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Abstract

Work presented in this thesis demonstrates methods of combining a newly developed magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) with other commonly used polymer microfluidics materials for the creation of complex all-polymer microfluidic systems. To achieve fully integrated microfluidic systems, new fabrication techniques for integration of M-CP structures are developed. Employing the new M-CP material and the novel fabrication techniques, three types of actuators are developed: cilia, flap, and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator. All three actuators employ compatible materials, fabrication techniques, and actuation mechanisms. The performance of each of these actuators is characterized for different applications: cilia-based mixers, flap-based valves, and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators for applying extracellular stimulation on cell monolayers. The actuators in each of these applications are driven via relatively small external magnetic fields. The M-CP used in these novel actuators is composed of rare-earth magnetic microparticles (5–10 micrometer) that are embedded in polydimethylsiloxane. The M-CP is patterned into large force, large stroke actuators. The polymer matrix without magnetic particles is employed as the substrate material for passive parts, facilitating integration of the magnetic and non-magnetic materials. The compatible fabrication techniques include a modified soft-lithography technique for hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators, screen printing via shadow masks for micro-patterning of thin layers of M-CP, and a novel fabrication technique using poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) as a sacrificial material for the fabrication of ultra-high aspect-ratio and highly flexible M-CP cilia. Microfluidic devices using these actuators show improved performances in their respective fields when compared with existing designs. Microfluidic mixers with 8 cilia show a reduction in mixing time of up to 63 times over diffusion. Flap-based valve arrays effectively switch flows between two microfluidic channels using an array of two valves, and effectively perform as on-off switches for flow control. A valve with a 2.3 mm flap thickness, actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field, is capable of blocking liquid flow at a flow rate of 1 mL/min for pressures up to 9.65 kPa. Microfluidic platforms for stretching/compressing biological cells based on the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators achieve large and bi-directional surface deflections. Actuation can be applied cyclically, under both flow and no-flow conditions.
Keywords: Magnetic microfluidic actuators; magnetic composite polymer; all-polymer microfluidic systems; rare-earth magnetic powder; hard-magnetic materials
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Chapter 1 - Introduction

Microfluidic systems are used in a diverse range of applications, which are continuing to expand as the field of microfluidics matures. Some of the most developed application areas includes medical diagnostics [1–3], environmental studies[3], biological testing [1–3], chemical sensing [2,3], and chemical analysis [3]. The field of microfluidics has grown in recent decades to include a wider range of applications, such as membrane-less fuel cell systems [4,5], fluid based chip cooling systems [6], and drag reduction in airplanes, marine equipment, wind turbines, and automobiles [7]. Sensors and actuators are the key components used in microfluidic systems such as labs-on-a-chip (LOC) and micro-total analysis systems (µTAS). These components allow microfluidic systems to gather useful information and execute required actions. Since the development of the first microfluidic devices in the 1970s, micro-sensors have achieved a high level of maturity [8–11]. Micro-actuators, however, are still lacking the same level of maturity. A key issue holding back the development of effective micro-actuators are the limitations of achievable force and displacement on the micro-scale [9,12].

Magnetic and electromagnetic actuation techniques are among the most commonly used actuation techniques used in micro-actuators, and offer many advantages at the micro-scale. Some of their main advantages include: potential for large forces [9,12–14], potential for large displacement [12,14], CMOS compatibility [12], fast actuation [12,13], bi-directional actuation [12], local control [12], and contact-free actuation [12,13,15]. In addition, magnetic and electromagnetic actuators are very attractive in cases where the device needs to operate in dust-filled or conductive fluid-filled environments and in environments where high driving voltages are not acceptable [4]. Magnetic actuation also offers many advantages in biological and bio-chemical applications, as the magnetic force is relatively insensitive to biochemical entities [15]. As a result, magnetic and electromagnetic actuation has been explored for microfluidic elements used in diverse range of applications, including pumping [12,16], valves for
controlling fluid flow [12,16–18], flow switching [16], mixing [16,19], trapping [16], transporting [16], sorting[16], and separating [16].

However, despite the increasing interest in employing magnetic actuation in microfluidic applications, the widespread usage of magnetic based microfluidic devices and components has been limited due to difficulties in micro-fabrication and integration of magnetic materials with existing materials and fabrication techniques commonly used in microfluidic field [12]. Microfluidics, like Microelectromechanical Systems (MEMS), is an outgrowth of the microelectronics industry and has traditionally employed materials compatible with microelectronics fabrication processes, including silicon and glass. There has been a trend to replace these substrate materials with polymer materials, due to reasons of optical transparency, low cost of fabrication, disposability, bio-compatibility, ease of fabrication, and mechanical flexibility. Particularly in industry, polymer microfluidics has arguably overtaken other microfluidics substrate materials [12].

Integration between polymer materials and magnetic materials is still a challenging area that can highly benefit from further development and enhancement. This is especially true for rare-earth based magnetic materials, which offer the strongest magnetic actuation forces and potential for bi-directionality.

The primary limitations facing magnetic actuators for microfluidic applications include:

- Overly complicated and costly fabrication techniques.
- The limitations of iron-based soft-magnetic materials, which are easier to incorporate into existing fabrication techniques, but are unable to provide bi-directional actuation and produce considerably lower forces than hard-magnetic materials.
- Existing techniques for using hard-magnetic materials, requiring either integration of a piece of solid magnet into the design of a device [20,21], or electroplating or depositing the (solid, non-powder) magnetic material on the top surface of a polymer to create a thin layer of magnetic film [22,23]. Integration of solid magnetic pieces into a device faces difficulties including: low accuracy and reliability in assembly process, difficulty in re-producing device behavior, especially as the complexity of the overall system increases, and limits in scale to magnets larger than about 1 mm in diameter [12]. Electroplating or depositing a thin layer of magnetic material is also difficult. In addition to the technical difficulty in the deposition of any magnetic material, deposited thin film layers
often suffer from weak adhesion, resulting in delamination of the layer or micro-cracks, and leading to failure of the device [24]. These problems are even more profound for thin magnetic films fabricated on elastomeric substrates such as PDMS [24]; however, these problems of materials mismatch exist even when less compliant polymers are used.

- Many fabrication techniques currently employed can only fabricate planar (flat) geometries. While a few fabrication techniques can produce three-dimensional structures, they are not suitable for mass production due to the high fabrication complexity [25].

Therefore, there is much that can be done in magnetic microfluidics to overcome limitations in the development and improve magnetic actuators for fully functional LOC and μTAS [16].

As previously mentioned, polymer-based microfluidic devices have gained increased popularity in microfluidic applications. By combining magnetic actuation techniques with polymer-based microfluidic technologies, we can combine the many advantages offered by each of these technologies. The development and characterization of effective and highly magnetic polymeric actuators opens the door to new concepts and opportunities for development of fully integrated and easily operated μTAS and LOC devices.

1.1 Thesis Motivation

The work presented in this dissertation makes important steps towards addressing a major bottleneck in the development of complex integrated polymeric microfluidic systems: high-stroke, all-polymer magnetic actuators with bi-directional actuation capability. In this work, I design, fabricate, and characterize effective magnetic polymer actuators that can be fabricated in arrays, can be easily integrated with other commonly used polymeric microfluidic systems, and can be used as the active mechanism in many functional microfluidic devices. I also develop several low-cost, relatively easy and compatible fabrication techniques appropriate for high-volume and low-cost production of M-CP actuators. The most significant contributions of this work is the development of effective all-polymer magnetic actuators with improved performance and simplified integration with other polymer microfluidic structures, by employing a newly developed
rare-earth magnetic composite polymeric (M-CP) material. This work is important to the future of microfluidics and LOC systems in general. Three different actuator types are developed and presented in this dissertation: an artificial cilia actuator, a flap-based actuator, and a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator for large and bi-directional surface deflection. These three types of actuators are investigated mainly because they form the foundation for the majority of microfluidic devices required for an effective LOC and µTAS system, including mixers, pumps, and valves. In order to characterize the capabilities and performances of these actuators in microfluidic applications, each of the aforementioned actuator types is used in a specific and highly in demand demonstration device: a cilia-based mixer, an array-able flap-based valve for flow routing, and a platform using hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator to create large and bi-directional surface deflection for applying extra cellular stimulation on biological cell monolayers. However, the use of these actuators would not be limited to the specific devices and applications presented in this dissertation and the same actuators, material, and fabrication processes developed in this work and described in this thesis could be applied to other microfluidic applications and components.

Two of the devices (cilia mixer and array-able valve), that are chosen to demonstrate the cilia-based actuators and flap-based actuators, respectively, are specifically designed as part of a larger, multidisciplinary work combining the fields of labs-on-a-chip (LOC) and reconfigurable computing. The goal of this larger work is to create “Microfluidic, Reconfigurable On-site Analyzers for Multiplexed Samples,” or µROAMS, reconfigurable microfluidic systems that merge state-of-the-art microfluidics and field programmable technologies. The two research groups involved in this work are:

- The Micro-Instrumentation Laboratory (µIL), under the supervision of Dr. Bonnie Gray. This group is contributing to the development of reconfigurable microfluidic hardware elements of the system, including sensors and actuators, as well as development of the materials and fabrication techniques for the µROAMS system (author’s affiliation).
- The Reconfigurable Computing Lab (RCL), under the supervision of Dr. Lesley Shannon. This group is developing the computer-aided design (CAD) software to allow configuration of the µROAMS system for end-user designs, as well as the control hardware for integration with computerized control for both system configuration and testing.
Figure 1 shows a conceptual drawing of a complex microfluidic system that could ultimately be fabricated from the technology being developed for µROAMS, taking advantage of arrays of actuators that are developed in this dissertation for key components that include, e.g., the valve array and reagent mixers. In this conceptual design, samples and reagents are inserted into the device through the microfluidic world-to-chip module, and are directed within the different parts of the microfluidic track and chamber modules via the valve array to be mixed, stored, and tested as required by the applications. After performing the desired test and collecting the required information, the waste materials are moved off-device as required.

In this conceptual device, arrays of microfluidic valves form the fluidic-track routing structure. They are essential for routing the different samples and reagents through the different sections and components of the central modular fluid unit, while providing fluid isolation. In addition, microfluidic mixers are located in reaction chambers or along the microfluidic channels to ensure efficient mixing of reagents. The microfluidic valves and mixers developed in this work are key components in the central fluid steering and reaction units, and could potentially be specified for such a system once exact required dimensions and performance criteria are known for the µROAMS instrument.
Figure 1: Conceptual drawing of multifunctional microfluidic platform employing the technologies and devices developed in this thesis (this graph is reprinted with permission from Strategic Grant 396755-10, “An on-site, reconfigurable, multi-sample microfluidic-platform for rapid parallel sample-manipulation”, B.L. Gray (PI) and L. Shannon).

The third M-CP microfluidic actuator, the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator, is employed to apply extra cellular stimulation such as compression and tension on biological cell monolayers. This actuator is an example of the specialized, application-specific functionality that can be developed using the M-CP material and process technologies developed in this dissertation. A target use for the prototype device using the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator is for monitoring the effect of various mechanical extra cellular forces on cell monolayers which are grown in arrays of microfluidic channels, using design criteria from the end users. This actuator could also be implemented as part of μROAMS system in order to allow a user to target specific applications requiring mechanical cell stimulation, or pumping mechanisms.

While the three aforementioned actuators presented in this dissertation target both specific and interrelated applications that may be seen as parts of the μROAMS systems, it is important to again stress that the same fabrication processes and actuator technology developed in this research work can be applied to other magnetic actuators for different
applications or other instrumentation. Other researchers in µIL plan to use this work for the development of other essential microfluidic elements, such as microfluidic pumps, to further improve the functionality of µROAMS systems in future, and develop other microfluidic systems and platforms other than µROAMS.

1.2 Thesis Contributions and Objectives

The primary contribution of this thesis work is to create all-polymer magnetic actuators, with improved performance and simplified integration with other polymer microfluidic structures, by employing a newly developed rare-earth magnetic composite polymeric (M-CP) material. This thesis also contributes new compatible fabrication and integration techniques to solve the problem of integrating large arrays of key elements (such as microfluidic valves and mixers) required for complex microfluidic systems in a relatively simple and scalable format. The unique characteristics of the M-CP are leveraged to develop actuators (including artificial cilia-based actuators, flap-based actuators, and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators) that can be employed for a wide range of different microfluidic devices. The improved performance of these actuators is demonstrated via three key devices: a cilia-based microfluidic mixer, a flap-based microfluidic valve, and a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator used to achieve large and bi-directional surface deflections for applying extracellular stimulation on biological cells. All of these devices show improved performance over existing magnetic polymer-based devices.

Thus, this thesis makes the following contributions to the microfluidic instrumentation field:

- Detailed characterization of the physical and functional properties of a novel magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) material suitable for microfluidic actuators, as well as development of guidelines for the use of the material in the development of magnetically-actuated polymer microfluidic systems.
- Design, fabrication, and characterization of novel magnetic microfluidic actuators employing the new M-CP material, demonstrating the robustness and versatility of the polymer material for key actuator types, the ease of integration of M-CP actuators with existing polymer processes, and
demonstration of consistently improved performance over existing actuator designs and techniques.

- Development of new fabrication processes specific for the new M-CP material, focusing on straightforward techniques that are low-cost, scalable for volume production, and that allow for fabrication of microfluidic actuators and other structures not achievable with pre-existing processes.

These contributions are realized through completion of the following three major objectives:

- **Material development:** Development and characterization of highly magnetized magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) based on rare-earth magnetic powder \(\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5} \text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}\) (MQFP-12-5 magnetic powder from Magnequench International Inc.)

- **Technology development:** Development of various compatible, relatively simple and scalable fabrication and integration techniques that facilitate use of the newly developed M-CP material in development of various magnetic actuators used in microfluidic applications.

