOH or NaOH solution in this $E$
range displays the following features: (i) the under-potential deposition of hydrogen (H$\text{UPD}$) adsorption (cathodic) and desorption of H$\text{UPD}$ (anodic) at $0.05 \leq E \leq 0.45$ V; (ii) the capacitive double layer region, $0.45 \leq E \leq 0.80$ V; (iii) the formation of PtO at $E \geq 0.80$ V (anodic); and (iv) the reduction of PtO (cathodic) at $0.50 \leq E \leq 1.20$ V.$^{13}$

![Cyclic voltammetry profile](image)

**Figure 3.** Overlaid cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles collected for Ni foam (black), Pt/Ni foam (blue), and Pt(poly) (red) each collected in 0.50 M KOH at $T = 293$ K with $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$.

The CV profile of unmodified Ni foam is essentially featureless in this potential range. From 0.05 V up to ca. 0.70 V a small, steady double-layer current density ($j$) is observed; there is a very gradual increase in $j$ at $E > 0.70$ V that corresponds to the formation of $\beta$-Ni(OH)$_2$.$^{44}$ The CV profile of Pt/Ni foam is plotted in blue and displays similar features to that of Pt(poly) (the red curve). Here, we observe the expected cathodic and anodic H$\text{UPD}$ features at $0.05 \leq E \leq 0.45$ V. The anodic $j$ corresponding to the formation of PtO dominates the upper potential region, and the cathodic $j$ corresponding to the reduction of PtO is observed at $E \leq 1.20$ V. However, in the case of Pt/Ni foam, the H$\text{UPD}$ peaks are broad indicating that the deposited Pt does not have well-defined crystal facets.$^{49,50}$ It is difficult to discern a well-defined double layer region in the CV
profile of Pt/Ni foam. In the cathodic half of the CV profile, the reduction of PtO is spread out over a large $E$ range that overlaps the expected double layer region and the onset of $H_{UPD}$ adsorption. In the anodic half of the CV profile, there is a minimum in $j$ that consistently occurs in the $0.40 \leq E \leq 0.50$ V range. The position of this minimum is related to the completion of the $H_{UPD}$ desorption and anion adsorption. The increase in $j$ beyond $E = 0.50$ V is due to the onset of PtO formation, which occurs at potentials lower than those observed for Pt(poly).

**Electrochemically Active Surface Area and Mass Loading of Pt on Pt/Ni Foams.** The electrochemically active surface area ($A_{ecsa}$) of deposited Pt was determined for at least three separate Pt/Ni foam disks of each sample type. The Pt/Ni disks were mounted as working electrodes then subjected to a minimum of 200 CV cycles in 0.5 M aqueous KOH at $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$. The shape of the CV profile for Pt/Ni foams evolves significantly during these 200 CV cycles; this behavior is analyzed in detail in an upcoming section. The 200$^{th}$ CV cycle was chosen for the $A_{ecsa}$ determination because, at this point, the most significant changes in the CV profiles had already occurred. Using the 200$^{th}$ CV profile, the charge corresponding to $H_{UPD}$ desorption is determined by first isolating the anodic section from $E = 0.05$ V to 0.45 V, and then subtracting from this section the value of $I$ at $E = 0.45$ V. This $I$ value corresponds to the minimum $I$ observed in the double layer region; it is accepted as an estimate of the double-layer current ($I_{DL}$). By subtracting $I_{DL}$, the remaining $I$ is attributed to the pseudo-capacitive current associated with the desorption of $H_{UPD}$. This section of the CV profile is integrated to obtain the charge ($Q$), which is converted to $A_{ecsa}$ using the value of 220 $\mu$C cm$^{-2}$ that is the charge density of $H_{UPD}$ monolayer adsorption on Pt(poly)$^{51,52}$ Since the CV profile for the unmodified Ni foam displays no features in the $E$ region corresponding to $H_{UPD}$ adsorption and desorption, in the case of Pt/Ni foams all of the $I$ features in this region are attributed to the combination of the $H_{UPD}$
The desorption process at the deposited Pt and the double-layer charging. The H\textsubscript{UPD} desorption method is the most popular and most reliable approach for determining \( A_{\text{ecsa}} \) of Pt(poly) electrodes; it is considered reliable for Pt nanoparticles and large surface area Pt materials.\textsuperscript{52}

The mass loading of Pt on each type of Pt/Ni foam was determined using ICP-OES. Figure 4 presents two graphs that show \( A_{\text{ecsa}} \) of deposited Pt per unit of mass of Ni foam (\( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) in \( \text{cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1} \)) and the mass loading of Pt per unit of mass of Ni foam (\( m_{\text{Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) in \( \mu\text{g g}^{-1} \)) for each sample type. The top graph displays the \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) and \( m_{\text{Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) values for the type A and AA samples; the bottom graph displays the \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) and \( m_{\text{Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}} \) values for the type B and BB samples. Each point in these two graphs represents a value obtained from averaging three separate specimens; the error bars reflect the standard deviation.
Figure 4. Relationship between the number of deposition events, the electrochemical surface area of platinum ($A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$, black symbols) and the mass of deposited Pt per mass of Ni foam ($m_{\text{Pt}} / m_{\text{Ni}}$, red symbols), as measured by ICP-OES. The top graph shows the data for Pt deposited from a $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$ salt solution (A and AA samples); the bottom graph shows the data for Pt deposited from a $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_6$ salt solution (B and BB samples).
A careful analysis of the data presented in Figure 4 allows some general trends to be observed. For both the type A and type AA samples, there is a linear increase in $A_{ecsa}/m_{Ni}$ as the number of depositions increases from 2 to 5 to 10. The slope of the line representing the relationship between $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$ and the number of depositions is the steepest for the type AA samples, likely due to the higher concentration of Pt in the deposition solution used to make the AA samples. However, doubling the concentration of Pt in the deposition solution does not result in a two-fold increase in the value of $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$, rather the ratio between the $A_{ecsa,Pr}$ of the AA samples (prepared from a Pt solution with 2 times the concentration of Pt compared to A samples) and $A_{ecsa,Pr}$ of the A samples is slightly less than 1.5. The ratio between the $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$ values for the AA samples and the $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$ values for the A samples is slightly less than 1.5.

The relationship between $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ and the number of depositions is not linear for the type A samples; in this case the mass of deposited Pt seems to level off after five depositions. With respect to the AA samples, the relationship between $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ and the number of depositions is linear. After 10 deposition events, the mass of Pt on the type AA samples is more than double the mass of Pt on the type A samples. However, this large difference in $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ values is not observed between the type A and AA samples with 2 and 5 depositions; on the contrary, at 2 and 5 depositions, the Pt mass loading of the type A and AA samples overlap within experimental uncertainty. Similar trends are observed in the bottom graph of Figure 4, which displays the data obtained for the B and BB type samples. For both the type B and BB samples, the $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$ increases from 2 to 5 depositions, but levels off or decreases slightly for those samples with 10 deposition events. A comparison of the B and BB type samples demonstrates an approximately two-fold increase in $A_{ecsa,Pr}/m_{Ni}$ by doubling the concentration in the deposition solution. The relationship between $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ and the number of depositions is not linear for the B or BB type
samples. The average value of $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ levels off after five deposition events for the type B samples and increases for the BB type samples. Doubling of the concentration of Pt in the K$_2$PtCl$_6$ deposition solution results in a 2.5 – 3.5 fold increase in the value of $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$. Overall, the trends observed in both graphs of Figure 4 indicate that the $A_{ecsa,Pt}/m_{Ni}$ and $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ values achieved for various numbers of depositions are similar for the two Pt compounds employed. The B and BB type samples, on average, have slightly lower $A_{ecsa}/m_{Ni}$ and $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ values as compared to the A and AA type samples.

