

distracting modality-use patterns employed by learner, in addition to classifying learning activities benefiting the most from particular modality combinations. This is vital for learning because selecting modalities that are ill-fitted to the task can undermine knowledge construction and can lead to unintended consequences.

Acknowledgement. This research was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC).

REFERENCES

- [1] 2012. ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology. <https://library.educause.edu/resources/2012/9/ecar-study-of-undergraduate-students-and-information-technology-2012>
- [2] Mohamed Ally and Avgoustos Tsinakos. 2014. Increasing access through mobile learning.
- [3] James H Bray, Scott E Maxwell, and Scott E Maxwell. 1985. *Multivariate analysis of variance*. Number 54. Sage.
- [4] Guy Brock, Vasyl Pihur, Susmita Datta, Somnath Datta, et al. 2011. cVaid, an R package for cluster validation. *Journal of Statistical Software* (Brock et al., March 2008) (2011).
- [5] D Christopher Brooks. 2016. *ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology*. Technical Report. 2016.
- [6] Geraldine Clarebout, Jan Elen, Norma A Juarez Collazo, Griet Lust, and Lai Jiang. 2013. Metacognition and the use of tools. In *International handbook of metacognition and learning technologies*. Springer, 187–195.
- [7] Jacob Cohen. 1992. A power primer. *Psychological bulletin* 112, 1 (1992), 155.
- [8] Simon Cross, Mike Sharples, and Graham Healing. 2016. Learning with mobile devices: the changing place and space of distance learners' study. (2016).
- [9] Eden Dahlstrom, JD Walker, and Charles Dziuban. 2013. *ECAR study of undergraduate students and information technology*. Technical Report. 2013.
- [10] Joseph C Dunn. 1974. Well-separated clusters and optimal fuzzy partitions. *Journal of cybernetics* 4, 1 (1974), 95–104.
- [11] Rachel H Ellaway, Patricia Fink, Lisa Graves, and Alanna Campbell. 2014. Left to their own devices: medical learners' use of mobile technologies. *Medical teacher* 36, 2 (2014), 130–138.
- [12] Helen Farley, Angela Murphy, Chris Johnson, Brad Carter, Michael Lane, Warren Midgley, Abdul Hafeez-Baig, Stijn Dekeyser, and Andy Koronios. 2015. How Do Students Use Their Mobile Devices to Support Learning? A Case Study from an Australian Regional University. *Journal of Interactive Media in Education* 2015, 1 (2015).
- [13] Oliver Edmund Fincham, Dragan V Gasevic, Jelena M Jovanovic, and Abelardo Pardo. 2018. From Study Tactics to Learning Strategies: An Analytical Method for Extracting Interpretable Representations. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies* (2018).
- [14] Alexis Gabadinho, Gilbert Ritschard, Nicolas Séverin Mueller, and Matthias Studer. 2011. Analyzing and visualizing state sequences in R with TraMineR. *Journal of Statistical Software* 40, 4 (2011), 1–37.
- [15] Dragan Gašević, Shane Dawson, Tim Rogers, and Danijela Gasevic. 2016. Learning analytics should not promote one size fits all: The effects of instructional conditions in predicting academic success. *The Internet and Higher Education* 28 (2016), 68–84.
- [16] Gene V Glass, Percy D Peckham, and James R Sanders. 1972. Consequences of failure to meet assumptions underlying the fixed effects analyses of variance and covariance. *Review of educational research* 42, 3 (1972), 237–288.
- [17] Arthur C Graesser, Danielle S McNamara, and Jonna M Kulikowich. 2011. Coh-Metrix: Providing multilevel analyses of text characteristics. *Educational researcher* 40, 5 (2011), 223–234.
- [18] Arthur C Graesser, Danielle S McNamara, Max M Louwerse, and Zhiqiang Cai. 2004. Coh-Metrix: Analysis of text on cohesion and language. *Behavior research methods, instruments, & computers* 36, 2 (2004), 193–202.
- [19] Houston Heflin, Jennifer Shewmaker, and Jessica Nguyen. 2017. Impact of mobile technology on student attitudes, engagement, and learning. *Computers & Education* 107 (2017), 91–99.
- [20] Whitney Hess. 2015. Location Agnostic, Context Specific. <https://whitneyhess.com/blog/2012/02/07/location-agnostic-context-specific/>
- [21] Matisa Hollister. 2009. Is optimal matching suboptimal? *Sociological Methods & Research* 38, 2 (2009), 235–264.
- [22] Lai Jiang, Jan Elen, and Geraldine Clarebout. 2008. Learner Variables, Tool-Usage Behaviour and Performance in an Open Learning Environment. In *Beyond Knowledge: The Legacy of Competence*. Springer, 257–266.
- [23] Srećko Joksimović, Nia Dowell, Oleksandra Poquet, Vitomir Kovanović, Dragan Gašević, Shane Dawson, and Arthur C Graesser. 2018. Exploring development of social capital in a CMOOC through language and discourse. *The Internet and Higher Education* 36 (2018), 54–64.
- [24] Jelena Jovanovic, Dragan Gasevic, Shane Dawson, Abelardo Pardo, and Negin Mirriahi. 2017. Learning analytics to unveil learning strategies in a flipped classroom. 33 (02 2017).
- [25] Leonard Kauffman and Peter Rousseeuw. 1990. *Finding Groups in Data: An Introduction To Cluster Analysis*.