- **Application outcome:** Development and performance characterization rare-earth all-polymer microfluidic actuators
  - Artificial cilia-based actuator as a microfluidic cilia mixer
  - Flap-based actuator as an array-able microfluidic valve
  - Hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator used to achieve large and bi-directional surface deflections for applying extracellular stimulation on a biological cell monolayer

### 1.3 Outline of Thesis

This thesis consists of eight chapters:

Chapter 1, this chapter, provides a short introduction to the research work presented in this thesis, thesis motivations, objectives, and outline.

Chapter 2 focuses on the background information for microfluidics and its applications, as well as various actuation mechanisms commonly used in microfluidics.

Chapter 3 presents details concerning development and characterization of the newly developed magnetic composite polymer based on rare-earth magnetic powder used in this research work.
Chapter 4 describes development of cilia-based magnetic actuators based on the newly developed M-CP and novel fabrication techniques that are used for fabrication and integration of the cilia actuators in microfluidic structures. In addition, performance characterization of the cilia-based actuators for use in microfluidic mixing is presented in Chapter 4.

Chapter 5 presents the development and characterization of flap-based magnetic actuators based on the same M-CP material, as well as other compatible fabrication techniques that allow the integration of these flap-based M-CP actuators in microfluidic application such as microfluidic valves. Performance of the M-CP flap-based actuator is demonstrated and characterized as an array-able all-polymer microfluidic valve.

Chapter 6 focuses on development of a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator used to achieve large and bi-directional surface deflection as well as development of a fabrication technique that allows easy fabrication and integration of these hybrid M-CP/PDMS structures for enhanced actuator performance. These actuators are used to create a microfluidic platform for applying extracellular stimulation on biological cells.

Chapter 7 presents thesis contributions to the microfluidic field as well as a list of peer-reviewed publications by the author during the period of this research work.

Finally, Chapter 8 provides a summary for the material presented in this thesis and future work.
Chapter 2 - Background

2.1 Microfluidics

The field of microfluidics concerns manipulation and control of small (usually micro- to nano-liter) volume of fluids using microfluidic channels with dimensions in the range of tens to hundreds of micrometers [26,27]. Microfluidic systems are used in a wide variety of applications, such as medical diagnostics [1–3], environmental studies [3], biological testing [1–3], chemical sensing [2,3], and chemical analysis. In general, integrated microfluidic devices offer many advantages over more conventional systems for fluidic analysis, including compact size [27,28], increased precision and accuracy [27,29], disposability [8,28,29], increased utility [28], reduced sample/reagent volume [30–33], low cost [27], rapid analysis time [33], reduced wastage [33], integration [34,35], automation [34,35] and parallelization of bio-chemical processes [34,35].

Sensors and actuators are the key components of many microfluidic systems such as labs-on-a-chip (LOC) and micro-total analysis systems (µTAS), allowing for many functions traditionally requiring complex laboratories to be performed by a miniaturized and often portable device. Sensors and actuators are required in order for the device to be able to gather useful information from samples and execute required actions. In microfluidic devices, a network of components such as microfluidic channels, mixers, valves, pumps, filters, and heaters combine with sensing elements to accomplish the task of that particular system, at a fraction of the size of their traditional macro-scale counterparts and requiring substantially lower volumes of sample and reagents, resulting in tests that are completed quicker and at a lower cost. Although microfluidic sensors have evolved to a high level of maturity [8–11], microfluidic actuators are still lacking that level of maturity due to limitations of achievable force and displacement in micro-scale devices required for development of effective micro-scale actuators [9,12]. Therefore, development of effective microfluidic actuators that can be easily integrated into complex microfluidic systems presents a significant contribution to the microfluidic field.
In order to develop new microfluidic actuators one needs to understand existing microfluidic actuators and their prior art. Therefore, this chapter mainly focuses on presenting some background information on various types of microfluidic systems, as well as different microfluidic actuation techniques.

Microfluidic devices can be divided roughly into two general categories: passive and active microfluidic devices. Passive microfluidic devices do not require an external power source, and these devices accomplish their required function, in part, by utilizing energy drawn from the working fluid or surface effects such as surface tension, capillary forces, and selective hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity. Examples of passive microfluidic devices include microfluidic channels, reactors, passive pumps [36–39], passive valves [40–44], and passive mixers [45–50]. Factors that affect the performance of a passive microfluidic component includes physical properties such as shape, surface properties such as surface roughness, contact angle, and flow characteristics [51]. An example of a passive microfluidic device is lateral flow assay. Advantages of a passive device include no need for external power system and control mechanisms, which greatly increases the simplicity and portability of the system [33,51], ease of integration [33,51], relative low fabrication complexity, and low cost of fabrication and materials, which allows for disposable and prototyping applications [33,51]. However, passive microfluidic devices are usually designed for a specific application and they cannot be easily reconfigured for another application [51]. They do not provide a high degree of control, which makes them unsuitable for complex applications, and variations in the fabrication process can strongly affect the performance of the system [51]. Therefore, while passive microfluidic devices are generally easy to design and implement, they cannot offer the same functional diversity that their active counterparts provide [51].

Active microfluidic devices, such as active pumps, valves and mixers, require a reliable power source and control electronics [33]. They are generally more expensive than passive designs due to their complex design and fabrication [51]. However, active microfluidic devices have the advantages of providing more control and have higher efficiency, making them suitable for complex systems and applications. They also increase the number of applications that can utilize microfluidic technology. Active microfluidic devices have been developed using numerous actuation mechanisms. Table
1 shows a summary of some of the most commonly used actuation techniques, as well as their advantages and disadvantages. More details about advantages and disadvantages of each of these actuation techniques are provided in Section 0.

Table 1: A summary of major advantages and disadvantages of most commonly used actuation mechanisms for microfluidic application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuation Technique</th>
<th>Fast Response time</th>
<th>Fabrication Complexity</th>
<th>Limited by environmental factors</th>
<th>Power consumption</th>
<th>Compact size</th>
<th>Large Stroke</th>
<th>Large Output Forces</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Electrostatic</td>
<td>✓ sub-µs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x Pico scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Piezoelectric</td>
<td>✓ sub-µs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatic</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td>x seconds</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x cross-talk</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnetic/Electromagnetic</td>
<td>✓ sub-µs</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>Can be improved</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓ Can be improved</td>
<td>✓ Can be improved</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

✓ Generally desirable  
✗ Not acceptable

2.2 Integrated Microfluidic Actuation

Typically, an integrated microfluidic chip incorporates a number of components and functionalities on to a single miniaturized platform to complete testing that has traditionally required the use of macro-scale devices in a laboratory setting [32]. Integration of actuators with other elements, such as sensors and control circuitry, is essential for fabrication of many stand-alone miniaturized systems. Many fluid actuation methods have been used in such microfluidics-based systems. Some commonly reported actuation techniques include electrostatic [52–56], electromagnetic [52,57–59], magnetic [19,22,59,60], piezoelectric [59–64], pneumatic [52,59,60,65,66], thermal [52,59,60,67–
69] and thermo-pneumatic [59,70–72], shape memory alloy (SAM) [73–75], solid-expansion [76], and bimetallic [76] actuation. A brief description of the working principle, advantages and disadvantages of each of these actuation techniques is presented in Section 2.2.1 to Section 2.2.5.

2.2.1 Electrostatic Actuation

The fundamental principle behind electrostatic actuators is the attraction between two oppositely charged plates. The amount of electrostatic force generated between the two plates, $F$, depends on the gap-distance between the surfaces and the applied voltage, and is inversely proportional to the distance between the plates.

Electrostatic actuation is a popular actuation technique in MEMS primarily because of well-developed and straightforward implementation using existing microelectronics fabrication processes [77], and allows for narrow gaps between conductive plates in high density MEMS systems [9].

One major disadvantage of the electrostatic actuation technique is that, due to the inverse square relationship of the actuation forces with the distance between the two plates of an actuator, electrostatic actuators require relatively large voltages to generate the electric fields needed to achieve significant static forces, limiting their stroke length. Electrostatic actuation is incompatible with conductive fluids, and it is not safe for use in humid environments [9,77]. Most electrostatic actuators and devices based on them, including valves, are used to control gas flow rather than liquid flow because of the electrolysis of liquids that results from high operational voltage and electrical fields [64,78].

2.2.2 Piezoelectric Actuation

Piezoelectric materials, typically crystalline materials like quartz or synthetic ceramics, have the mechanical property whereby an applied electric potential generates
mechanical strain or stretch, and applied mechanical stress generates electrical charge [76,79].

Piezoelectric actuation offers several distinct advantages over other actuation techniques, including simple electrical on-off switching, high component integration density, and small internal volume [79]. However, it suffers from significant power consumption, low operating force, and costly and complex fabrication [79]. Furthermore, it often produces small strain (less than 0.1%) and high stress (several MPa). As a result, piezoelectric actuators are suitable only for applications that require high forces but very low stroke [76,79].

2.2.3 Pneumatic Actuation

Pneumatic actuation is a relatively simple actuation technique that presently sees widespread use in microfluidic applications, specifically in the design of active valves and pumps [65,66,80,81]. These actuators use pressure created by external compressed gasses (typically compressed air) to move a flexible membrane or equivalent structure in order to create the desired actuation [80]. For pneumatically-actuated microfluidic valves, one of the most common applications for pneumatic actuation in microfluidics, a flexible membrane is normally located between the pressurized gas line and the fluidic channel. The pressure difference created by the pressurized gas bends the membrane, which results in a partial or complete obstruction of the flow through the fluidic channel [79].

Some of the main advantages of pneumatic actuation technique are the relatively simple fabrication of the system [79], and high force and power densities at the micro-scale [81]. One of the key disadvantages is slow actuation response time, which ranges from several hundred milliseconds to several seconds [76]. Such devices require complex routing of air in order to operate individual or arrays of actuators. This in turn requires high external pressure sources and large external support equipment, coupled with high power consumption [79], limits the suitability of pneumatic actuation for compact devices [76].
2.2.4 Thermal Actuation

Thermal actuators convert thermal energy into mechanical actuation. Thermal actuators can be divided into various sub-categories, such as thermo-pneumatic, shape memory alloy, solid-expansion, bimetallic, and hydrogels.

The primary disadvantage associated with thermal actuators in general is their reliance on transfer of thermal energy, making them unsuitable for applications where high temperature cannot be tolerated [82]. They also have slow response time when compared to other commonly used actuation techniques, such as magnetic and electrostatic actuators.

2.2.4.1 Thermo-pneumatic Actuation

Thermo-pneumatic actuation uses a similar principle to pneumatic actuation, but relies on the thermal expansion of sealed liquid, solid or gas instead of utilizing the pressure generated by pressurized external gasses [76,79]. Due to the large change in specific volume of the phase transition, these actuators can utilize the phase change from liquid to gas or from solid to liquid to gain volume expansion and maximum performance [76]. Although thermo-pneumatic actuation can offer large actuator stroke and low fabrication cost [79], it suffers from high power consumption, very slow response time, very complicated fabrication, and thermal cross-talk [79].

2.2.4.2 Shape Memory Alloy Actuation

Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs) are a group of metallic materials that undergo reversible solid-to-solid mechanical deformation by appropriate temperature change [74]. They are capable of returning to their original un-deformed shape upon being subjected to appropriate change of temperature [73]. Such alloys include: nickel (Ni) and titanium (Ti) based materials, copper (Cu) based materials such as Cu-Zn, Cu-Sn, Mn-Cu, Cu-Al-Ni and Cu-Zn-Al, iron (Fe) based materials such as Fe-Pt and Fe-Mn-Si, and many more [74]. The key advantages of SMA actuators are high force and large stroke [59,76].
Disadvantages of SMA actuators include low efficiency and low operation bandwidth (1-5Hz), due to the thermally-induced change [59,76]. The deformation of SMA materials cannot be precisely predicted and controlled due to the sensitivity of these materials to temperature [83].

2.2.4.3 Solid-expansion Actuation

Solid-expansion actuators take advantage of the volume change of a solid body due to the thermal expansion. The generated force is proportional to the temperature difference between the heater and the ambient temperature.

Unlike thermo-pneumatic actuation, thermal expansion of a solid results in a small volume change but a large force [84]. This thermal actuation technique, as with most other thermal actuators, cannot be used in applications where high temperature cannot be tolerated and has a slow response time [82].

2.2.4.4 Bimetallic Actuation

Bimetallic actuators use the difference between the thermal expansion coefficient of two different metals that are layered together, an actuation principle is often called “thermal bimorph” [76]. The greater the difference in thermal expansion coefficients between the two metals, the larger the generated deflection will be as the metals are heated. The thermal bimorph is controlled by a heating element, which is often integrated either between the two solid metals or on one side of the bimorph [76].

Similar to solid-expansion actuators, bimetallic actuators have the potential to generate large actuation forces. This actuator type shares the same drawbacks of other thermal actuators, including high power consumption and slow response time [76]. Other disadvantages of bimetallic actuators include complicated fabrication and integration techniques, as well as potential for delamination of the layers.
2.2.5 **Electromagnetic and Magnetic Actuation**

Magnetic and electromagnetic actuators have several advantages over other types of actuation methods which make them highly desired in many MEMS and microfluidic applications [22]. Magnetic micro-actuators can produce relatively large forces, and can produce them over large distances, as opposed to the small operation distances of, e.g., electrostatic actuators. They are also considerably more robust than electrostatic actuators [22,85]. Other advantages include extended working range [57], rapid response time [57], the ability to operate at low voltages [57,85], high forces [9,12–14], large displacement [12,14], and highly controlled [12] and localized actuation [12,13].

A magnetic actuator generates a magnetic field with an electromagnetic coil or permanent magnet. Through magnetic induction, this field produces an electromagnetic force (called the Lorentz force) between either two coils or between a magnet and a coil [58,86].