The trends observed indicate significant differences between the deposition properties of the Pt$^{4+}$ and Pt$^{2+}$ salt solutions, and their concentrations in solution, that have important implications on the final properties of the Pt/Ni foams. Due to the high cost of Pt, it is optimal for the final Pt/Ni foams to have a large ratio $A_{ecsa,Pt}/m_{Pt}$ (Pt mass enhancement factor), as to maximize the use of Pt for electrocatalytic application. Therefore, in Figure 4, it is desirable for the difference between Pt mass loading expressed as $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ (the red points) and the Pt surface area expressed as $A_{ecsa,Pt}/m_{Ni}$ (the black points) to be large, such that a small Pt mass loading translates into a large $A_{ecsa,Pt}$. A large value of the $A_{ecsa,Pt}/m_{Pt}$ ratio indicates that the Pt deposits on these samples are generally small in size, as to optimize the surface area to volume ratio of Pt particle coatings. The AA samples exhibit a high Pt mass enhancement factor (relative to the other Pt/Ni foams) after the first deposition event and after 5 deposition events, but this good mass enhancement is not observed after 10 deposition events. This trend is not consistent for the A samples, indicating that the seeding of Pt particles is highly sensitive to the Pt salt concentration. For the AA samples, the trend suggests that the Pt salt seeds uniformly cover the Ni surface to produce small Pt particles well-dispersed over the Ni foam surface. The Pt particles then continue to grow with repeated deposition cycles. After 10 deposition events, the Pt particles become large.
and agglomerate, resulting in the decreased mass enhancement. Similar to the A and AA samples, the trends observed for the B and BB samples are not consistent, further emphasizing the impact of concentration on the morphology of Pt deposits. The largest mass enhancement for these samples is shown for the sample type B after 5 deposition cycles. In general, the correlation between $m_{Pt}$ and $A_{ecsa,Pt}$ observed for the Pt$^{4+}$ salt solutions suggest the Pt deposits tend to form larger particles from the outset, resulting in a small mass enhancement. The lower concentration of sample type B (compared to BB) likely allows for multiple deposition cycles to produce smaller Pt deposits that more uniformly cover the surface, resulting in the maximum observed after 5 deposition events. This difference in seeding process for the A and AA as well as the B and BB samples can be explained through differences in the energy required to reduce Pt$^{2+}$ and Pt$^{4+}$ salts. The Pt$^{2+}$ salts should be more readily reduced in the NaBH$_4$ solution used for electroless deposition, making it more energetically favorable for multiple seeds to be produced throughout the Ni foam and resulting in small, well-dispersed Pt deposits. The Pt$^{4+}$ salts require more energy for reduction onto the Ni surface, such that the conditions will favor the formation of fewer seeds and result in larger Pt deposits.

**Stability of the Pt/Ni Foams as Revealed by Potential Cycling.** Potential cycling was employed to examine in detail how the CV profile of Pt/Ni foam changes over the course of several hundred of transients that can be related to the material’s stability in an electrochemical environment. Figure 3 shows CV profiles for the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and Pt/Ni foams; the $j$ values in the double-layer region of these materials are 2.41, 10.78, and 41.45 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$, respectively. These $j$ values can be converted to double-layer capacitance ($C_{DL}$) by dividing them by $s$; such calculated $C_{DL}$ values for the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and Pt/Ni foam are 0.241, 1.08, and 4.15 F m$^{-2}$, respectively. The $C_{DL}$ value of an electrode is affected by
several factors including the roughness and geometry of the electrode, the electrode surface area, the nature of the electrolyte, and electronic properties of the electrode material that impact its interaction with the electrolyte.\textsuperscript{52,53} When comparing the Pt/Ni foams to either the unmodified Ni foam or Pt(poly), it is necessary to remember that by depositing Pt on the Ni foam, we altered the roughness and surface area of the electrode, and added a new material to the system. Thus, it is not unreasonable to observe a large change in the $C_{DL}$ of the modified material.

Changes that occur in the CV profile for Pt/Ni foam during repetitive potential cycling are examined in the three graphs of Figure 5. Graph A displays the first 400 CV profiles in the $0.05 \leq E \leq 1.30$ V range collected in 0.50 M aqueous KOH at $T = 293$ K and $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$. The profiles are color-coded in groups of 50 cycles. It is evident from Figure 5A that the majority of changes in the CV profiles occur in the first 100 CV transients. During the 400 CV scans, the CV profile of Pt/Ni foam evolves from its original appearance to resemble more closely the shape expected for Pt(poly) in aqueous alkaline media. The following changes are observed in the CV profile of Pt/Ni foam during the 400 CV scans.
Figure 5. (A) Four hundred cyclic voltammetry (CV) profiles for Pt/Ni foam collected in 0.50 M KOH at $T = 293$ K with $s = 100$ mV s$^{-1}$. The CV profiles are in groups of 50 cycles, according to color. (B) Relationship between the cycle number, the electrochemical surface areas of Pt ($A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$, black squares), and double-layer current ($I_{\text{DL}}$) from the CV profile (red triangles). (C) The total anodic and cathodic charges ($Q_{\text{anodic}}$, $Q_{\text{cathodic}}$) from $0.05 \leq E \leq 1.30$ V as a function of cycle number.
(i) Significant changes occur in the forward scan of the CV profile in the $0.60 \leq E \leq 0.90$ V range. A peak centered at ca. 0.80 V in the first few cycles decreases in intensity and eventually disappears with potential cycling, as indicated by the black arrow.

(ii) There is a decrease in $I$ throughout the entire PtO formation region. After approximately the first 200 cycles, the loss of $I$ appears to be uniform in the 0.70 to 1.30 V potential range.

(iii) In the reverse scan, within the potential range of $0.70 \leq E \leq 0.30$ V, $I$ decreases significantly with potential cycling. This decrease is most significant in the first 100 cycles. This $E$ region also gives the appearance of the PtO reduction peak shifting towards slightly higher potential values (indicated by the black arrow). The shape of the PtO reduction peak does not change on the upper-potential side of the peak maximum ($E > 0.75$ V).

(iv) Major changes are observed in the double-layer region of the CV profile (near $E = 0.45$ V). This is of particular importance because the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ values of Pt on the Pt/Ni foams are calculated using the charge of H$_{\text{UPD}}$ desorption in the CV profile, which requires knowledge of the double-layer current ($I_{\text{DL}}$). The $I_{\text{DL}}$ value, as measured at $E = 0.45$ V, decreases significantly during the first 200 CV cycles. After 200 potential cycles the decrease in $I_{\text{DL}}$ as a function of CV scan number begins to level off. In the forward scan, the thick double-layer region overlaps with the onset of PtO formation that occurs between $E = 0.60$ and 0.80 V. On the basis of these repetitive CV measurements, it is hard to define at which potential PtO formation begins.