- [26] James Knaub. 1987. Practical Interpretation of Hypothesis Tests - letter to the editor - TAS. 41 (08 1987), 246–247.
- [27] Jasper Knight. 2010. Distinguishing the learning approaches adopted by undergraduates in their use of online resources. *Active Learning in Higher Education* 11, 1 (2010), 67–76.
- [28] Vitomir Kovanović, Dragan Gašević, Shane Dawson, Srećko Joksimović, and Ryan Baker. 2016. Does time-on-task estimation matter? Implications on validity of learning analytics findings. *Journal of Learning Analytics* 2, 3 (2016), 81–110.
- [29] Vitomir Kovanović, Dragan Gašević, Srećko Joksimović, Marek Hatala, and Oluola Adesope. 2015. Analytics of communities of inquiry: Effects of learning technology use on cognitive presence in asynchronous online discussions. *The Internet and Higher Education* 27 (2015), 74–89.
- [30] Vitomir Kovanović, Srećko Joksimović, Zak Waters, Dragan Gašević, Kirsty Kitto, Marek Hatala, and George Siemens. 2016. Towards automated content analysis of discussion transcripts: A cognitive presence case. In *Proceedings of the sixth international conference on learning analytics & knowledge*. ACM, 15–24.
- [31] Greig Emil Krull. 2017. *Supporting seamless learning: Students' use of multiple devices in open and distance learning universities*. Ph.D. Dissertation. <http://openaccess.uoc.edu/webapps/o2/handle/10609/72507>
- [32] Yu-Ju Lan, Yao-tin Sung, and Kuo-En Chang. 2007. A mobile-device-supported peer-assisted learning system for collaborative early EFL reading. (2007).
- [33] Griet Lust, Norma A Juarez Collazo, Jan Elen, and Geraldine Clarebout. 2012. Content Management Systems: Enriched learning opportunities for all? *Computers in Human Behavior* 28, 3 (2012), 795–808.
- [34] Griet Lust, Jan Elen, and Geraldine Clarebout. 2013. Regulation of tool-use within a blended course: Student differences and performance effects. *Computers & Education* 60, 1 (2013), 385–395.
- [35] Griet Lust, Jan Elen, and Geraldine Clarebout. 2013. Students' tool-use within a web enhanced course: Explanatory mechanisms of students' tool-use pattern. *Computers in Human Behavior* 29, 5 (2013).
- [36] Griet Lust, Mieke Vandewaetere, Eva Ceulemans, Jan Elen, and Geraldine Clarebout. 2011. Tool-use in a blended undergraduate course: In Search of user profiles. *Computers & Education* 57, 3 (2011), 2135–2144.
- [37] Leah P Macfadyen and Shane Dawson. 2010. Mining LMS data to develop an early warning system for educators: A proof of concept. *Computers & Education* 54, 2 (2010), 588–599.
- [38] Jun Nakahara, Shinichi Hisamatsu, Kazaru Yaegashi, and Yuhei Yamauchi. 2005. iTree: Does the mobile phone encourage learners to be more involved in collaborative learning?. In *Proceedings of the 2005 conference on Computer support for collaborative learning: learning 2005: the next 10 years!* International Society of the Learning Sciences, 470–478.
- [39] Jeffrey Pomerantz and D. Christopher Brooks. 2017. ECAR Study of Faculty and Information Technology, 2017. <https://library.educause.edu/resources/2017/10/ecar-study-of-faculty-and-information-technology-2017>
- [40] Peter J Rousseeuw. 1987. Silhouettes: a graphical aid to the interpretation and validation of cluster analysis. *Journal of computational and applied mathematics* 20 (1987), 53–65.
- [41] Richard M Royall. 1986. The effect of sample size on the meaning of significance tests. *The American Statistician* 40, 4 (1986), 313–315.
- [42] Glenn Stockwell. 2010. Using mobile phones for vocabulary activities: Examining the effect of platform. (2010).
- [43] Glenn Stockwell. 2013. Tracking learner usage of mobile phones for language learning outside of the classroom. *CALICO Journal* 30, 1 (2013), 118–136.
- [44] Yao-Ting Sung, Kuo-En Chang, Huei-Tse Hou, and Pin-Fu Chen. 2010. Designing an electronic guidebook for learning engagement in a museum of history. *Computers in Human Behavior* 26, 1 (2010), 74–83.
- [45] Yao-Ting Sung, Kuo-En Chang, and Tzu-Chien Liu. 2016. The effects of integrating mobile devices with teaching and learning on students' learning performance: A meta-analysis and research synthesis. *Computers & Education* 94 (2016), 252–275.
- [46] Bernardo Tabuenca, Marco Kalz, Hendrik Drachslers, and Marcus Specht. 2015. Time will tell: The role of mobile learning analytics in self-regulated learning. *Computers & Education* 89 (2015), 53–74.
- [47] Katrien Verbert, Sten Govaerts, Erik Duval, Jose Luis Santos, Frans Van Assche, Gonzalo Parra, and Joris Klerkx. 2014. Learning dashboards: an overview and future research opportunities. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing* 18, 6 (2014), 1499–1514.
- [48] Philip H Winne. 2006. How software technologies can improve research on learning and bolster school reform. *Educational Psychologist* 41, 1 (2006), 5–17.
- [49] Gary KW Wong. 2016. A new wave of innovation using mobile learning analytics for flipped classroom. In *Mobile Learning Design*. Springer, 189–218.
- [50] Jerrold H Zar. 2010. *Biostatistical Analysis*. *Biostatistical Analysis (5th Edition)* 9 (2010), 09685.