At the micrometer scale, magnetic microfluidic elements offer distinct advantages as compared with electrostatic and piezoelectric actuators in strength, polarity, and distance of actuation [13]. In addition, magnetic and electromagnetic actuations offer many advantages based on the device operating environments and overcome some of the major challenges in microfluidic actuation. For instance, magnetic and electromagnetic actuators are safe and effective in applications where the device needs to operate in conductive fluid-filled environments, as well as those where high driving voltages are not acceptable [4]. Magnetic actuation offers many advantages to biological and bio-chemical applications, as the magnetic force is relatively insensitive to the bio-chemical entities [15]. As a result, magnetic and electromagnetic actuation has seen widespread use in microfluidic elements for a variety of applications, including pumping [12,16], controlling fluid flow (valves) [12,16–18], flow switching [16], mixing [16,19], trapping [16], transporting [16], sorting [16] and separation [16].

However, despite the many potential advantages of magnetic and electromagnetic actuation in MEMS and microfluidics, magnetic actuators are still relatively underdeveloped due to bottlenecks in the materials and fabrication processes of existing designs. Overcoming these and allowing further development of magnetic and
electromagnetic actuations would help advance the field of microfluidic systems as a whole. Therefore, advancing magnetic based microfluidic systems is an important step in providing solutions to many of the limitation in the development of fully functional LOC and µTAS.

This chapter concludes with a summary of the advantages of magnetic actuation over other techniques. The next chapter describes in detail the development and characterization of a highly magnetized and flexible magnetic composite polymer used in magnetic actuators for several microfluidic applications presented in this dissertation.

2.3 Advantages of the Magnetic Actuation Technique Used in This Thesis over Other Techniques

The effective magnetic actuation mechanisms that are developed in this work overcome some of the most significant limitations of other commonly used actuation mechanisms for microfluidic valves and mixers, including avoiding bulky and complicated pneumatic actuation techniques (commonly used for microfluidic valves) [79]; providing much higher performance efficiency, actuation control and speed than various thermal-based actuation mechanisms [82]; and providing much higher robustness and lower complexity than electrostatic and piezoelectric actuators (other actuation mechanisms commonly used in microfluidics) [10,68,79].

In addition to the advantages discussed above, one key reason that magnetic actuation is very attractive and is selected is its versatility across a diverse range of applications. This is in contrast with many other actuation mechanisms, which can be very attractive for one type of actuator application but perform marginally for others. For example, pneumatic actuation is commonly used in microfluidic valves, but it is not easily suitable for the development of microfluidic mixers. Likewise, piezoelectric actuation is commonly used in microfluidic mixers, but it is not very effective for microfluidic valve actuation. Magnetic actuation, on the other hand, is suitable for a large variety of the basic actuation mechanisms used in many microfluidic systems such as valves, mixers and pumps. This makes magnetic actuation extremely desirable for
complex microfluidic systems with large arrays of different kinds of actuators. Magnetic actuation allows the use of similar actuation schemes, control systems, and process technologies throughout the entire device, instead of attempting to incorporate many different actuation systems into a single multi-functional device. The use of a single actuation mechanism for all actuators in a complex system, as well as the same materials and compatible fabrication processes, greatly decreases the complexity of fabrication and integration of the entire system.

By enabling development of actuators that provide high actuation forces for low actuation magnetic fields, made from materials that are highly compatible with the materials and fabrication processes used in polymer-based microfluidics, this work addresses major bottlenecks in integration and fabrication of magnetic actuation techniques for microfluidic applications.

The actuators developed in this work also provide significant improvements over existing magnetic actuation techniques for microfluidic elements. These achievements are facilitated by the choice of hard-magnetic composite polymers as the actuation material, and the novel design and fabrication methods developed in this work. These are used to produce highly magnetic and yet highly flexible actuator structures with performances well beyond what has been previously achievable with existing fabrication techniques and, e.g., iron-based composite materials used in previously reported polymer based magnetic actuators for microfluidic applications. While also developed for the µROAMS project, these components are compatible with each other as well as other passive microfluidic devices, and each make significant contributions to their respective fields.

This research work employs a newly invented, polymer-based material with hard-magnetic properties to facilitate design and fabrication of these improved magnetic actuators. Hard-magnetic composite polymers (referred to as M-CP throughout this dissertation) provide much higher actuation forces than existing iron-based soft-magnetic composite polymers commonly used in existing designs. High flexibility and high compliancy, combined with high actuation forces, result in actuator structures capable of achieving improved actuation performance (larger forces and displacement using smaller
actuation magnetic field) compared to previously reported magnetic actuation mechanisms.
Chapter 3 - Magnetic Composite Polymers for Microfluidic Devices and Systems

This chapter discusses the development of a polymer-based material with hard-magnetic properties that can be used in the development of a wide range of effective microfluidic actuators, such as microfluidic mixers and valves. This magnetic composite polymer improves the performance of magnetic actuators over existing techniques, providing a substantial increase in reaction force. Addition of bi-directional actuation without sacrificing the benefits of flexible polymeric materials is another key advantage of this newly developed magnetic composite polymer. This greatly enhances the functionality of devices and platforms based on polymer microfluidics, for the key actuators and applications presented in subsequent chapters, as well as other actuators and applications still yet to be developed. The material is a magnetic composite polymer that is formed by uniformly dispersing rare-earth magnetic powders, \((\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5}\text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}\) (MQFP-12-5 magnetic powder from Magnequench International Inc. with a grain size in the range of 5 to 10 micrometers), in PDMS (Sylgard 184 Elastomer Kit purchased from Dow Corning) with a weight percentage of 80 wt-%.

In Section 3.1, a short review on the advantages of polymer materials for microfluidic applications is presented. In Section 3.2, related background information on magnetism and magnetic materials is discussed. In Section 3.3, the materials and methods for development of a novel magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) are discussed. Section 3.3 focuses on presenting magnetic and mechanical characterization of the newly developed M-CP.

3.1 Polymeric Materials for Composite Polymers

Some of the key issues that need to be considered when developing new materials and fabrication techniques for microfluidic applications include fabrication cost, complexity, yield, and compatibility with existing technologies. Traditionally, MEMS and microfluidic devices have been fabricated primarily in silicon and glass, employing the same technologies that have been well developed for the semiconductor industry,
such as photolithography and surface micro-machining [8,44,49,52–58]. Silicon and glass both have some advantages. Between the two materials, glass has been the preferred material for microfluidics due to its bio-compatibility, favorable surface chemistries, optical transparency, and resistance to solvents and high temperatures [53, 59–62]. On the other hand, silicon MEMS can be integrated directly with electronic devices. However, such integration has not been easily adapted to microfluidics, where fluid routing and microfluidic channel sealing is required. However, some major disadvantages associated with silicon and glass materials have pushed researchers towards replacing them with polymers. For example:

- Fabrication processes for silicon and glass, which involve etching processes in a cleanroom setting, are expensive, complex, time-consuming, and labor intensive, utilizing expensive chemicals that are hazardous for workers and require careful disposal [53].
- Etching processes in glass are isotropic, leading to shallow channels with semi-circular or semi-oval cross-sections, making it difficult to achieve channels with precisely controlled heights and widths in glass [49].
- Both silicon and glass are brittle materials. Material flexibility can also be helpful for development of certain actuators, such a membrane or beam actuators, with large stroke.
- Silicon is opaque in the visible and ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths of the light spectrum, which makes it difficult to perform visual monitoring and use optical detection techniques [53].
- Certain proteins and molecules form non-specific bonding to the surface of silicon, which presents a limitation in many applications [49].
- Micro-fabrication processes in silicon and glass require access to highly specialized facilities and equipment, which can be a limiting factor for low-cost applications and rapid prototyping [8].
- The high cost of the materials and production limits the use of silicon and glass microfluidic devices in applications that require a low-cost, disposable device.

As a result, polymers have become the materials of choice in many micro-system applications, especially microfluidics, due to the many advantages that they offer: low material cost, relative ease of fabrication, and possibility for relatively low cost processing. Compared to silicon and glass, they also exhibit desired chemical and mechanical properties, such as flexibility, mechanical compliancy, bio-compatibility, and the capability to be fabricated in a wide range of shapes, sizes and complexity. Some of
the most commonly used polymers for microfluidic applications include poly(methylmethacrylate) (PMMA), polystyrene (PS), polycarbonate (PC), poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS), SU-8 photopatternable epoxy, polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), poly(etheretherketone) (PEEK), polyurethane (PU) and cyclic-olefin-polymers (COP) or copolymers (COC) [87].

Elastomers such as PDMS and PU have a high degree of compliancy and can be deformed to a very high degree, making them very attractive materials for applications that require high level of flexibility and compliancy, including those where a large-stroke diaphragm or membrane is needed, such as microfluidics valves and pumps [12,88,89]. Other applications where the flexibility of polymers can be essential include cilia-based actuation [90–92] and self-sealing fluidic interconnects where fluid-tight seals between devices and systems are required [12,93].

Among different elastomers, PDMS is one of the most commonly reported polymers used for prototyping of microfluidic systems [85]. Table 2 summarizes some of the key advantages and disadvantages of PDMS for microfluidic applications.
Table 2: Advantages and disadvantages of PDMS for microfluidic applications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ease of fabrication:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• PDMS can be easily molded into structures with high resolution.&lt;br&gt;• Multi-layer microfluidic devices can be easily fabricated using PDMS.&lt;br&gt;• A key advantage for prototyping as well as disposable cartridges.</td>
<td><strong>Low durability:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• PDMS is an elastomeric material that fatigue, which can be undesired for applications where high durability is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bio-compatibility:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This is required for devices used in biological and bio-chemical analysis.</td>
<td><strong>Hydrophobicity:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Several hydrophobic molecules, proteins and cell types adhere to the PDMS surface [96,97]. This can be undesired for some biological studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low cost:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This can be essential for prototyping and also disposable cartridges.</td>
<td><strong>Water vapor permeability:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Water evaporation in PDMS devices is hard to control [97,98]. This can be undesired for some biological studies. It can also result in evaporation over time from fluid in sealed PDMS containers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transparency:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This is important for applications where visual inspection or optical detection is required.</td>
<td><strong>Incompatibility with certain solvents:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This results in swelling of the PDMS in many aqueous environments such as presence of certain solvents such as toluene and hexane [97,99].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ease of sealing:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• PDMS can be bonded to PDMS, glass or silicon permanently with plasma treatment which helps to reduce the fabrication complexity.&lt;br&gt;• This is required for low cost applications, rapid prototyping, and disposable cartridges.</td>
<td><strong>Distortion of the structures due to the flexibility of the polymer [97]:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This can be undesired in applications where rigid substrate material is required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High flexibility and conformity:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• Desired for many applications such as wearable sensors and smart garments [24,94,95] as well as applications where a large-stroke diaphragm is needed such as microfluidic mixers, valves, and pumps.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas permeability:</strong>&lt;br&gt;• This can be required for cell culturing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In addition to the aforementioned advantages of PDMS an even more basic reason for the prevalence of PDMS in research is the ease with which researchers, with little up-front equipment costs, can prototype devices [12].

One less explored application of elastomers is for devices such as active microfluidic valves and pumps, which require a large stroke, and thus highly compliant structures. A magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) based on these elastomers may offer solutions to problems of compatibility and integration of permanently magnetic materials into polymeric microfluidics, while retaining the benefits of the base polymers [12]. Therefore, the development of new PDMS-based magnetic composite polymers that can be easily integrated with commonly used PDMS structures for creating effective actuators such as microfluidic mixers and valves is extremely important enhancement to the development of devices and platforms used in LOC and μTAS.

3.2 Magnetic Materials

Although fabrication of micro-scale fluid channels in polymers such as PDMS is relatively simple and well developed, fabrication of complex microfluidic systems that contain other essential elements such as pumps, valves, mixers, and sensors to produce a complete miniature microfluidic platform is still a challenging area of research. In order to have an effective magnetic actuation mechanism for polymer based microfluidic elements, a strong magnetic material needs to be developed, and incorporated into polymeric microfluidic devices.

A focus of this thesis is on development of a highly magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) with fast responding and bi-directional actuation capability for microfluidics applications. Thus it is helpful to first have a brief overview of magnetism and magnetic materials.
3.2.1 Background

Some of the most common ways to classify magnetic properties of materials include the response of a material to the presence of an external magnetic field, relative permeability, and relative susceptibility. Ferromagnetic materials such as iron, nickel, and cobalt have regions in the bulk material, called magnetic domains, which make these materials sensitive to external magnetic fields. Within the magnetic domains, the magnetic moments of the atoms are aligned with each other (Figure 2). These domains initially have random orientations, cancelling each other out and resulting in no net magnetic field in the material. However, by applying an external magnetic field, these domains align themselves in parallel to the magnetic field in a process known as magnetization. There is a maximum temperature, known as the Curie temperature, above which these materials lose their ferromagnetic properties and becomes paramagnetic.

![Figure 2: Schematic of domains in ferromagnetic material (top) before, and (bottom) after magnetization.](image)

Ferromagnetic materials can be classified based on their saturation magnetization, coercivity, remanent magnetization, and relative permeability [12,100]. In order to further discuss magnetic materials and their properties, we need to first briefly define each of these parameters. Figure 3 shows the $B-H$ curves of ferromagnetic materials, in which $H$ is the amplitude of the externally applied magnetic field, $\vec{H}$, with SI unit of A/m, and $B$ is the amplitude of the total magnetic flux density curve, $\vec{B}$, with SI unit of Wb/m$^2$. 
presented within the material. This curve, also known as \textit{Demagnetization Curve}, describes the conditions, under which a ferromagnetic material is magnetized, the strength of the magnetization, and the susceptibility to being demagnetized. The area enclosed by the curve is what is known as the hysteresis loop, which is the energy dissipated per unit volume per cycle of applied field oscillation. The hysteresis loop is the closed loop obtained when the material is cycled between positive and negative magnetic saturation, which is reached when an increase in magnetization field, $H$, no longer causes an increase in the magnetic flux density, $B$, of the material.