(v) There is some decrease in $I$ in the H$_{\text{UPD}}$ region (both anodic and cathodic). In the first 200 CV scans, the peaks corresponding to H$_{\text{UPD}}$ adsorption and desorption become shaper and more well-defined; this is indicative of restructuring of the deposited Pt and possible grain growth because the peaks in this region correspond to particular crystal facets of a
polycrystalline material.\textsuperscript{49,50,54} After the first 200 cycles, the decrease in \( I \) in this region is uniform over the H\textsubscript{UPD} adsorption potential range and the shape of peaks remains the same. This is indicative of overall loss of \( A_{\text{ecsa}} \) of Pt most likely via detachment of Pt particles and electro-dissolution of Pt.\textsuperscript{55,56}

Figure 5B shows calculated \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) values for one Pt/Ni foam electrode as well as the measured value of \( I_{\text{DL}} \) (\( I \) at \( E = 0.45 \) V) plotted as a function of CV scan number for a BB 10 type sample. This analysis was carried out for five different Pt/Ni foam samples; the results presented are representative of all five samples. Graph B shows a decrease in the value of \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) over the 400 CV transients. After the 400 CV scans, the value of \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) decreased by about 19 cm\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), which corresponds to ca. 8% of the initial \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) value. Within the five samples that were examined in this manner, the average loss of \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) was 13% over the course of 400 cycles. The decrease in \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}/m_{\text{Ni}}} \) may have a number of different origins: (i) detachment of Pt particles; (ii) decrease in surface area through rearrangement, grain growth, and smoothing of the surface;\textsuperscript{54} and (iii) electrochemical dissolution of Pt as a result of potential cycling.\textsuperscript{56,57} Figure 5B also shows a decrease in \( I_{\text{DL}} \) as a function of CV transient number. Here, we observe a sharp, linear decrease over the initial 200 cycles and the value of \( I_{\text{DL}} \) begins to level off for the cycle number 350 and 400.

Figure 5C shows the total anodic charge (\( Q_{\text{anodic}} \)) and total cathodic charge (\( Q_{\text{cathodic}} \)) from the CV profile of Pt/Ni foam (0.005 \( \leq E \leq 1.30 \) V), plotted as a function of the CV transient number. This plot demonstrates that the values of \( Q_{\text{anodic}} \) and \( Q_{\text{cathodic}} \) are very similar to each other throughout the 400 CV scans; however, in all cases \( Q_{\text{cathodic}} \) is slightly higher than \( Q_{\text{anodic}} \). In the CV profile for Pt/Ni foams, the onset of HER is visible at \( E \leq 0.10 \) V in the cathodic CV scans. The small difference between \( Q_{\text{cathodic}} \) and \( Q_{\text{anodic}} \) is attributed to onset of HER, which contributes
only to the cathodic current of the CV profile. Both $Q_{\text{anodic}}$ and $Q_{\text{cathodic}}$ decrease drastically over the initial 200 potential cycles and then begin to level off. Since $Q_{\text{anodic}}$ and $Q_{\text{cathodic}}$ are approximately equal, we cannot attribute the drastic changes in the CV profile over the 400 CV scans to the oxidation of impurities because, in such a case, we would expect $Q_{\text{anodic}}$ to be significantly larger than $Q_{\text{cathodic}}$ for a given cycle number. On the basis of the evidence presented in the three graphs of Figure 5, we conclude that the changes observed in the CV profile of Pt/Ni foam as potential cycling progresses are the result of several concurrently-occurring processes that include the restructuring of deposited Pt and loss of Pt through the detachment of Pt particles and electro- dissolution of Pt. We wish to add that we did attempt to further confirm the restructuring and crystal grain growth of the deposited Pt using X-ray diffraction (XRD). The goal was to examine the average grain size of Pt as a function of CV scan number using XRD data and the Scherrer formula.\textsuperscript{54,58} Unfortunately, due to the low loading of Pt and open porous morphology of the Pt/Ni foams, the intensity of the Pt XRD peaks was too low for quantification.

**Part 2: Electrocatalytic Activity of Pt/Ni Foams.** In this section, we examine the electrocatalytic activity of Pt/Ni foams towards HER, HOR, ORR, and OER. The reactions were carried out via the LSV and Tafel polarization methods using Pt/Ni foams of each type (A, AA, B, and BB with 2, 5, and 10 deposition events). Unmodified Ni foam and Pt\textsubscript{poly} electrodes were also included in the analysis for comparison. The characterization results presented above demonstrate that the Pt particles deposited from solutions containing either K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{4} or K\textsubscript{2}PtCl\textsubscript{6} are very similar in terms of particle size and morphology and the CV profiles obtained for the various Pt/Ni foams display identical behavior. With this in mind, we proceed to interpret the following electrocatalysis results by considering the four different electrode types (A, AA, B,
and BB) with various numbers of deposition events (2, 5, and 10) as one large sample set. The variable that we consider within this sample set is the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of Pt, which we determine for each individual Pt/Ni foam electrode. In the upcoming analysis, we quantify the amount of Pt on a Pt/Ni foam electrode in terms of the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of Pt divided by the total $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of the electrode ($A_{\text{ecsa}}$ Pt + $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ Ni foam). The Pt/Ni foams are bimetallic materials that present a unique challenge in defining and determining the electrochemically active surface area that should be used when converting the $I$ values to $j$ values to compare the various electrodes. Generally, the $I$ measured in an electrochemical experiment is converted to current density by normalizing the $I$ to the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of the electrode. This allows comparisons to be made between electrodes that have different $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ values. In previous studies, we presented methods used to estimate the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of the Ni foam substrate and relate the Ni $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ to the mass of the Ni foam electrode.\textsuperscript{44,45} The specific surface area ($A_s = A_{\text{ecsa}}/m$) of the Ni foam used in this study was, therefore, estimated from these previous studies and is ca. 300 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ ± 90 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$.\textsuperscript{45} The total $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of a Pt/Ni foam electrode is determined by adding the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of Pt, determined via CV analysis of the adsorption of H$_{\text{UPD}}$, to the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of the Ni foam support. For any given Pt/Ni foam electrode, the experimental uncertainty associated with the total $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ value is dominated by the large uncertainty (30%) associated with the $A_s$ of Ni foam. There is also some uncertainty associated with the determination of Pt $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ using the H$_{\text{UPD}}$ method described above due to the fact that the CV profile of Pt/Ni foams never completely stabilizes as a result of structural changes in the Pt deposit (particle detachment, agglomeration, and dissolution). To evaluate the significance of this uncertainty on the analysis of Pt/Ni foam electrocatalytic performance, the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ of Pt for eight separate Pt/Ni electrodes was determined before, and after HER and HOR experiments. These values differed by an average of 4 %, indicating that the $A_{\text{ecsa}}$ calculated after the HER and
HOR measurements is also representative of the electrode before these measurements. The determination of \( j \) for the HER, HOR, ORR, and OER with Pt/Ni foam electrodes is further complicated by the fact that both Pt and Ni are electrocatalytically active for some of the reactions studied, and that their activities differ significantly. In some cases, it is not obvious if the \( A_{\text{ecsa}} \) of the Ni foam substrate should be included in the calculation of \( j \) values. This issue is addressed independently for each of the reactions studied.