![Hysteresis Loop Diagram](image)

\textbf{Figure 3:} Magnetic flux density ($B$) versus magnetic field strength ($H$) hysteresis curve of ferromagnetic materials.

In Figure 3, one can see that when the external field $\vec{H}$ is zero, the flux density $\vec{B}$ in the ferromagnetic material is $\vec{B}_r$, which is the residual flux density or \textit{remnant magnetization} [4]. It is also customary to plot the magnetization of a material, $M$, as a function of the magnetization field, $H$, which can be seen in Figure 4. When a ferromagnetic material is magnetized in one direction, it is possible that the material does not relax back to zero magnetization when the imposed magnetizing field is removed. Instead, the ferromagnetic material can retain a considerable degree of magnetization and
it must be driven back to zero by a field in the opposite direction. The reverse external field, \( H_c \), which is also called coercivity or coercive force, is required to demagnetize the material and make \( M \) zero. The dashed black line in Figure 4 shows the nonlinear magnetization curve when the material is magnetized from a zero field value.

![Diagram](image)

Figure 4: The magnetization, \( M \), versus magnetic field strength, \( H \), hysteresis curve of ferromagnetic materials.

As previously mentioned, magnetic materials can be classified based on their saturation magnetization, coercivity, remanent magnetization, and relative permeability [12,100]. Based on the \( B-H \) behavior of the magnetic materials, they can be classified into two categories: soft- and hard-magnetic materials. Soft magnetic materials are characterized by a high permeability and a low coercivity (\( H_c < 1000 \text{ A/m} \)) [101]. Hard magnetic materials have a relatively low permeability and a high coercivity (\( H_c > 10000 \text{ A/m} \)) [101]. Soft-magnetic materials, such as nickel-iron, carbon-iron, and silicon-iron, can be magnetized and demagnetized easily and with relatively low magnetic field intensity, and they do not tend to stay magnetized when the external magnetic field is removed. As shown in Figure 5, the hysteresis loop in these materials is narrow. Soft-magnetic materials are suitable for applications when a series of magnetization/demagnetization cycles are required [12,100,102].
Figure 5: $B$-$H$ hysteresis loop of (a) hard- and (b) soft-magnetic materials.

Hard-magnetic materials, such as neodymium-iron-boron alloys (NdFeB), platinum-cobalt alloys, cobalt and rare-earth alloys [12,100,102], remain magnetized in the absence of an external magnetic field. A permanent magnet (hard-magnetic material) is a passive device used for generating a magnetic field, and is useful in a variety of situations where it is difficult to provide electrical power or there are severe space restrictions where electromagnets are not suitable. The energy needed to maintain the magnetic field is stored during magnetization, when the magnet is left in a high state of remanent magnetization. The important properties of permanent magnetic materials are coercivity, $H_c$, and remanence, $B_r$.

Hard-magnetic materials can be divided into three general groups: rare-earth magnets (e.g. SmCo and NeFeB), metal alloys (e.g. Alnico magnets), and ceramic, also known as ferrite, magnets. A summary of some of the main advantages and disadvantages of the most common types of permanently magnetic materials is shown in Table 3. Rare-earth magnets such as samarium-cobalt, SmCo, and neodymium-iron-boron, NdFeB also known as Neodymium or Neo magnets, are considered to have some of the strongest magnetic properties with very high remanence and coercivity. Samarium-cobalt was used widely in the 1960s. In the early 1980s, neodymium-iron-boron was developed as a low-cost high-performance permanent magnet. One of the disadvantages of NdFeB magnets is the complex methods of fabrication. Their relatively low Curie temperature (300 to 500
°C) can be also considered as a disadvantage in some high temperature applications. The fabrication methods have limited the application of NdFeB in microfluidics, although small permanent magnets have been manually assembled within some devices [13].

Table 3: A summary of main advantages and disadvantages of commonly used permanently magnetic materials [103].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of permanent magnet</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Coercive Force</th>
<th>BH\text{max} (MGOe)</th>
<th>Mechanical Strength</th>
<th>Temp. Stability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ceramic</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Lowest Up to 3.5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Moderate (T_{\text{curie}} = 460 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alnico</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low Up to 5.5</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Highest (T_{\text{curie}} = 800 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samarium Cobalt (SmCo)</td>
<td>Very High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High Up to 32</td>
<td>Low - Brittle</td>
<td>Very High (T_{\text{curie}} = 750 °C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neodymium Iron Boron (NdFeB)</td>
<td>High (~1/10th of SmCo)</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Highest Up to 50</td>
<td>Low - Brittle</td>
<td>Moderate (T_{\text{curie}} = 310 °C)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At the micro-meter scale, magnetic microfluidic elements offer distinct advantages as compared with electrostatic and piezoelectric actuators in strength,
polarity, and distance of actuation [13]. Therefore, incorporating strong magnetic materials, such as rare-earth magnets, as the actuating element to the microfluidic systems offer new capabilities and open new markets within many application fields.

3.3 Magnetic Composite Polymer

Many previously reported magnetically actuated microfluidic components integrate soft-magnetic materials into the design of the device [19,104–108]. Use of soft-magnetic ferrite composite materials for magnetic actuation in microfluidic systems has been previously explored by many other researchers [19,104–108]. Ferrite-composite materials are a type of composite polymer whereby a powder (in this case Fe-based) is integrated into a polymer matrix. Such materials retain many characteristics of the polymer, yet are provided functionality (in this case magnetism) due to the integrated powder [12]. However, due to much lower coercivity and magnetic saturation levels of soft-magnetic materials, they are only capable of producing lower forces (and lower displacements) when actuated under the same external magnetic field as compared to hard-magnetic materials. Hard-magnetic materials result in stronger magnets due to higher coercivity and saturation level [12,100,102]. The use of rare-earth permanent magnetic materials for microfluidics has been the subject of far less investigation. This is mainly due to the difficulty in integrating rare-earth materials with polymers.

Many previously reported actuators for devices such as microfluidic valves and pumps that are fabricated using hard-magnetic materials are based on either integration of a piece of solid magnet into the design of the device [20,21] or electro plating or depositing the (solid, non-powder) magnetic material on the top surface of a polymer to create a thin layer of magnetic film [22,23]. Integration of solid magnetic pieces into a device faces difficulties including: low accuracy and reliability in assembly process, difficulty re-producing device behavior, especially as the complexity of the overall system increases, and limits in scale to magnets greater than about 1 mm in diameter [12]. Electroplating or depositing a thin layer of magnetic material is also difficult; in addition to the technical difficulty in the deposition of any magnetic material, deposited thin film
layers usually suffer from weak adhesion, which leads to delamination of the layer, and/or micro-cracks, which can lead to failure. These problems are even more profound for thin magnetic films that are fabricated on flexible elastomeric substrates such as PDMS [24]; however, these problems of materials mismatch exist even when less compliant polymers are used.

Rare-earth magnetized materials, such as the $(\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5}\text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}$(MQFP-12-5 magnetic powder from Magnequench International Inc.) used in this work, not only have higher magnetic saturation compared to the alternative Fe-based soft-magnetic materials, but also demonstrate up to four times the magnetic remanence of isotropic ferrite materials and two times the remanence of anisotropic ferrite powders [24].

A typical coercivity ($H_{ci}$) and remanent magnetization ($M_r$) hysteresis loop at 300 K is provided by Khosla and presented in [24]. The hysteresis loop is found to be reproducible and different samples from the same magnetic polymer batch do not show any significant variation, indicating that the MQFP particles are homogenously dispersed in PDMS [24]. The coercivity of M-CP, $H_{ci} = 5260 \pm 30$ Oe, is found to be very close to that of pure 5-10 micrometer NdFeB powder with a coercivity of $H_{ci} = 5325$ Oe [24].

Furthermore, composite polymers based on these materials overcome difficulties in fabrication, assembly, and weak adhesion associated with other methods of integrating hard magnetic materials into polymer systems. Structures made with this permanent magnetic powder can be actuated in much smaller magnetic fields than the same structures made with soft-magnetic powder. Alternatively, much higher actuator displacement can be achieved for the same magnetic field. Furthermore, soft-magnetic materials can only produce attraction forces when actuated in an external magnetic field, as they easily demagnetize upon removal of the external magnetic field due to their low remanence. Hard-magnetic materials are capable of both attraction and repulsion forces, due to their high remanence, allowing them to remain permanently magnetized [12,102]. Compared to soft-magnetic composite polymers based on ferrites, therefore, the primary advantages of permanently magnetized rare-earth composite polymers are the creation of larger displacement and bi-directional actuation, making it possible to fabricate more complex, energy-efficient, and bi-stable components. The use of hard-magnetic materials
also helps to provide larger actuation forces over longer distances [12,109]. Therefore, hard-magnetic materials offer very desirable characteristics for many applications of micro-actuators, including microfluidic valves and mixers.

Development of polymeric microfluidic components that employ actuators fabricated in hard-permanently-magnetic materials and can be more easily integrated with other polymeric components in a microfluidic system are essential to harness the full benefits of hard-magnetic materials for microfluidic applications. In this work, such an effective and compatible material is achieved by combining rare-earth magnetic powder with PDMS to produce a magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) that can be permanently magnetized, providing both the advantages of hard-magnetic material previously mentioned, as well as the compliance and flexibility of PDMS. This material is then used in a number of microfluidic actuators to demonstrate that this material can result in highly effective actuator that can be easily integrated with PDMS-based microfluidic devices and systems.

In order to create effective permanently magnetic composite polymers with uniform magnetic and mechanical properties, PDMS (Sylgard 184 Elastomer Kit purchased from Dow Corning) is doped with rare-earth magnetic powders, \((\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5} \text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}\) (MQFP-12-5 magnetic powder from Magnequench International Inc.), with a grain size in the range of 5 to 10 micrometers. The magnetic powder is uniformly dispersed with a weight percentage of 80 wt-% in the PDMS (the highest wt-% with reliable curing). In order to achieve uniformity of dispersion, the magnetic powder is first manually stirred into the PDMS monomer for 10 minutes, and then oscillated by ultrasonic waves for 5 minutes. The curing agent is then added to the mixture in a ratio of 1.5:10, curing agent: magnetic composite monomer, by weight. Previous studies show that solvent assisted dispersion is not required [24,110]. The resulting M-CP mixture is then degassed in a vacuum chamber for a minimum of 30 minutes to remove air bubbles that are trapped in the polymer during the vigorous mixing process [85,109–112]. The standard mold for un-doped PDMS is SU-8 photo-patternable epoxy. It is discovered experimentally that the M-CP material, unlike un-doped PDMS, shows strong adhesion to SU-8 surfaces, making it impractical to demold the actuator from micro-molds made out of SU-8. As a result, PMMA molds, fabricated using laser ablation using a Universal
Laser System’s VersaLASER©, are used instead. These molds allow successfully micromolding of the M-CP structures without the use of a releasing reagent. Using this simple dispersion technique, no agglomeration is observed on SEM photographs or SQUID magnetometer [24].

Magnetic and mechanical properties of the M-CP need to be fully characterized in order to achieve optimized designs for the actuators that use this M-CP. Therefore, three sets of characterization tests are performed to better evaluate the mechanical characteristics and magnetic force interactions of the M-CP material. First, the elasticity of the doped M-CP material is determined using a tensile test to find the Young’s modulus (modulus of elasticity) of the material as a function of the doping level (weight percentage of magnetic particles) [109]. Second, the relationship between material thickness and magnetic force produced is found. Finally, the strength of the magnetic field is measured at different distances from the M-CP surface.

3.3.1 Material Characterization

The results reported in this section are needed for effective design, fabrication, and operation of M-CP microfluidic actuators, as well as future optimization of the design and performance of M-CP actuators. More generally, the results presented in this section are also intended to aid in development of other microfluidic components utilizing the same M-CP and hybrid M-CP/PDMS fabrication processes. Some of the key magnetic properties of the NdFeB/PDMS magnetic composite polymer have already been characterized previously by our research group [24]. These results include characterization of coercivity \( H_{ci} = 5260 \pm 30 \text{ Oe} \), remanent magnetization \( M_r = 59.7 \text{ emu/g} \) and magnetization \( M \) vs. coercitvity \( H \) hysteresis loop [24]. However, in order to successfully model and optimize actuator designs, certain mechanical characteristics of the M-CP material are also needed, as well as characterizations of magnetic and mechanical force interactions. Such characterization is especially useful for supplying

\[ \text{Some of the material and data presented in this section are adapted from [109].} \]
values for variables in device design using multiphysics simulation software tools such as COMSOL and ANSYS.

In this section, three sets of characterization tests are performed to better evaluate the mechanical characteristics and magnetic force interactions of the M-CP material. In the first set of tests a tensile test is performed on the doped M-CP material to find the Young’s modulus (modulus of elasticity) of the material as a function of doping level (weight percentage of rare-earth magnetic particles or powder mixed into the polymer base) [109].

To measure the Young’s modulus of the M-CP at different doping levels of magnetic powder, barbell-shaped PDMS samples are fabricated as shown in Figure 7. The $1 \pm 0.1$ mm thick samples are fabricated from M-CP using soft lithography techniques. These samples are prepared by mixing 1.5:10 curing agent to M-CP ratio. M-CP is prepared by doping PDMS at a weight percentages ranging from 0 of 80 wt-% in 10 wt-% increments. The maximum achievable doping level that can be successfully mixed into PDMS polymer with reliable curing is 80 wt-% of MQFP-12-5 rare-earth magnetic particles. A MicroTester machine, manufactured by Instron Corporation, is used to apply tensile strength tests to the samples (Figure 6). The Young’s modulus of each of the samples is calculated from the slope of the experimentally obtained stress-strain curve created during tensile tests[109].

Figure 6: Instron MicroTester used for tensile strength test.
Figure 7: This photo shows the shape and dimensions of two of the M-CP samples used for tensile strength testing. The samples’ thicknesses are 1 ± 0.1 mm. Sample doping level ranged from 0 to 80 wt-% in 10 wt-% increments. The two wider ends are used to clamp the samples to the Instron MicroTester machine.
Figure 8 shows a typical stress-strain curve form 80% doped M-CP material.

![Stress-strain curve](image)

Figure 8: A typical stress-strain curved produced by Instron MicroTester for 80% doped M-CP.

As discussed later in Section 5.2.5 the M-CP actuators described in this thesis work at pressures in kPa range. Therefore, according to the stress-strain curve for 80% doped M-CP shown in Figure 8 these actuators work at the linear region for M-CP material.

Nine measurements are taken to calculate the average Young’s modulus at each wt-%. The results of these measurements are presented Table 4 and Figure 9.
Table 4: Calculated Young’s modulus values for each tested sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Doping level of PDMS (wt-%)</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus for Sample #</th>
<th>Average Young’s Modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.50 0.63 0.46 0.47 0.50 0.54 0.52 0.62 0.46</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.05 0.92 0.95 0.91 1.08 1.08 0.94 0.99</td>
<td>0.985</td>
<td>0.0684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.04 1.17 1.00 1.09 1.16 1.19 1.12 1.10 1.17</td>
<td>1.115</td>
<td>0.0672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.21 1.28 1.28 1.13 1.02 1.28 1.13 1.14 1.19</td>
<td>1.184</td>
<td>0.0904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.23 1.26 1.32 1.40 1.27 1.32 1.19 1.12 1.26</td>
<td>1.263</td>
<td>0.0790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.26 1.36 1.35 1.50 1.37 1.44 1.29 1.44 1.40</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td>0.0737</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.54 1.47 1.30 1.44 1.51 1.43 1.49 1.35 1.42</td>
<td>1.439</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.47 1.48 1.62 1.54 1.67 1.62 1.56 1.56 1.53</td>
<td>1.562</td>
<td>0.0658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.59 1.60 1.51 1.67 1.63 1.70 1.65 1.63 1.61</td>
<td>1.623</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As shown in Figure 9, the un-doped PDMS (0 wt-%) has the lowest Young’s modulus and hence the highest elasticity. As the doping level increases, the Young’s modulus of M-CP increases which correlates to a decrease in the elasticity of the doped PDMS. This is as expected, as a similar trend has been noticed by other researchers using different particles in PDMS polymer matrix [106].
Figure 9: Young’s modulus versus doping percentage of particles in M-CP using samples as illustrated in Figure 7. Horizontal error bars represent ± 1% accuracy in PDMS doping level and vertical error bars represent one standard deviation over 9 samples at each doping level. This image is adapted from [109].

In order to further characterize the permanently magnetized M-CP, samples with the maximum doping concentration of 80 wt-% are used to measure the attraction/repulsion force between a permanent magnet and samples of M-CP (with different cross-sectional dimensions) under a 80 mT external magnetic field. Samples 2 × 2 mm² in size (length × width) with thicknesses ranging from 0.09 to 0.64 mm are patterned and tested. The attraction/repulsion forces are measured by fixing the M-CP squares shown in Figure 10 on a scale and actuating them under an 80 mT external magnetic field. The change in measured weight due to attraction/repulsion force between the permanently magnetized M-CP and the permanent magnet is measured and converted into the force. The results of this test are shown in Figure 10 and Table 5. An external magnetic field of 80 mT is used for these characterization tests, as this is equivalent to the magnetic field that is used for actuation of the valve flaps discussed in Section 5.2. Table 5 and Figure 11 show the relationship between the M-CP sample thickness and attraction/repulsion force created under an externally applied 80 mT magnetic field.
Figure 10: Illustration of the samples used to measure magnetic attraction/repulsion forces between M-CP samples (with different thicknesses) and an 80 mT external magnetic field.

Table 5. The attraction/repulsion forces between samples of permanently magnetized M-CP (doped at 80 wt-% and sized as in Figure 10) and an external actuation magnetic field of 80 mT (provided by a miniature permanent magnet). Sample cross-sectional dimensions are $2 \times 2$ mm$^2$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample thickness (mm)$^a$</th>
<th>The attraction/repulsion force (mN)$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>2.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>4.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>4.459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>5.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>6.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>6.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>7.840</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>9.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>10.682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>11.907</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$All the thickness values have an accuracy of $\pm 0.01$ mm.

$^b$The values presented in this table are the average values obtained from 5 measurements on 5 different M-CP samples for each thickness.
Figure 11: Relationship between M-CP sample thickness and the attraction/repulsion force exerted on each permanently magnetized M-CP sample doped at 80 wt-% and actuated under an 80 mT external magnetic field. The horizontal error bars represent ± 0.01 mm accuracy for thickness and the vertical error bars represent one standard deviation over 5 measurements at each point. Horizontal error bars present ± 0.025 µm variation in the sample thicknesses.

The flux density for cylindrical M-CP magnets such as the example shown in Figure 12 can be estimated using the following formula:

$$ B = \frac{B_r}{2} \left( \frac{D + z}{\sqrt{R^2 + (D + z)^2}} - \frac{z}{\sqrt{R^2 + z^2}} \right) $$

(3.1)
where $B_r$ is remanence field, $z$ is the distance from the face, $D$ is the height of the cylinder, and $R$ is the radius of the cylinder.

**Figure 12:** Illustration of a cylindrical permanently magnetized M-CP with a radius of $R$, and height of $D$.

At the surface of M-CP, $z=0$, the formula can be simplified as:

$$B = \frac{B_r}{2} \left\{ \frac{D}{\sqrt{R^2 + D^2}} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

For simplification we use the relationship between the magnetic flux density and force between two nearby magnetized surfaces of area $A$ shown in Equation 3.3 to roughly show the relationship between the magnetic force and magnet’s thickness.

$$F = \frac{B^2 A}{2\mu_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)
where $A$ is the area of each surface, $\mu_0$ is the permeability of space, which equals $4\pi\times10^{-7}$ T·m/A, and $B$ is the flux density.

Figure 13 shows simplified and normalized relationship between the magnetic force and magnet thickness. The curvature of Figure 13 is similar to the curvature of experimentally measured data shown in Figure 11.

![Figure 13: Normalized relationship between the force between the two magnetized surfaces and magnet thickness.](image)

Finally, the magnetic field produced by each sample is measured at different distances from the sample’s surface in the absence of any external magnetic fields. These values are measured using a 5180 Hall Effect portable Gauss/Tesla meter by F.W. BELL®. The setup for these experiments is shown in Figure 14, and the results of these measurements are shown in Figure 15. The distance between the M-CP top surface and the tip of the Hall Effect sensor used for these measurements is denoted as the *gap size* in Figure 14.
Figure 14: Schematic of the setup used to measure the magnetic field created by each M-CP sample with different thicknesses at different gaps (distance between the sample and Gauss/Tesla meter).

Figure 15: Magnetic field produced by samples of permanently magnetized M-CP (doped at 80 wt-%, and of different thicknesses) in the absence of any external magnetic field. Gap size is defined as the distance between the top surface of the M-CP sample and the tip of the Hall Effect sensor (see Figure 14). M-CP cross-sectional dimensions are 2 × 2 mm², with different thicknesses as shown in the legend.

Figure 11 shows that thicker M-CP samples experience higher attraction forces under the same external actuating magnetic field, which is very desirable for creation of effective microfluidic actuators. On the other hand, a thinner layer is expected to be mechanically more flexible. These results can be used to optimize the design of the actuators based on the specific application. An example of such optimization will be shown in Chapter 5, where a step-shaped flap design is used for the microfluidic valve to
best take advantage of both the flexibility of a thin M-CP flap actuator and the high magnetic force of a thicker flap actuator.

3.4 Chapter Summary and Conclusions

This chapter provides background information on the polymer and magnetic materials employed throughout the thesis, and includes details on fabrication of a magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) based on rare-earth magnetic powder. Mechanical and magnetic characteristics of the M-CP are evaluated by performing several tests. A MicroTester machine, manufactured by Instron Corporation, is used to perform tensile strength tests on M-CP samples, allowing experimental determination of the M-CP material stress-strain curve and the Young’s modulus of the M-CP material at different doping concentrations. The experimental results of the characterization tests confirm that un-doped PDMS has the highest flexibility, while increasing the doping concentration reduced the flexibility of the material. The relationship between material thickness and magnetic force between the M-CP under an external magnetic field is experimentally determined through force measurements on M-CP samples. M-CP samples are also used to measure the strength of the magnetic field produced by the permanently magnetized M-CP at different distances from the M-CP surface. In the next three chapters it is explained how each of these characterization results, and the various properties of the M-CP material, are used for design and optimization of the different types of M-CP actuators developed in this thesis.

3.5 Related Publications

Some results of the work presented in this section have been presented in details in the following peer reviewed publication:

Chapter 4 - Ultra-high Aspect-ratio Bio-inspired Artificial Cilia Actuator

Throughout millions of years of evolution, nature has combined natural resources with effective designs to survive and overcome obstacles. Nature's solutions and designs have been a great source of inspiration that has pushed the boundaries and advanced technologies throughout human history. In nature, a great number of organisms have developed micro-structures called cilia and flagella in order to be able to effectively manipulate small volumes of fluid and achieve different goals. These natural structures can be found in micro- as well as macro-organisms, and they range in length from a few micrometers to a few millimeters [113]. These structures are used for different functions, including: by mammalian spermatozoon and unicellular protozoan, paramecium, to move the organism; by paramecium to bring in food; by eukaryotes to sense mechanical and chemical signals from the extracellular environment; by mammals in many organs such as mammalian cochlear (in the inner ear) for detection of vibration caused by sound; and to move fluid or sweep particles across a tissue surface such as epithelial cells in mammalian respiratory surfaces to move the mucosal secretions of these tissues [90,113–115]. Similarly, in microfluidic applications, high aspect-ratio cilium-like structures, or artificial cilia, are used for many crucial applications which require the manipulation of small volumes of fluid [112,116]. Artificial cilia structures can be used for applications including object manipulation and transportation [91,117], microfluidic pumps [118,119] and microfluidic mixers [91,92,106,116].

Various cilia structures and actuation approaches have been previously investigated by a number of researchers. Some of the previously used actuation techniques include magnetic force [19,92]; piezoelectric actuators to oscillate a reaction chamber containing artificial cilia structures [91,120,121]; and electrostatic forces [90,116,122]. Although each of these previously reported techniques are shown to be capable of performing the
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required actuation to some degree, they still have limitations and disadvantages associated with each of them. Some of these disadvantages include: complication in fabrication process, complication in integration with other existing microfluidic elements, difficult or impractical implementation of actuation scheme, and low actuation efficiency. High aspect-ratio, highly flexible, and highly magnetic cilia structures can address many of these problems [24]. Such high aspect-ratio and flexible artificial cilia structures should be fabricated using a fabrication technique with minimum possible complexity and compatibility with existing and commonly used microfluidic systems. Furthermore, cilia structures should be highly controllable and provide wide range of motion, while actuated under relatively small stimuli which can be locally applied.

Previously studied magnetic cilia structures [19,91,92,116–118,123] employ a wide variety of fabrication methods such as: soft lithography techniques that mold magnetic polymers against masters molds [19], magnetically assembling of magnetic polymer cilia in a liquid polymer bath [117], multi-step surface micro-machining processes [116,122,123] and 3D printing of cilia like structures [106]. However, many of these previously explored magnetically actuated artificial cilia actuators suffer from limiting factor that are related to one or a combination of the following factors:

- Complexity of fabrication and/or integration with other microfluidic systems
- Low efficiency in the actuator’s performance
- Impractical or hard to implement magnetic actuation mechanism
- Use of weak magnetic materials, i.e. soft-magnetic materials, such as iron instead of hard-magnetic materials, which as previously discussed can greatly affect the actuator’s performance

Therefore, development of effective microfluidic artificial cilia actuator based on hard-magnetic materials, employing a relatively simple fabrication technique that is compatible with existing microfluidic structures, fabrication techniques, and materials, would be an important contribution to the microfluidic field and the future of LOC and µTAS as a whole.

To address the aforementioned limitations, a novel fabrication technique is developed for the rare-earth magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) discussed in Section 3.3, to achieve ultra-high aspect-ratio artificial cilia structures using poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) as sacrificial mold. The performance of these artificial cilia actuators as microfluidic
mixers within microfluidic structures are experimentally characterized and compared to passive mixing using only diffusion, as well as to other previously reported artificial cilia mixers.

The novel fabrication technique developed in this work is relatively simple, low cost, and scalable for high throughput large scale manufacturing, and for making multiple mixing areas on a device such as may be required for the µROAMS project. The resulting artificial cilia actuators can be actuated using relatively low magnetic fields of 7 mT, allowing for the use of miniature electromagnets suitable for LOC and µTAS applications and compatible with the µROAMS project magnetic field generation device currently under development. Since the artificial cilia can be fabricated and magnetically polarized directly inside the microfluidic system, they can be easily integrated with complex polymer based microfluidic systems.

The discussions presented in this chapter are based on the work that has been published in [114] and [115].

4.1 Artificial Cilia Design and Fabrication

To create cilia structures suitable for use in microfluidic mixing, a novel fabrication technique is developed that is relatively straightforward, effective, and allows for easy integration of cilia structures into a polymer microfluidic device. Two examples of such structures are shown in Figure 16. Figure 16(a) shows a cilium actuator fabricated inside a reaction chamber and Figure 16(b) shows a cilium actuator created directly inside a 400 µm wide microfluidic channel. The fabrication technique can be scaled up for volume production, does not require any complex assembling steps, and results in fabricated cilia structures at the desired location directly inside of the microfluidic device. It is also compatible with other fabrication techniques commonly used for PDMS based microfluidics, as well as fabrication techniques used for other devices using similar composite polymer technologies [12,24,85,109,124–126].
In this work, devices that are fabricated for testing of the performance of the artificial cilia actuator as microfluidic mixer consist of a reaction chamber and cilia actuators fabricated inside the chamber. The mixer performance is characterized by recording the mixing of two colored liquids.