**The Hydrogen Evolution Reaction.** Both Ni and Pt are considered good electrocatalysts for the HER in alkaline media. The exchange current density of the HER (\( j_{o,\text{HER}} \)) for a Pt cathode is about 2.5 orders of magnitude higher than that of a Ni cathode.\(^{59}\) Figure 6A shows LSV profiles for the HER occurring at the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with various \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}} \) values, as indicated by the ratio \( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}} \), where the total electrochemically active surface area (\( A_{\text{ecsa,total}} \)) is the sum of the electrochemically active surface area of Pt (\( A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}} \)) and the electrochemically active surface area of Ni (\( A_{\text{ecsa,Ni}} \)), thus \( A_{\text{ecsa,total}} = A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}} + A_{\text{ecsa,Ni}} \). Because the scan rate was very low, the current density reached at every \( E \) value corresponded to a near steady-state current density. The \( E \) values for all electrocatalysis measurements presented herein are corrected for \( IR \) drop. The current density for the HER (\( j_{\text{HER}} \)) at the Ni foam (purple LSV profile) is very low as compared to that of Pt(poly) (blue LSV profile), and that of the Pt/Ni foams (black, red, and green LSV profiles, respectively). Although the Ni foam is significantly less active towards HER than the Pt/Ni foams, there is a small \( j \) observed as \( E \) approaches –0.15 V. All of the materials that contain Pt (i.e. Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foams) display significant \( j \), even at small overpotential values. The Ni foam electrode does not produce any measurable \( j \) for the HER in the initial 20 mV of the LSV scan. Since both Ni and Pt are active electrocatalysts towards the HER, the LSV profiles for Pt/Ni foams are normalized by dividing the experimental
I value by $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ to obtain the value of $j$. The LSV profiles for the Pt/Ni foams demonstrate increasing $j_{\text{HER}}$ with increase in $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$. The Pt/Ni foam with the highest $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$ (green LSV profile, $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$ equals ca. 46% of $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$) demonstrates electrochemical behavior that is very similar to that of Pt(poly) in this potential range.
Figure 6. (A) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) profiles for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) in 0.50 M KOH using the following electrodes: Ni foam (purple); Pt(poly) (blue); and Pt/Ni foams with different $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratios: 0.25 (black), 0.31 (red), 0.46 (green); $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$, $T = 293$ K. (B) HER current density ($j_{\text{HER}}$) values at $E = -0.150$ V for Pt(poly), Ni foam, and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratio values; these values are obtained from LSV profiles. Black circles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$; red triangles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$. (C) HER Tafel polarization plots for Pt(poly) (black), and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratios: 0.49 (red), 0.29 (green), 0.39 (purple) carried out in 0.50 M KOH at $T = 293$ K. (D) Illustration for the determination of the Tafel slope ($b$) and exchange current density ($j_0, \text{HER}$) from Tafel polarization curves of Pt(poly) and Pt/Ni foam electrodes.

Figure 6B shows the $j_{\text{HER}}$ values obtained at $E = -0.150$ V for the Pt/Ni foam electrodes, as well as for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly). These values were obtained from the LSV profiles and are plotted as a function of $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$. The $j_{\text{HER}}$ values for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly) are shown as green and blue circles, respectively. To facilitate a comparative data analysis, we add dotted horizontal lines that represent the $j_{\text{HER}}$ values for the untreated Ni foam and Pt(poly). The black circles represent the $j_{\text{HER}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$. In this case, both the deposited Pt and the underlying Ni foam act as electrocatalytic materials at which HER takes place. The red triangles represent the $j_{\text{HER}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by only $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$. In this case, the Ni foam is considered electrocatalytically inactive and the entire $I$ for HER is attributed to the deposited Pt particles. In all cases, the $j_{\text{HER}}$ values obtained when $I$ is normalized to only the $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$ (the red triangles) is greater than $j_{\text{HER}}$ of Pt(poly).
A number of different explanations can account for this apparent improvement in the catalytic activity of Pt contained in Pt/Ni foams, in comparison to Pt(poly) towards HER. These include (i) enhanced activity of Pt towards HER due to electronic interactions between Pt and Ni, (ii) activity of the underlying Ni foam support towards HER, and (iii) improved diffusion of reactants and products to and from the Pt surface resulting in more efficient catalytic turnover.

There are a number of studies that report increased electrocatalytic activity through the addition of Ni to Pt electrode materials. These increases in electrocatalytic activity are often attributed to a change in the Pt–Pt bond distance or electronic effects brought about by the added metal, such as an increase in its $d$-vacancy character. Binary NiPt electrocatalysts were developed and examined for their suitability for reactions such as HOR and ORR, CO oxidation, methanol oxidation. During the preparation of the Pt/Ni foams, we did not include any specific steps as to alloy the deposited Pt to the Ni foam and so these electronic effects are anticipated to be minimal. The LSV plot in Figure 6 demonstrates that the unmodified Ni foam has low activity towards the HER. A previous study of the HER using a polycrystalline Ni electrode showed that a freshly polished metallic Ni electrode is more active towards HER than the same electrode after the electrochemical formation of surface oxides. In that study, it was observed that $j_{\text{HER}}$ decreased by an order of magnitude after the polished electrode was oxidized. It is possible that our NaBH$_4$ treatment of the Ni foams during Pt deposition could have improved the activity of Ni towards HER through the reduction, or partial reduction, of the native surface oxide ($\beta$-Ni(OH)$_2$) on the surface of Ni foam. This likely does not account for all $j$ gains shown for the Pt/Ni foams as compared to Pt(poly). Most likely, the greatest improvements in the Pt activity are a result of improvements in diffusion. Mass transport to and from the surface of small spherical Pt particles, such as those present in the Pt/Ni foams, is three-
dimensional, rather than linear, resulting in an increased current density. This effect is further illustrated in our examination of the Pt/Ni foam and Pt(poly) Tafel plots.