In the first step, a PDMS reaction chamber is fabricated using standard soft lithography techniques. The magnetic composite polymer is prepared by following the same process steps previously explained in Section 3.3. After preparation of the M-CP by uniformly dispersing the magnetic particles, \((\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5}\text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}\), into the PDMS matrix, the process steps shown in Figure 18 are followed to fabricate the cilia structures directly inside the microfluidic chamber. The maximum 80 wt-% doping of magnetic particles is employed.

To fabricate the artificial cilia directly inside the reaction chamber, the desired location is first filled with poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG). PEG is a water-soluble, bio-
compatible and nontoxic polymer which is used in many pharmaceutical, food, and cosmetic applications, such as hydrogel fabrication, which makes PEG suitable for fabrication processes in microfluidic applications. Many different types of PEG with various molecular weights are commercially available. The PEG product used in this fabrication process is selected to have relatively high molecular weight and melting point (from Sigma-Aldrich® with average Mn = 2050 and melting point of 52 – 54°C). The high melting point is required to ensure that the PEG sacrificial mold remains solid throughout the entire fabrication and handling process. The PEG is first melted at 80 ºC on a hotplate. The liquid PEG is then poured on the sections of the microfluidic system in which the cilia mixer is going to be fabricated in the future steps (in this case, inside the reaction chamber). After being filled with melted PEG, the chamber is immediately covered with a thin sheet of aluminum (thickness < 0.2 mm) to achieve a surface roughness of less than 15 µm. The PEG is allowed to cool and solidify at room temperature for 10 minutes (Figure 18 (b)-(e)). In the next step, micro-needles with diameters of 120 µm are inserted into the chamber (Figure 18 (f)). The 120 µm micro-needles are the smallest diameter micro-needle available to us for this fabrication, although the process is capable of accepting smaller sized needles. M-CP is then poured over the micro-needles, and the needles are slowly removed. The vacuum created as the result of the evacuating micro-needles draws the M-CP into the mold, filling all voids. The sample is placed under vacuum for 30 minutes to ensure that there are no trapped air bubbles. Afterwards, the excess M-CP on the surface is scraped off, the thin aluminum layer is peeled off, and the sample is allowed to cure at room temperature for a minimum of 24 hours (Figure 18 (i)-(j)). For the M-CP preparation, the Dow Corning® 184 Silicone Elastomer kit is used, which has a working time of 1.4 hours at 25 ºC. This relatively short working time at room temperature (25 ºC) allows us to fully cure the M-CP at room temperature within a reasonable time (24 hours) without damaging the PEG mold. In the last step, the PEG mold is dissolved in 60 ºC water. An external permanent magnet keeps the cilia in an upright position while the water is removed using a nitrogen gun, avoiding any collapse of the structures during drying due to the surface tension of water or blow drying.
Figure 18: Steps of the micro-fabrication process for M-CP cilia. (a) Molding of PDMS. (b) Demolding of PDMS. (c) Filling chamber with PEG (Mn = 2050). (d) Removing excess PEG from the surface. (e) Covering with aluminum layer. (f) Inserting micro-needle. (g) Pouring M-CP. (h) Removing micro-needle. (i) Removing excess M-CP from the surface. (j) Curing. (k) Removing the aluminum layer. (l) Dissolving the PEG and releasing the cilia. (m) Drying under a magnetic field.

Manually inserting the micro-needles into the PEG sacrificial mold can occasionally cause either detachment of the PEG filling from the underlying PDMS layer, or can create small cracks inside the PEG layer. These cracks and detachments result in small leakages of the M-CP into the micro gaps or cracks. The effect of such a leakage is a residual layer of M-CP at the base of the cilia. An example of such a residual layer is shown in Figure 19. Although the thickness of such leakage is found to be smaller than 5
µm on average and shows no significant effect on the performance of the artificial cilia, it should be avoided for good process control. Also, any significant surface roughness inside the microfluidic system, such as in the reaction chamber, can potentially act as a trap where cells and other particles could potentially be trapped or anchored, which can be undesirable for many applications. Furthermore, the residual M-CP at the base of the cilia also compromises the transparency of the bottom PDMS surface of the reaction chamber or microfluidic channel where the cilia are located. In many applications, it is essential to keep the microfluidic system transparent for visual inspection or optical monitoring and detection techniques.

Figure 19: Residual layer of M-CP at the base of a cilium. This residual layer is caused by small cracks that occasionally occur while inserting the micro-needle (cilia diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, cilia height = 1 mm).

The undesired residual layer is eliminated by adding a simple step to the fabrication process. After inserting the micro-needle into the PEG and PDMS layers (Figure 18 (f)), the PEG mold is reheated to 70 ºC for 10 min to melt and reflow the PEG and seal any gap or crack between the PEG sacrificial mold and PDMS layer. After 10 min at 70 ºC, the microfluidic chip is cooled back to room temperature (25 ºC) and allowed to fully solidify for another 10 minutes.

Figure 20 shows an example of a cilium structure with no residual layer at its base which is fabricated by following these additional heating and cooling steps.
Figure 20: Cilium mixer fabricated by following the extra steps of heating and re-flowing of the PEG sacrificial mold after the insertion of micro-needles. The re-heating and cooling steps ensure that there is no crack or gap between the PEG and the underlying PDMS layer, (cilia diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, cilia height = 1.2 mm).

Automation can also be used to reduce the formation of cracks and other complications caused by manual manipulation of the samples, improving process control and reliability as well as greatly increasing the process throughput. The micro-needles could be inserted individually by a robotic arm, or as an array built into a stamp form. The stamp form would be useful for fabrication of microfluidic systems containing large arrays of cilia structures. A conceptual illustration of such stamp is shown in Figure 21. Such automation should increase the cilia placement precision and should also be more desirable for high throughput fabrication processes.

Figure 21: A conceptual illustration of micro-needle stamps that could potentially be used in artificial cilia fabrication.

After fabrication of the cilia structures directly inside a reaction chamber, the M-CP cilia are permanently magnetized using a custom-made permanent magnet by Dexter Magnetic Technologies, shown in Figure 22. The M-CP cilia are magnetized by inserting the entire microfluidic chip containing the cilia into the slot in the middle of the permanent magnet block. This custom-made permanent magnet provides a magnetic field of 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla inside the magnetizing slot. The magnetization is performed on fully cured M-CP actuators and the possible effects of magnetizing on uncured M-CP is not
studied at this point since it is impractical to insert the entire mold into the custom made magnetizer due to the small opening of the magnetizer as shown in Figure 22.

![Figure 22: Custom made permanent magnet by Dexter Magnetic Technologies used to magnetize M-CP, (Magnetic field = 1.8 ± 0.2 T).](image)

The application of such permanently magnetic polymer materials for fabrication of cilia structures has not been presented before in the literature, and presents a great advantage over cilia produced from iron-based and other soft-magnet ferromagnetic materials [19,109]. These advantages are discussed in more detail in Section 4.3.

Leakage tests are performed to ensure that the holes created on the base of the reaction chamber via insertion of the micro-needles are properly sealed by the M-CP. The design of the microfluidic chips used in these leakage tests are shown in Figure 23. The inlets of these microfluidic chips are connected to a syringe pump (Harvard Apparatus model 11) using polyurethane tubing fixed to the inlets using silicone sealant. To build up pressure in the microfluidic channels, the outlet holes are completely sealed using the same silicone sealant. A dark blue dye is used as the fluid to ensure fluid visibility in the channel for better visual inspection and detection of leaks. There is no open outlet for the systems in this setup. As the syringe pushes liquid into the system, the pressure gradually
increases inside of the sealed microfluidic system until eventually one part of the system fails and leakage is visually observed. In ten microfluidic chips that are tested for leakage, no leakage is observed under or around the area where micro-needles puncture the PDMS base. Instead, in all ten devices, leakage occurs either at the tube connection points (i.e. tube to inlet hole connection, or tube to the micro-needle connection at the syringe pump), or at lead-base junction between the two PDMS layers (Figure 23). The average leakage pressure is measured to be around 1.5-2 psi (10.34 - 13.8 kPa). As there is no sign of leakage at the insertion point of the micro-needles, these results show that the insertion of the micro-needles in the chamber floor do not compromise the integrity of the reaction chamber, and the insertion holes are fully sealed with the M-CP.
To demonstrate the capability of the proposed fabrication technique to create ultra-high-aspect-ratio structures, cilia structures as tall as $8 \pm 0.1$ mm with a diameter of $130 \pm 5 \ \mu$m are fabricated (aspect-ratio $= 8:0.1 = 61.54$). A sample of such a structure is shown in Figure 24.
Figure 24: Ultra-high aspect-ratio cilium in the absence of an external magnetic field (cilium length = 8 ± 0.1 mm, cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 μm. Aspect-ratio = 8:0.13 = 61.54).

The focus of the cilia structures fabricated in this work is for use in microfluidic mixers. As the typical height of microfluidic channels and chambers is usually on the order of hundreds of micrometers, cilia with an aspect-ratio higher than 8:0.13 would not fit inside typical microfluidic structures. In our sample devices for performing microfluidic mixing, the cilia lengths are limited by the reaction chamber height of 1.7 ± 0.5 mm. However, considering the ease with which the cilia structures presented in this work are fabricated, higher aspect-ratios (aspect-ratio > 8:0.13) can be achieved using the same technique.

As shown in Figure 25, reaction chambers used in the characterization tests presented in this work are 1.7 ± 0.5 mm in height (HRC) and 4 ± 0.5 mm in diameter (DRC). The circular shape of the chamber, as well as the chamber dimensions, are chosen to be compatibility with commonly used microwell plates [11, 15], as well as the multi-chamber polymerase chain reaction (PCR) microfluidic chip currently under development in our research group [127]. The mixer chambers in PCR microfluidic system must be able to hold and mix a minimum volume of 20 μL of reagents.
Figure 25: Illustration of a reaction chamber used for the characterization tests presented in this work. Reaction chamber height is $(H_{RC}) = 1.7 \pm 0.5$ mm and reaction chamber diameter is $(D_{RC}) = 4 \pm 0.5$ mm.

Various cilia structures are fabricated in this study, ranging in height from 500 $\mu$m to 8 mm, all with a diameter of 130 $\pm$ 5 $\mu$m. However, only cilia structures with different heights ranging from 500 $\mu$m to 2.8 mm are used in the mixer application, due to the height of the reaction chamber $(H_{RC} = 1.7 \pm 0.5$ mm). It is observed that cilia structures with a height between 500 $\mu$m and 3.5 mm and a diameter of 130 $\pm$ 5 $\mu$m (average aspect-ratio $< 3.5:0.13 = 26.92$) are rigid enough to stand upright, without any significant bending, on their own and in the absence of any external magnetic field support. Yet, all of these samples are extremely flexible and are capable of a full range of motion (90 ° swing of the cilium tip) when actuated under a relatively small magnetic field of 110 mT provided by a small permanent magnet at the base of the cilium (Figure 26). The cilium in Figure 27 shows a 2.8 mm long cilium, which is capable of standing upright in the absence of a magnetic field.
Figure 26: Cilia structures in their full range of motion actuated using a small (110 mT) permanent magnet. The cilia have diameter of 130 ± 5 μm and length of 2 ± 0.01 mm. In the absence of the external magnetic field, these cilia structures stand upright similar to the cilia structure shown in Figure 27.

Figure 27: High aspect-ratio cilium free-standing microstructure (cilium structure standing vertically in the absence of an external magnetic field, cilium length = 2.8 ± 0.01 mm, cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 μm, aspect-ratio of 2.8:0.13 = 21.54).

It is also observed that, as the length of the cilium structure exceeds 3.5 mm (average aspect-ratio > 3.5:0.13 = 26.92), the cilium structure starts to gradually bend under its own weight. Figure 28 shows a 5 mm long cilium in the absence of an external magnetic field, bending under its own weight. As none of the cilia structures used in the characterization tests are longer than 3.5 mm, all of the tested cilia mixers are capable of
staying upright inside the microfluidic system in the absence of an external magnetic field.

Figure 28: Ultra-high aspect-ratio cilium structure in the absence of an external magnetic field. (Cilium length = 5 mm, and cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 μm). Although such structures can be realized, they are not able to stand upright freely in the absence of an external magnetic field and are not employed as microfluidic mixer elements for this reason and due to the size of the mixer chamber.

The micro-fabrication technique presented in this work provides control over the following parameters for fabrication of the artificial cilia: cilium diameter, height, placement, angle, composition. Control of the fabrication technique over all of these parameters is discussed below, including theoretical lower limits.

- **Cilium diameter:**

  Cilium diameter can be controlled by carefully selecting micro-needles that have appropriate diameter. In this work, micro-needles with a diameter of 120 μm are used in the fabrication of the cilium, as they are the smallest diameter easily available. Micro-needles with larger diameters (D = 350 μm and 820 μm) are also used to demonstrate the control over the cilia’s diameter (Figure 29).
Figure 29: Cilia structures with different diameters fabricated in reaction chambers. (Left) Cilium diameter = 830 ± 5 µm, (right) cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm.

The use of 120 µm micro-needles minimizes the diameter of the cilia structures given available micro-needle sizes, creating highly flexible cilia structures that can be effectively actuated by relatively small 7 mT magnetic fields (Figure 30). The micro-needles used for mixer fabrication (D = 120 µm) result in a cilium diameter of 130 ± 5 µm.

Figure 30: Cilium structure actuated using a 7 mT magnetic field at 60 Hz provided by a miniature electromagnet (cilium height = 2 ± 0.5 mm and cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm).

- **Cilium height:**

  As shown in Figure 31 and Figure 32, the height of the cilia actuators can be controlled by controlling the height of the sacrificial PEG layer. It is also possible to fabricate cilia of different heights on the same substrate using this process. Figure 32 shows an array of four cilia with four different lengths ranging from 0.8 ± 0.05 mm to 2.2 ± 0.05 mm, each with a diameter of 130 ± 5 µm, fabricated on the same substrate and actuated using a 7 mT miniature electromagnet at 60 Hz. A longer exposure is used while taking the image in order to show the vibration range in a single image, and show the control that the new process presented in this work has in terms of individual cilium placement and size.
Figure 31: Cilia actuators with different heights fabricated on the same PDMS substrate (cilium diameter for all cilia actuators = 130 ± 5 μm. Cilium height from left to right: 0.5 ± 0.1 mm, 1 ± 0.1 mm, 1.8 ± 0.1 mm, and 2 ± 0.1 mm).

Figure 32: An array consisting of four cilia actuators with different sizes actuated using a 7 mT electromagnet at 60 Hz (cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 μm for all four cilia). This image demonstrates the control over cilia height and placement which is possible with the new fabrication method, and shows that cilia of different heights have different ranges of vibrational motion under the 7 mT, 60 Hz magnetic field.

- **Cilium placement:**

  One key advantage of this novel and relatively easy fabrication process is that, unlike many other artificial cilia fabrication techniques previously reported [106,121], this technique enables cilia structures to be directly fabricated on the PDMS surface inside the desired location. There is no need for additional assembly steps (Figure 33).
Figure 33: Artificial cilia structures fabricated directly inside (a) a microfluidic reaction chamber, and (b) a microfluidic channel. There is no need to additional assembly as is required in other cilia fabrication processes [106,121].

- **Number of cilia per chamber:**

  The fabrication technique presented in this chapter (Figure 18) also allows for the placement of multiple cilia simultaneously inside of a desired microstructure, such as a reaction chamber. This allows for placement in arrays, such as the 2×3 array of six cilia shown in Figure 34.

![Figure 34: A 2 × 3 array of six cilia (cilia diameter = 130 ± 5 μm, cilia height = 1.5 mm, and gap between the cilia = 1 ± 0.1 mm).](image)

- **Cilium angle:**

  By changing the micro-needle’s angle at the insertion point, the cilia can be fabricated with a specific angle relative to the substrate surface, so that it sits at this angle in the absence of any magnetic field. For the cilia actuators used for microfluidic mixers in this dissertation, only vertical (90° with respect to substrate surface) cilia structures are
used. To demonstrate the capabilities of the fabrication technique for fabricating non-vertical structures, cilia structures with various angles with respect to the substrate surface are fabricated. Examples of these structures can be seen in Figure 35.

![Cilia structures with various angles](image)

**Figure 35:** Cilia structures fabricated on the same reaction chamber. Each of the cilia structures has a different angle with respect to the vertical line (Cilia diameter = 130 ± 5 μm, and cilia height = 1 mm).

- **Cilium composition: partially doped cilia:**

  Hybrid cilia structures consisting of both magnetic and non-magnetic segments can be fabricated on the same device using a modified version of the same fabrication technique as fully M-CP cilia. As discussed in Section 3.3.1, characterization tests on the M-CP have shown that doped PDMS has a higher Young’s modulus and hence lower flexibility compared with un-doped PDMS. In applications where maximum flexibility is required, it can be beneficial to fabricate a segment of the cilia structures with un-doped polymer. Figure 36 and Figure 37 show an example of such a device, consisting of three cilia with different percentages of their length formed from magnetic polymer material, all in the same microfluidic reaction chamber. The cilia structures shown in Figure 36 consist of 0% (the cilium on the right), 40% (the cilium in the middle) and 100% (cilium on the left) of the length fabricated from M-CP, with the remainder of the cilium fabricated from un-doped PDMS. Figure 37 shows the same cilia structures actuated in a 110 mT magnetic field. As shown in this figure, different degrees of actuation range can be created on a single chip from the same actuation field, based on the application requirements and by employing different cillum structures.
Figure 36: An array of three cilia with different ratio of doped to un-doped PDMS sections along the cilia lengths: 0% of the length is doped M-CP on the left, 40% of the length doped in the middle, and 100% of the length doped on the right, (doping level at 80 wt-% magnetic particles in the PDMS matrix for the doped sections).

Figure 37: (Left) an array of three cilia with different ratio of doped to un-doped PDMS sections along the cilia lengths: 0% of the length is doped M-CP on the left, 40% of the length doped in the middle, and 100% of the length doped on the right, (doping level at 80 wt-% magnetic particles in the PDMS matrix for the doped sections). (Right) the same cilia structures actuated in a 110 mT magnetic field, showing the different range of tip displacement from 0° for un-doped cilia to 90° for 100% magnetic cilia.

4.2 **Artificial Cilia Actuator Application: Microfluidic Cilia Mixer**

As previously mentioned in Chapter 1, microfluidic devices are used in a wide variety of applications, including molecular biology, enzyme analysis, Deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) sequencing, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to amplify DNA quantities, organic synthesis, protein folding, cell analysis, drug delivery, as well as analytical chemistry and clinical pathology for point of care diagnosis [128]. Many of these
applications require the effective mixing of liquids, such as mixing of several reagents to promote a reaction. The reconfigurable microfluidic platform, previously presented in Figure 1 and forming the motivation of much of the work presented in this thesis, is being developed for bio-chemical applications such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR), enzyme reactions, Ribonucleic acid (RNA) folding, protein folding, and cell lysis. In all of these applications, rapid and efficient mixing is a crucial part of the process.

It is difficult to achieve an efficient mixing result in a reasonably short time without the use of a mixer device in a microfluidic system. Natural mixing through diffusion is particularly limited at the micro-scale primarily due to the low value of Reynolds number \((Re)\) of typically 100 or less \([111,128,129]\) in small (e.g., 100 µm diameter) channels. The Reynolds number is a parameter that quantitatively describes the tendency of a fluid to develop turbulence, and it is defined as the ratio of inertial to viscous forces in a particular flow configuration \([130–132]\). Kinematic fluid viscosity, fluid velocity, and the diameter of the fluid channel represented by the volume-to-area ratio of the fluid channel, are the parameters involved in defining the Reynolds number \([131]\). Reynolds number can be defined as follows:

\[
Re = \frac{\rho UL}{\mu}
\]  

where \(U\) is a characteristic velocity scale, \(L\) is a characteristic length scale, \(\rho\) is the density of the fluid, and \(\mu\) is the dynamic velocity \([90]\). The \(U\) and \(L\) values depend on the fluid channel. For a relatively simple and well defined fluid flow, through a cylindrical channel, \(U\) can be defined as mean flow velocity in the channel and \(L\) is the channel diameter. For more complex fluid flows, the definition of \(U\) and \(L\) can be more difficult, and sometimes the flow cannot be characterized by just a single Reynolds number \([90]\).

In medium to low Reynolds number regimes, and hence in the absence of turbulence, the fluid flow is laminar. This allows, for example, two or more streams of fluid to flow next to each other without any major mixing. Within a laminar flow, the mixing is achieved solely by molecular diffusion between the fluid boundaries, a relatively slow phenomena caused by random motion of molecules\([111,130,133,134]\).
Although this phenomena can be useful in certain applications, such as membrane-less fuel cell systems [4], fast and efficient mixing is highly desirable in many other applications. Rapid mixing, for example, is crucial for biomedical applications such as enzyme reactions, Ribonucleic acid (RNA) folding, protein folding, and cell lysis [111,134–136]. In addition, achieving a homogeneous mixing of reagents is crucial for many biological and chemical analysis and greatly increases the accuracy of experimental results [134]. As a result, development of an effective microfluidic mixer (or micromixer) structure, which can achieve homogeneous results in a fast and efficient way and can be easily integrated with existing and future microfluidic systems, presents a crucial design challenge.

### 4.2.1 Background

A number of microfluidic mixing methods have been demonstrated in the past two decades. Nguyen et al. [136] and Capretto et al. [128] give comprehensive reviews of different micromixer designs that have been proposed previously in the literature. Microfluidic mixers are generally classified as passive or active. Passive microfluidic mixers are the first mixers reported in the literature. Passive microfluidic mixing utilizes no external energy except for pumping the fluid inside the channels. In these devices, an enhancement in mixing is achieved by either generation of chaotic advection or the creation of heterogeneous surfaces to increase diffusion [129]. Passive microfluidic mixers often require less expensive fabrication techniques and are easier to integrate in complex micro total-analysis systems (µTAS) and LOC [128,136] than active microfluidic mixers. However, passive microfluidic mixers often require extending the channel length, which is generally undesirable for miniaturization [129], and are usually optimized for one specific Reynolds number or for a specific fluid combination with specific rheological properties. Active mixers, on the other hand, use external energy to introduce dispersed multi-laminates or turmoil in the fluid that accelerates the mixing process [128,129,137]. As a result, active microfluidic mixing generally achieves higher mixing efficiency [128,138]. For many applications, active mixers are also more desirable as they provide a more controllable mixing process via controlled stimuli.
However, many active mixing systems have their own limitations. For instance, ultrasonic waves can produce high temperature gradients that can damage biological fluids [128,136]. Therefore, an effective, non-damaging, and easy to implement active mixer design is still of high demand in the microfluidics field.

In order to address these problems, this thesis proposes an active mixer based on controlled actuation of artificial cilia actuators. Utilization of artificial cilia actuators for mixing is a popular technique that has been relatively recently demonstrated in the literature [19,91,116,117,119–122]. Various cilia actuation approaches have been demonstrated, such as magnetic force using large scale (20 x 3.5 x 2.5 mm) rotating permanent magnets connected to an electromotor [19], piezoelectric actuators to oscillate the cilia chamber [91,120,121], and electrostatically actuated cilia [122,123]. Although each of these previously reported techniques enhance and accelerate the mixing process compared to diffusion-based passive mixing, they still have some limitations and disadvantages that are discussed in detail in Section 4.3. In summary, some of these disadvantages include: complication in fabrication and integration with other polymeric microfluidic elements; difficult or impractical implementation of actuation techniques; and low mixing efficiency.

In order to overcome many of these obstacles, high aspect-ratio structures with a low Young’s modulus are required [91]. Such cilia should be fabricated using a simple fabrication technique compatible with microfluidic channel and microfluidic chamber fabrication. Furthermore, such structures should be capable of a highly controllable and wide motion range employing relatively small stimuli that can be locally applied.

This section presents a new ultra-high aspect-ratio cilia microfluidic mixer, featuring the M-CP permanent-magnetic cilia developed in Section 4.1, to achieve efficient mixing. The same M-CP described in Section 3.3 based on rare-earth magnetic powder, (MQFP-12-5 magnetic powder from Magnequench International Inc.), is used to create high aspect-ratio artificial cilia (as described in Section 4.1). The permanently magnetic artificial cilia actuators presented in this thesis can be actuated using miniature electromagnets to achieve a high range of vibration, creating turbulence inside a microfluidic reaction chamber. The mixing performance of mixers employing single
cilium and arrays of multiple cilia are characterized and the results are presented in Section 4.2.3. Approximately 85% of the total volume is fully mixed after 3.5 minutes using a single cilium mixer compared with only 20% of the total volume mixed with passive diffusion; this mixing performance is very promising for our intended purposes for µTAS and LOC applications such as reagent mixing for polymerase chain reaction (PCR), e.g., as part of the µROAMS project.

### 4.2.2 Experimental Setup

For characterization testing of the cilium, several demonstration devices are fabricated with cilium of different lengths. While the longer cilia structures are not used for mixing, they allow examination of the relationship between cilium length and maximum tip displacement, as well as studies on the effect of magnetization on the performance of the cilia mixer.

Cilia microstructures with a height of 1.5 mm are fabricated in the bottom of reaction chambers. The reaction chambers have a diameter of 4 mm and a height of 1.7 mm, large enough to hold approximately 21 µL of fluid.

![Figure 38: Testing reaction chamber used to capture cilia mixer performance. (The reaction chamber has a diameter = 4 ± 0.5 mm, and a height = 1.7 ± 0.5 mm. Cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, and cilium height = 1.5 ± 0.01 mm).](image)

Miniature electromagnets (tubular electromagnets from Magnetic Sensor Systems series E-66-38) providing relatively small magnetic fields up to 7 mT at the base of the cilia are used to locally actuate the cilia mixers (Figure 39). Figure 40 shows a 2 mm long cilium with a diameter of 130 ± 5 µm actuated in a 7 mT magnetic field at 60 Hz created...
by the electromagnet. A long exposure is used while taking the image in order to show the vibration range in a single image.

![Miniature electromagnet](image)

Figure 39: Miniature electromagnet used for cilia actuation (outer cylinder: diameter = 9.6 mm, height = 16.7 mm).

![Cilium structure range of motion](image)

Figure 40: Cilium structure range of motion, R, actuated using a 7 mT miniature electromagnet at 60 Hz (cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, cilium length = 2 mm).

For the cilia actuation, the miniature electromagnet is directly located under the mixing chamber and touches the bottom of the chamber (Figure 41 and Figure 42).
Figure 41: A cilium located on top of a miniature electromagnet and actuated using a 7 mT magnetic field at 60 Hz. This cilium is fabricated on a flat piece of PDMS (cilium diameter = $130 \pm 5 \mu m$, cilium length = 1.5 mm).

Figure 42: Illustration of the cross-sectional view of the configuration of a mixing chamber and electromagnet employed for testing. This diagram is for illustration purpose and is not to scale.

To determine the effects of the cilium’s vibration range and vibration frequency on the mixing performance of the cilia mixer, the electromagnet is powered by a signal generator that provides square waves with adjustable frequency and amplitude. The electromagnet is first excited at frequencies ranging from DC to 120 Hz at constant amplitude of 20 V. To test the effect of different actuation amplitudes on mixing performance, the electromagnets are powered with different voltages ranging from 0 to 20 V at the natural frequency of the 1.5 mm tall cilium, 60 Hz. A microscope mounted CCD camera is used to capture videos that are later converted into image sequences,
which are analyzed to obtain the results shown in Section 4.2.3. Figure 43 and Figure 44 show the experimental setup used in these tests.