Figure 6C presents Tafel polarization plots for HER taking place on Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with different values of $A_{\text{ecsa},\text{Pt}}$. From this graph, it is evident that Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foams reveal different electrochemical behaviors. Figure 6D shows the Tafel plot for Pt(poly) and one Pt/Ni foam in more detail. The plot corresponding to Pt(poly) has a linear region for the overpotential from $\eta = -100$ to $\eta = -200$ mV range. The Tafel plot corresponding to the Pt/Ni foam has two linear regions, one at lower absolute $\eta$ values ($-100 < \eta < 0$ mV) and one at higher absolute $\eta$ values ($\eta < -120$ mV). In general, the information obtained from Tafel plots can be used to determine mechanistic information about an electrochemical process, and also to compare the electrocatalytic activity of various electrocatalysts. The Tafel slope ($b$) is the slope of the linear portion of a $\eta$ vs. log $j$ curve. The Tafel slope depends not only on the reaction mechanism and the material’s intrinsic activity towards the process, but also on its three-dimensional structure, porosity, and presence of grains that possess their unique surface arrangement of atoms and electrocatalytic activities. Identification of a linear region and its extrapolation to $\eta = 0$ mV allows the determination of the exchange current density ($j_o$) of the electrode process. The presence of more than one linear region might be attributed to a given reaction possessing two different mechanistic pathways, provided that other phenomena do not give rise to a different Tafel slope. At high overpotential values, Tafel polarization curves reveal a non-linear relationship and $j$ gradually reaches a limiting value because the process is under mass-transport control. In the case of multi-component electrodes, Tafel polarization plots are difficult to analyze because the same reaction can occur at different rates at different components and can also have different reaction mechanisms. In the case of Pt/Ni foams, the Tafel plots are
not analyzed for mechanistic information because HER occurs simultaneously on Pt and Ni. However, the Tafel plots for HER for Pt/Ni foams shown in Figure 6C may be used to determine $j_0$ and $b$ in order to perform a comparative analysis of their activities, and to relate them to the respective $j_0$ and $b$ values for Pt(poly). Table 1 presents the $j_0$ and $b$ values obtained from the HER Tafel plots. The Tafel plot for Pt(poly) has only one linear region. Because it is observed at high $\eta$ values and because it is in the same overpotential range as the second linear region of the Pt/Ni foams, it is arbitrarily defined as a linear region 2. The $b$ value for Pt(poly) is the lowest, which is expected due to the superior electrocatalytic activity of Pt towards this reaction. Our $j_{0,\text{HER}} = 1.8 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ and $b = -170 \pm 15 \text{ mV/dec}$ slightly differ from the literature values of $j_{0,\text{HER}} = 1.03 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ and $b = 130 \text{ mV/dec}$ in alkaline solution at $T = 293 \text{ K}$. The difference in $j_{0,\text{HER}}$ is small and within the experimental uncertainty, while the difference in $b$ could be assigned to the non-planar electrode geometry (a wire-shaped Pt(poly) electrode).
Table 1. Exchange current density and Tafel slope values for HER and OER using Ni foam, Pt\textsubscript{poly}, and Pt/Ni foam electrodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrode Material</th>
<th>( \dot{j} ) (1) ( \text{A cm}^{-2} )</th>
<th>( b ) (1) ( \text{mV / dec} )</th>
<th>( \dot{j} ) (2) ( \text{A cm}^{-2} )</th>
<th>( b ) (2) ( \text{mV / dec} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>(-120 \pm 10 )</td>
<td>( 4.9 \times 10^{-6} )</td>
<td>(-180 \pm 15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(poly)</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>( 1.8 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>(-170 \pm 15 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Ni foam</td>
<td>( 3.9 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>(-90 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-3} )</td>
<td>(-230 \pm 20 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OER</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni foam</td>
<td>( 1.0 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>(40 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>( 1.4 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>(320 \pm 30 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt(poly)</td>
<td>( 2.8 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>(60 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>( 5.3 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>(330 \pm 30 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/Ni foam</td>
<td>( 3.8 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>(60 \pm 5 )</td>
<td>( 8.6 \times 10^{-5} )</td>
<td>(350 \pm 30 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**The Hydrogen Oxidation Reaction.** Figure 7A shows LSV profiles for HOR taking place on the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with various \( A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}} \) values. The LSV profiles were collected in the \( 0.000 \leq E \leq 0.200 \) V region, in 0.50 M aqueous KOH electrolyte saturated with \( \text{H}_2(\text{g, 1 atm.}) \), at \( T = 293 \) K and with \( s = 0.1 \) mV s\(^{-1} \); the electrolyte was stirred during the measurement. The main graph in Figure 7A shows the HOR LSV profiles using the same \( j \)-axis scale (y-axis) as in the HER LSV profiles shown in Figure 6A. This demonstrates that \( j_{\text{HOR}} \) is significantly lower than \( j_{\text{HER}} \) for both Pt(poly) and the unmodified Ni foam. The insert in Figure 7A shows the same HOR LSV profiles but using an enlarged scale. These LSV profiles demonstrate that the unmodified Ni foam has essentially no electrocatalytic activity towards HOR; the \( j_{\text{HOR}} \) for Ni foams is on the order of \( 10^{-7} \) A cm\(^{-2} \). In general, polycrystalline Ni is not considered a good electrocatalyst for the HOR, however some activity is expected.
According to an extensive analysis of the HOR using polished polycrystalline Ni electrodes, the expected $j_{\text{HOR}}$ in alkaline solution using a freshly polished Ni electrode is approximately 20 µA cm$^{-2}$. In the same study,$^{12}$ the authors observe that the HOR begins in the reverse scan of a CV experiment only once the $\alpha$-Ni(OH)$_2$ surface oxide has been reduced, suggesting that HOR does not proceed when the electrode surface is covered with an oxide. The surface of the unmodified Ni foam used in this study is covered with $\beta$-Ni(OH)$_2$, which forms spontaneously when Ni is in contact with the ambient; this oxide cannot be reduced electrochemically.$^{45}$ The presence of this surface oxide on Ni foam is likely responsible for its inactivity towards the HOR reported in this study. The LSV profile for Pt(poly) shows that it is an active electrocatalyst for HOR. The LSV profiles for the Pt/Ni foams display a very unexpected, though reproducible, behavior. The Pt/Ni foams display moderate activity towards HOR, as compared to Pt(poly). However, an overpotential in the $50 \leq \eta \leq 100$ mV range is required in order to observe any significant anodic $j$. The most unexpected behavior of the Pt/Ni foams (but not of the unmodified Ni foam) is a cathodic current density in the $0 \leq \eta \leq 100$ mV range. In addition, the same behavior is observed in HOR Tafel polarization measurements (not shown) that are carried out immediately following the completion of the HOR LSV measurements. As described in the Experimental Section, the HOR measurements were performed immediately after the examination of HER and the Pt/Ni foams were not exposed to any potential cycling; the measurements were carried out in the same electrolyte without the electrode being removed from the electrochemical cell. Thus, the Pt/Ni foams were not covered with any oxide species that could be reduced electrochemically (such as Pt surface oxide, or $\alpha$-Ni(OH)$_2$ on Ni) and could give rise to a cathodic current during the examination of HOR kinetics. It is also very unlikely that the electrolyte contained impurities that could result in a cathodic current. In fact, any impurities present in the electrolyte would
affect the shape of CV profiles of Pt(poly) or Ni. Therefore, the above-reported cathodic current density observed in the $0 \leq \eta \leq 100$ mV range may not be attributed to the reduction of surface oxide or reduction of impurities. At this stage, we are unable to explain the exact origin of this cathodic current and provide experimental evidence for the correctness of our explanation but report this behavior because it is reproducible. The only explanation, which is very speculative and without any evidence, is that the negative current density is due to cathodic H absorption into the Ni foam that mediated by Pt nanoparticles. However, we would also like to note that this is not the first study to report a cathodic current density at positive $\eta$ values for supported Pt electrocatalysts. A similar behaviour was observed for Pt particles dispersed in a polyaniline matrix on a glassy carbon support during LSV measurements in the $0 \leq \eta \leq 100$ mV range in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ saturated with H$_2$(g)\textsuperscript{65}. In that study, $\eta$ values up to 20 mV were required to generate an anodic current; the $\eta$ required to achieve anodic current due to HOR decreased with increasing Pt loading. The cathodic current observed at $\eta < 20$ mV was not attributed to any particular reaction; however, the authors speculated that the adsorption of hydrogen in the first step of the HOR was inhibited at low Pt loading values, thus preventing the HOR from occurring at the standard potential.\textsuperscript{65}

Figure 7B shows $j_{\text{HOR}}$ values obtained at $E = 0.150$ V for the Pt/Ni foams with various values of the $A_{\text{ecs, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecs, total}}$ ratio, as well as for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly). The $j_{\text{HOR}}$ value for the unmodified Ni foam is marked with a green line and serves as a reference; this value is very close to zero. The $j_{\text{HOR}}$ value for Pt(poly) is marked as a blue line and also acts as a reference. The black circles represent the $j_{\text{HOR}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{ecs, total}}$. In this case, the Ni foam is considered to contribute towards HOR but in reality its contribution is negligible and therefore the surface area of Ni foam should not be included in $j_{\text{HOR}}$ determination. The red
triangles represent the $j_{\text{HOR}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$. In this case, the Ni foam is considered inactive towards HOR and merely serves as a support for the Pt particles. As expected, such calculated $j_{\text{HOR}}$ values are higher because $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$ is significantly smaller than $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$. Figure 7B also demonstrates that there is a correlation between the values of $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}$ and $j_{\text{HOR}}$. The Pt/Ni foams with higher $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ ratios produce high $j_{\text{HOR}}$ values, as expected. Because the performance of the Pt/Ni foams as electrocatalysts for HOR is rather poor, the analysis of these materials as electrocatalysts for HOR is not extended to include the Tafel polarization curves.
Figure 7. (A) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) profiles for the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) in 0.50 M KOH using the following electrodes: Ni foam (black); Pt(poly) (red); and Pt/Ni foams with different $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratio values: 0.30 (green), 0.40 (purple), 0.46 (blue); $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$, $T = 293$ K. (B) HOR current density ($j_{\text{HOR}}$) values at $E = 0.150$ V for Pt(poly), Ni foam, and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratio; these values are obtained from LSV profiles. Black circles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$; red triangles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$. 
The Oxygen Reduction Reaction. Figure 8A displays LSV profiles for ORR taking place at the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$ values. The LSV profiles were acquired in the $1.10 \leq E \leq 0.60$ V range, in 0.50 M aqueous KOH saturated with $O_2(g)$, at $T = 293$ K and $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$. Typical studies of ORR are carried out using a rotating disk electrode in order to increase the mass transport of $O_2(g)$ towards the electrode. The ORR experiments presented herein were carried out in lightly stirred electrolyte with a stationary electrode. We wish to add that the unmodified Ni foam and Pt/Ni foams are prepared by attaching the foam material to a thin Ni wire for electrical contact. Because of this arrangement the electrode cannot be rotated. The electrolyte solution cannot be agitated vigorously because this would loosen the Ni foam-Ni wire connection. Also, the reactant gas (here $O_2$) cannot be bubbled vigorously because this could also loosen the Ni foam-Ni wire connection. Therefore, all experiments were conducted with the electrolyte being pre-saturated with $O_2(g)$, the reactant gas passed above the electrolyte surface to maintain saturation, and the electrolyte being lightly stirred. The LSV profile for the unmodified Ni foam demonstrates that this material has practically no electrocatalytic activity towards ORR. Generally, the ORR proceeds towards the formation of hydrogen peroxide with low current density using a polycrystalline Ni electrode; however, the oxidation state of the electrode surface has a significant effect on this reaction.$^{66,67}$ The presence of electrochemically-formed surface oxides on Ni was shown to inhibit the ORR.$^{67}$ The LSV ORR experiments presented herein begin at a potential ($E = 1.10$ V) well within the region of nickel oxide formation. Therefore, the presence of $\beta$-Ni(OH)$_2$ covering the Ni foam substrate is likely the reason for its inactivity towards the ORR. As expected, the LSV profile for Pt(poly) reveals that it is an active electrocatalyst towards ORR. The LSV profiles
corresponding to the Pt/Ni foams display electrocatalytic behavior similar to that of Pt(poly), but with lower $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values. It is important to emphasize that because the unmodified Ni foam displays no electrocatalytic activity towards ORR, the LSV profiles for the Pt/Ni foams are normalized by dividing $I$ by the $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$. All of the LSV profiles display a scatter of $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values for $E \leq 0.85$ V. This behavior is assigned to mass transport phenomena because in the case of a lightly stirred electrolyte there is non-uniform $O_2(g)$ concentration distribution and slow $O_2(g)$ diffusion from the surface region that is saturated with $O_2(g)$.\textsuperscript{53} The LSV profiles for ORR on Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foams display similar features. Although $E^o$ for ORR is 1.229 V, a large overpotential is required to achieve an appreciable current density because $j_{o,\text{ORR}}$ is several orders of magnitude lower than $j_{o,\text{HER}}$.\textsuperscript{53} Here, an appreciable $j_{\text{ORR}}$ value is observed only at $E < 0.93$ V. As the $E$ decreases, the values of $j_{\text{ORR}}$ increase and reach a maximum at ca. $E = 0.8$ V. At potential lower than 0.8 V, ORR becomes limited by the mass transport and the values of $j_{\text{ORR}}$ decreases with respect to the maximum value because the Nernst diffusion layer increases in thickness.
**Figure 8.** (A) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) profiles for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) in 0.50 M KOH using the following electrodes: Ni foam (black); Pt(poly) (red); and Pt/Ni foams with different $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratio values: 0.30 (green), 0.39 (purple), 0.40 (blue); $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$, $T = 293$ K. (B) ORR current density ($j_{\text{ORR}}$) values at $E = 0.900$ V for Pt(poly), Ni foam, and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$ ratio; these values are obtained from LSV profiles. Black circles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$; red triangles correspond to $j_{\text{HER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$.

There are some notable differences between the behavior of Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foams that are evident from Figure 8A: (i) the increase in $j_{\text{ORR}}$ with decreasing $E$ is steeper for Pt(poly) than
for the Pt/Ni foams; (ii) the influence of mass-transfer limitation is observed at a higher $E$ value for Pt(poly) than for the Pt/Ni foams; this can be easily explained bearing in mind that in the case of Pt(poly) the diffusion of $\text{O}_2(g)$ towards the electrode can be treated as planar and in the case of Pt particles on a Ni substrate as hemi-spherical (as discussed above for HOR); and (iii) the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values achieved at $E < 0.80 \ \text{V}$ (mass transport limited $j$) are higher for Pt(poly) than for the Pt/Ni foams. In the case of Pt(poly), there are two processes that occur concurrently within the $E$ region of the ORR: the formation of PtO in the $0.85 \leq E \leq 1.23 \ \text{V}$ range and the reduction of PtO at $0.50 \leq E \leq 1.10 \ \text{V}$. At the near-steady state conditions of this LSV experiment, the contributions of $j$ from PtO formation and reduction are negligible. In the case of Pt/Ni foams, the PtO formation and reduction reactions occur as well. However, the formation of $\beta$-$\text{Ni(OH)}_2$ also occurs in this $E$ region and has a greater implication for the ORR behavior of Pt/Ni foams. The formation of $\beta$-$\text{Ni(OH)}_2$ gives rise to an anodic current at $E > 0.50 \ \text{V}$ that adds to the cathodic current of ORR. This could be the reason why the steep, linear part of the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ versus $E$ transients ($0.82 \leq E \leq 0.89 \ \text{V}$ range) for the Pt/Ni foams have lower slope than the analogous transient for Pt(poly). The high surface area and porous structure of the Pt/Ni foams is another reason why these materials display lower $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values as compared to Pt(poly). Basically, the diffusion of $\text{O}_2(g)$ within a network of interconnected pores is slower than in the case of smooth electrode. In addition, the interconnected pores also increase the $IR$ drop, thus the potential experienced by an inner portion of foam is lower than the actual applied potential. Finally, a growing $\beta$-$\text{Ni(OH)}_2$ layer adjacent to a Pt particle gradually decreases the latter’s surface area at its edge.

Figure 8B shows the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values obtained at $E = 0.900 \ \text{V}$ for the Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various values of the $A_{\text{ecsa},\text{Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa},\text{total}}$ ratio, as well as for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly).
The $j_{\text{ORR}}$ value for the unmodified Ni foam is marked with a green line and serves as a reference. As is the case with HOR, the activity of Ni foam towards ORR is below 0.1 $\mu$A cm$^{-2}$ that in this graph is very close to zero. The $j_{\text{ORR}}$ value for Pt(poly) is marked as a blue line and also acts as a reference. The black circles represent the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by the $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$. In this case, the Ni foam is considered to contribute towards ORR but in reality its contribution is negligible and therefore the surface area of Ni foam should not be included in the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ determination. The red triangles represent the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$. In this case, the Ni foam is considered inactive towards ORR and merely serves as a support for the Pt particles. As expected, such calculated $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values are higher because $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$ is significantly smaller than $A_{\text{ecsa,total}}$. Figure 8B also demonstrates that although the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ values reveal a scatter, they are centered around the $j_{\text{ORR}}$ value for Pt(poly). Since the electrocatalytic behavior of the Pt/Ni foams is similar to that of Pt(poly), the analysis of these materials for ORR is not extended to include the Tafel polarization curves.

**The Oxygen Evolution Reaction.** Figure 9A shows LSV profiles for OER taking place at the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with various $A_{\text{ecsa,Pt}}$ values. The LSV profiles were collected in the $1.35 \leq E \leq 1.80$ V region, in 0.50 M aqueous KOH electrolyte saturated with $O_2(g)$, at $T = 293$ K and $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$; the electrolyte was lightly stirred during the measurement. The analysis of OER taking place at the Pt/Ni foams differs slightly from those for HER, HOR, and ORR because Ni materials are known to be more effective electrocatalysts towards this reaction than Pt(poly). In this case, the performance of Pt/Ni foams is examined in order to determine if there are any electrocatalytic benefits associated with depositing Pt on Ni foam. The current density for OER ($j_{\text{OER}}$) taking place at the unmodified Ni foam is significantly higher than that for Pt(poly), thus indicating that despite its noble character
platinum is not a good electrocatalyst for this reaction in an alkaline medium. The Pt/Ni foams yield $j_{OER}$ values that are intermediate as compared to the analogous values for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly). The LSV profiles demonstrate an increase in the electrocatalytic activity with decreasing value of the $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ ratio. This is attributed to the presence of Pt particles physically blocking regions of the underlying Ni, thus reducing its surface area. Figure 9A demonstrates that among all the materials studied, the unmodified Ni foam reveals the highest $j_{OER}$ values over practically the entire $E$ range employed and the lowest activation overpotential. Large $\eta$ values are typically required for OER to proceed regardless of the electrocatalyst material. Since both Ni and Pt are electrocatalytically active towards OER, $j_{OER}$ is determined by dividing $I$ by $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$. 
Figure 9. (A) Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) profiles for the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) in 0.50 M KOH using the following electrodes: Ni foam (black); Pt(poly) (red); and Pt/Ni foams with different $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ ratio values: 0.24 (green), 0.32 (purple), 0.46 (blue); $s = 0.1$ mV s$^{-1}$, $T = 293$ K. (B) OER current density ($j_{\text{OER}}$) values at $E = 1.650$ V for Pt(poly), Ni foam, and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ ratios; these values are obtained from LSV profiles. Black circles correspond to $j_{\text{OER}}$ values normalized to $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$; purple triangles correspond to $j_{\text{OER}}$ values normalized to the electrochemical surface area of Ni $A_{\text{ecsa, Ni}}$. (C) OER Tafel polarization plots for unmodified Ni foam (black), Pt(poly) (red), and Pt/Ni foam electrodes with various $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$ ratios: 0.30 (green), 0.35 (purple), 0.46 (blue) carried out in 0.50 M KOH at $T = 293$ K. (D) Illustration for the determination of the Tafel slope ($b$) and exchange current density ($j_{\theta, \text{OER}}$) from Tafel polarization curves of Pt(poly) and unmodified Ni foam electrodes.

Figure 9B shows the $j_{\text{OER}}$ values obtained at $E = 1.65$ V for the Pt/Ni foam electrodes, as well as for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly). These values were obtained from the LSV profiles and are plotted as a function of $A_{\text{ecsa, Pt}}/A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$. The $j_{\text{OER}}$ values for the unmodified Ni foam and Pt(poly) are shown as green and blue circles, respectively. To facilitate a comparative data analysis, we add dotted horizontal lines that represent the $j_{\text{OER}}$ values for the untreated Ni foam and Pt(poly). The black circles represent the $j_{\text{OER}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{ecsa, total}}$. In this case, both the deposited Pt and the underlying Ni foam act as electrocatalytic materials at which OER takes place. The purple triangles represent the $j_{\text{OER}}$ values obtained when $I$ is divided by only $A_{\text{ecsa, Ni}}$. In this case, the Pt particles are considered electrocatalytically inactive and the entire $I$ for OER is attributed to the Ni foam. In all cases, the $j_{\text{OER}}$ values obtained when
$I$ is divided by $A_{\text{c_e_s_a, Ni}}$ (the purple triangles) are greater than when $I$ is divided by $A_{\text{c_e_s_a, total}}$ (the black circles). We also observe that the black circles and purple triangles overlap because Pt is electrocatalytically active towards OER although less than Ni. The purple triangles reveal a scatter and are above and below the $j_{OER}$ value for the unmodified Ni. The scatter could be attributed to the experimental uncertainty associated with the determination of $A_{\text{c_e_s_a, Ni}}$ of Ni foams that is ca. 30%, as explained elsewhere. However, it is interesting to observe that an average of all the points would fall slightly below the $j_{OER}$ value for Ni foam.

Figure 9C presents Tafel polarization plots for OER taking place on the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and three Pt/Ni foams with different values of $A_{\text{c_e_s_a, Pt}}$. The Tafel plots for Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foam electrodes display similar features over the entire overpotential range. At $\eta < 250$ mV, the slope is very high and has an unusual shape; this region corresponds to the part of the LSV profile where no appreciable $j_{OER}$ is observed, thus to the region of activation overpotential. There is an intermediate $\eta$ linear region where the Tafel slope is low (the slope 1 in Figure 9D), and there is a high $\eta$ linear region where the slope is high (the slope 2 in Figure 9D). The Tafel polarization plot for the unmodified Ni foam is similar to those for the Pt/Ni foams and Pt(poly).

In the case of Ni foam, the low $\eta$ region reveals a moderate slope, which is attributed to the formation of $\beta$-NiOOH in the $1.20 \leq E \leq 1.55$ V range occurring concurrently with OER and affecting its rate. Figure 9D shows Tafel plots for Pt(poly) and the unmodified Ni foam in order to clearly demonstrate the two linear regions described above.

Table 1 presents the exchange current density ($j_{o,OER}$) and Tafel slope ($b$) values obtained for each type of material and facilitates a comparative analysis of their electrocatalytic activity. There are two linear regions for the unmodified Ni foam, Pt(poly), and Pt/Ni foams, thus there
are two sets of $j_{o,OER}$ and $b$ values. Although the $j_{OER}$ values are of the same order of magnitude, the $b$ value at low overpotentials for the unmodified Ni foam is significantly lower than those for Pt(poly) and the Pt/Ni foams.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results presented in this paper contribute to the understanding of Ni foams as electrocatalysts, and as support materials for Pt nanoparticles; the latter can be prepared on Ni foam substrates via electroless deposition. The Pt nanoparticles are deposited through the reduction of Pt$^{2+}$ or Pt$^{4+}$ cations using an aqueous NaBH$_4$ solution as a reducing agent. The values of $A_{ecsa,Pt}$ and $m_{Pt}$ can be tailored by controlling the type and concentration of Pt cations in the deposition solution and by selecting the number of deposition events. The values of $A_{ecsa,Pt}$ can be related to the values of $m_{Pt}$; their ratio sheds light on the size of Pt nanoparticles, their agglomeration, and the Pt mass enhancement factor. The values of $A_{ecsa,Pt}/m_{Ni}$ and $m_{Pt}/m_{Ni}$ are in the 40 – 300 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ and 250 – 2200 µg g$^{-1}$ ranges, respectively. An SEM analysis of the morphology and size of the Pt deposit demonstrates that the Pt particles have circular shapes with dimensions in the 5 – 30 nm range. A correlation of SEM and EDS results indicate that the surfaces of Pt/Ni foam struts are covered uniformly with the Pt nanoparticles. The electrochemical stability of the Pt/Ni foams is examined upon prolonged potential cycling by evaluating qualitative changes in the CV profiles and the values of $A_{ecsa,total}$, $I_{DL}$, $Q_{anodic}$, and $Q_{cathodic}$ as a function of cycle number. The potential cycling results in a reduction in $j$ of all the CV features and points to a decrease of $A_{ecsa,Pt}$ that may be attributed to the following phenomena: (i) detachment of Pt nanoparticles; (ii) electro-dissolution of Pt nanoparticles; (iii)
electrodeposition of Pt on existing nanoparticles resulting in an increase of their size; and (iv) surface morphology change of Pt nanoparticles via oxide formation and reduction leading to a lower roughness. The oxidation state of Pt (Pt$^{2+}$ versus Pt$^{4+}$) in the salt used to produce Pt nanoparticles, the salt concentration and the number of deposition events determine the values of $A_{\text{ecsa},\text{Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}}$ and $m_{\text{Pt}}/m_{\text{Ni}}$ as well as the size and distribution of Pt nanoparticles.

The electrocatalytic activity of the unmodified Ni foam, the Pt/Ni foams, and Pt(poly) towards HER, HOR, ORR, and OER in alkaline media is examined using LSV profiles and steady-state Tafel polarization plots. In the case of HER, Pt(poly) is the most active electrocatalyst, followed by the Pt/Ni foams, and the unmodified Ni foam. The Pt/Ni foams reveals a synergetic effect in the sense that $j_{\text{HER}}$ for the Pt/Ni foams is higher than that for Pt(poly). In the case of HOR, the unmodified Ni foams and Pt/Ni foams are poor electrocatalysts, and the latter generate small anodic $j_{\text{HOR}}$ only at large $\eta$ values. In the case of ORR, the unmodified Ni foam displays no electrocatalytic activity. The Pt/Ni foams and Pt(poly) reveal good electrocatalytic activity; the activity of Pt/Ni foams correlates with $A_{\text{ecsa},\text{Pt}}$ indicating that the Ni foam acts as an electronically conducting support and that there is no synergetic effect. In the case of OER, the unmodified Ni foam is a good electrocatalyst and the Pt/Ni foams and Pt(poly) display moderate electrocatalytic activity. The Pt nanoparticles on the Ni substrate do not produce any synergetic effect and reduce $j_{\text{OER}}$ by reducing $A_{\text{ecsa},\text{Ni}}$.

Deposition of Pt nanoparticles on Ni foams can be achieved in a reproducible manner using an electroless deposition method. Such prepared Pt/Ni foams are promising materials for HER. These materials are also applicable to ORR because the electrocatalytic activity of Pt and the nanostructure of the deposit are preserved, while the Ni foam offers an extended solid-liquid interface. The Pt/Ni foams are not good electrocatalysts for HOR. The unmodified Ni foam is
an excellent electrocatalyst for OER and the deposition of Pt nanoparticles has no synergetic
effect and only reduces $A_{\text{eisa, Ni}}$. In summary, Ni foams are relatively new materials that reveal
new and promising electrocatalytic properties. Although this research is dedicate to their
modification with Pt nanoparticles, it calls for further research on their modification with other
materials known to possess good catalytic properties.
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ABBREVIATIONS

$A_{\text{ces}}$, electrochemical surface area; $A_s$, specific surface area; $b$, Tafel slope; $C_{\text{DL}}$, double layer capacitance; CE, counter electrode; CV, cyclic voltammetry; $E$, potential; EDS, energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy; EIS, electrochemical impedance spectrometry; FIB, focused ion beam; HER, hydrogen evolution reaction; HOR, hydrogen oxidation reaction; $H_{\text{UPD}}$, under potential deposition of hydrogen; $I$, current; $I_{\text{DL}}$, double layer current; ICP–OES, inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy; $j$, current density; $j^0$, exchange current density; $j_{\text{HER}}$, current density of the hydrogen evolution reaction; $j_{\text{HOR}}$, current density of the hydrogen oxidation reaction; $j_{\text{ORR}}$, current density of the oxygen reduction reaction; $j_{\text{OER}}$, current density of the oxygen evolution reaction; LSV, linear sweep voltammetry; OER, oxygen evolution reaction; ORR, oxygen reduction reaction; Pt$_{\text{poly}}$, polycrystalline platinum; $Q$, charge; $Q_{\text{anodic}}$, anodic charge; $Q_{\text{cathodic}}$, cathodic charge; RE, reference electrode; RHE, reversible hydrogen electrode; $R\Omega$, uncompensated solution resistance; $s$, scan rate; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; $T$,
temperature; UHP, ultra-high purity; WE, working electrode; 3D, three dimensional; η, overpotential; ρ, bulk density.
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