![Figure 43: Experimental setup used for the characterization tests.](image)

Liquids are directly inserted into the chamber using Hamilton micro-syringes. It is experimentally found that illumination from the top can create a strong reflection of the light on the surface of the liquid, significantly affecting the quality of captured images and accuracy of the analysis (Figure 45). Therefore, illumination from the bottom of the setup is used, as in the apparatus shown in Figure 43 and Figure 44, resulting in better image quality for the image processing step (Figure 46).
Figure 45: Reaction chamber illuminated from top with strong light reflection from the top surface of the blue liquid inside the reaction chamber, which interferes with the accuracy of the image processing step.

Figure 46: Reaction chamber illuminated from bottom as shown in Figure 43 with no light reflection from the top surface of the liquid.

Initial testing using 0.2 µL of a single color dye added to 20 µL of deionized (DI)-water and mixed using the cilia mixer finds that a single color does not provide enough color density change from the beginning to the end of the mixing process to provide clear results of degree of mixing for image processing steps (Figure 47). To improve the accuracy of image processing, mixing of liquids with two distinct dye colors, red and green, is used instead. A volume of 0.1 µL of red and 0.1 µL of green dyes are mixed with 20 µL of DI-water to allow for clear image analysis of the mixing process (Figure 48).
4.2.3 Experimental results

To determine the effect of permanent magnetization of the M-CP cilia on the mixer’s performance, 2 mm long cilia actuators are actuated under different magnetic field configurations and the cilia tip displacement are recorded before and after magnetization. As shown in Table 6, cilia tips showed much higher displacement after being magnetized in a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla magnetic field, provided by the custom-made permanent magnet by Dexter Magnetic Technologies, shown in Figure 22. Furthermore, actuation fields as low as 1.1 mT actuate the cilium by a substantial amount (115 µm).
Table 6: Relationship between applied electromagnet voltages, generated magnetic field, and maximum cilium tip displacement before and after magnetization of the cilium, (cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, cilium height = 2 ± 0.01 mm, magnetization field = 1.8 ± 0.2 T).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actuation Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Magnetic field (mT)</th>
<th>Average cilium tip displacement for permanently magnetized M-CP cilium (µm)</th>
<th>Average cilium tip displacement for non-magnetized M-CP cilium (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>115 ± 2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>195 ± 2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>350 ± 2</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>480 ± 2</td>
<td>5 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>600 ± 2</td>
<td>8 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 49 shows an array of three cilia structures (cilium height = 2 mm) actuated under a 7 mT magnetic field before (left) and after (right) permanent magnetization in 1.8 ± 0.2T magnetic field. As suggested by Table 6, there is almost no significant actuation before the permanent magnetization of the M-CP cilia.

Figure 49: Range of motion for an array of three cilia actuated in 7 mT magnetic field before magnetization (left) and after magnetization (right) in 1.8 ± 0.2 T magnetic field (cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm, cilium = 2 ± 0.01 mm, magnetization field = 1.8 ± 0.2 T, actuation field = 7 mT).
To characterize the performance and efficiency of permanently magnetized cilia mixers, two complete sets of characterization experiments are carried out. The first set of characterization tests are designed to find the effects of different cilia lengths, vibration frequencies, and vibration amplitudes on mixing performance of a single cilium microstructure. For these tests, a single cilium is fabricated at the center of a reaction chamber. In the second set of tests, the effect of increasing the number of cilia structures per same chamber volume is studied by creating arrays of multiple cilia. In all of these tests, miniature electromagnets (tubular electromagnets from Magnetic Sensor Systems series E-66-38) are used to locally actuate the cilia (Figure 39).

All of these characterization test results are obtained using two-dimensional microscopy images due to the limitation of available imaging equipment. As a result, the efficiency of mixing in vertical direction is not fully analyzed. This aspect of the mixing performance will be explored in future work.

To find the effects of a cilium’s length and the excitation magnetic field strength on a mixer’s performance, cilia structures with a diameter of 130 ± 5 µm and heights ranging from 500 µm to 2.8 mm are actuated in electromagnetic fields ranging from 1.1 mT to 7 mT. Miniature electromagnets, such as that shown in Figure 39, are used to actuate the cilia. Table 9 shows the relationship between voltages applied to the electromagnets, generated magnetic field as measured by an F.W.Bell® Hall effect Gauss/Tesla meter model 5180, and resulting cilium displacement for different cilium lengths. This data shows that, for a fixed magnetic field and frequency, the taller a cilium structures is, the larger the cilium vibration amplitude and hence the better its mixing performance should be. Therefore, for a given size reaction chamber, it is best to have the tallest possible cilium mixer that can be fit into the chamber and can freely vibrate without touching the top surface.
Table 7: The relationship between voltages applied to the electromagnet, generated magnetic field as measured by Tesla meter, and resulting cilium tip displacement. All of these structures are actuated using 60 Hz square waves and all the cilia structures have a diameter of 130 ± 5 µm. This table is reprinted with permission from [111].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Voltage applied to the electromagnet (V)</th>
<th>Resulting magnetic field measured at the base of the cilia (mT)</th>
<th>Cilium length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>500 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average displacement of the cilium’s tip (µm)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All the displacement values have an accuracy of ± 2 µm.

As shown in Figure 50, for a given cilium length, as the applied magnetic field increases, the displacement increases and this increase is more profound for longer cilia.

Figure 50: Relationship between the cilium length, applied magnetic field and cilium tip displacement (Cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm. Actuation frequency = 60 Hz. All the displacement values have measurement accuracy of ± 2 µm). This graph is reprinted with permission from [111].
Figure 51 shows the mixing efficiency of a single cilium mixer at various excitation frequencies and actuated under a 7 mT magnetic field. This device uses 1.5 mm long cilium, and is fully assembled for mixing liquids. Actuation at 0 Hz, corresponding to passive mixing by natural diffusion, results in very little mixing occurring inside the chamber: less than 20% of the chamber’s total volume is partially mixed after 15 minutes using natural diffusion mixing alone (Figure 52-(c) and (d)). However, when active mixing (10 – 120 Hz) is used, more than 85% of the volume is fully mixed after approximately 3.5 minutes and almost 98% mixing is achieved after about 10 minutes. At 15 minutes approximately 100% mixing is achieved by utilizing a single cilium mixer (Figure 50-(a) and (b)). Table 8 shows that the cilium mixer reduces mixing time to achieve 20% of the total volume mixed by more than 16 times compared to passive diffusion (Figure 51).

Figure 51: Experimental cilium mixing results showing percentage mixed over elapsed time for different actuation frequencies at 7 mT. 0 Hz is no actuation (diffusion only) (cilium length = 1.5 ± 0.01 mm, cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm). This graph is reprinted with permission from [111].
Figure 52: Sample images of the mixing process compared to diffusion alone. (a) and (b) show 60 Hz actuation of the cilium at times $t = 0$ min and $t = 15$ min, respectively. (c) and (d) show natural diffusion at times $t = 0$ min and $t = 15$ min, respectively, with no cilium actuation. This image is reprinted with permission from [111].

Table 8: Mixing efficiency of a single cilium mixer compared to natural diffusion. This data is reprinted with permission from [111].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixing method</th>
<th>Approximate time to reach 20% of the total volume mixed (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural diffusion (0 Hz)</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cilium mixing (10 – 120 Hz)</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 53 shows the effect of different applied excitation magnetic field strengths on the mixing performance of a cilium microstructure at 60 Hz. As the magnetic field increases, the mixing performance of the cilium improves due to the increase in the cilium vibration range resulting from the higher applied magnetic field. Figure 51 and Figure 53 also show that increasing the strength of the excitation magnetic field, and hence the vibration amplitude of the cilium, has a more profound effect on mixing performance than increasing the frequency.
Figure 53: Experimental cilium mixing results showing percentage mixed over elapsed time for different applied excitation electromagnetic fields (cilium length = 1.5 ± 0.01 mm, and cilium diameter = 130 ± 5 µm). This graph is reprinted with permission from [111].

To characterize the effect of multiple artificial cilia on mixing performance, differently sized arrays of multiple cilia are fabricated inside the reaction chamber. Figure 54 shows the arrangement of different numbers of cilia in each mixing chamber. Similar to the previous tests, 1.5 mm tall cilia structures with diameters of 130 ± 5 µm are fabricated in chambers with a diameter of 4 mm and a height of 1.7 mm.

While 1 mm distances are used between cilia for demonstration purposes, this technique is capable of placing cilia much closer together, within 250 ± 50 µm of each other for the cilia used in this test with hand fabrication. This distance could be further reduced if needed by fabrication of high precision stamps or using a robotic arm for placement of the individual micro-needles.
Figure 54: Cilia arrangement in the mixing chamber for multiple cilia mixers. The illustrations on the right side are from a viewpoint looking down into the chamber and showing the placement of the multiple free-standing vertical cilia. The distance between each two adjacent cilium is approximately 1mm. This diagram is for illustration purpose and is not to scale.

The fabrication technique for these devices is the same as the procedure explained in Figure 18; the only difference is that, in this case, multiple micro-needles must be inserted in different locations inside the chamber. For the results presented in this thesis, the micro-needles are inserted by hand and individually. However, for high throughput and large scale fabrication, customized stamps could be created and controlled by robotic arm for higher placement precision and faster micro-fabrication processing (Figure 21).

It is found experimentally that, for cilia taller than 1 mm and gap sizes between the cilia below $500 \pm 50 \, \mu m$, attraction between the highly magnetized M-CP cilia structures causes them to start to bend towards each other due to magnetic attraction, and sometimes collapse into one another. For 0.5 mm tall cilia, the distance between cilia can be decreased to around 200 $\mu m$. The probability of collapses increases as the cilium height increases, due to the reduction in structural rigidity as the cilia are lengthened.

While magnetizing the cilia limits the spacing as compared to non-magnetized cilia, this approach offers two distinct advantages. First, the addition of permanently magnetic particles to the cilia allows for bi-directional actuation. Non-magnetized cilia only attract to the actuation field, while magnetized cilia alternatively attract and repel. This results in actuation with greater displacement. Second, compared to previous designs that were doped with weakly magnetic particles (e.g. Fe-based), the proposed design using highly-magnetic rare-earth particles exhibiting much higher displacement for the same actuation magnetic field. Furthermore, with ultra-high aspect-ratio structures, such
as cilia, spatial densities beyond this may result in physical interactions between the vibrating cilia, putting another physical limitation on the displacement. The high aspect-ratio and highly flexible cilia design presented in this thesis maximizes the displacement of the cilia, which has the most significant impact on the effectiveness of the mixer as shown in Figure 53.

As shown in Figure 55, increasing the number of cilia in a chamber increases the mixing efficiency significantly, with 8 cilia mixing approximately twice the volume as 4 cilia after the same time period of 1 minute with the same magnetic field strength (7 mT) and frequency (60 Hz).

Figure 55: Mixer performance for different number of cilia microstructures per chamber after one minute of mixing at 60 Hz and applied magnetic field of 7 mT. This graph is reprinted with permission from [111].

4.3 Comparison with the Prior Art

Fabrication of successful cilia structures for mixing purposes has been previously reported by other researchers [19,91,106,116,117,119,120,122]. However, in most cases, there were factors that significantly limited the practicality or the performance of the previously demonstrated cilia actuators in LOC and µTAS applications. In this section, some of these limitations are described and it is shown how the new designs
demonstrated in this thesis may eliminate or reduce the impact of some of these limitations on fabrication and/or performance of cilia-based microfluidic mixers.

The most direct comparison between the cilia structures presented in this thesis and other cilia mixer elements presented in the literature may be made with the mixer cilia of Riahi et al. [19] and Liu et al. [106], since the geometrical design and structural resemblance between them and the cilia actuator proposed in this thesis are the closest. In [19], Riahi et al. uses a CO$_2$ laser machine to make cone shape holes on PMMA, which are then used as cilia molds. The holes are first filled with ferromagnetic iron (Fe) micro-particles and then covered with PDMS to form Fe-doped cones which are then placed in PMMA microfluidic channels to act as individual mixer elements. In order to directly compare the mechanical characteristic of the cone shaped structures suggested by [19] with the cylindrical structures suggested in the this thesis, similar structures using the same method suggested by Riahi et al. are fabricated and compared to the cilia actuators made using the technique suggested in this thesis. A Universal Laser System’s VersaLaser© Laser ablation system is used to pattern the PMMA mold. The holes are then filled with (Nd$_{0.7}$Ce$_{0.3}$)$_{10.5}$Fe$_{83.9}$B$_{5.6}$ magnetic particles, a material with much higher magnetization than the weakly magnetic Fe-doped polymer [24] suggested by Riahi et al. [19]. Channels and holes fabricated using the CO$_2$ laser cutter exhibit a Gaussian profile (Figure 56). Using these structures as the master mold for fabrication of PDMS cilia results in cone shaped pillars (Figure 57(a)). It proves very difficult to precisely control the diameter and the depth of the holes. The cone shape structures have significantly lower flexibility when compared to uniform cross-sectional cylindrical shaped cilia made using the new fabrication technique presented in this thesis (Figure 57(b)). Figure 57 shows two cilia structures with almost the same height realized using the two different fabrication techniques. The structure made using a laser cutter results in an aspect-ratio of 2:0.7. The structure made using the technique described in this thesis has an aspect-ratio of 2:0.13. In Figure 57, both structures are actuated using a 110 mT permanent magnet. It is clear that, while both of the cilia are made of PDMS and doped with the same rare-earth magnetic powder, the cylindrical cilium shows a very high degree of deflection whereas there is much less deflection for the cilium made using the laser cutter-based molds.
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Figure 56: Cross-section of a microfluidic channel created using Universal Laser System’s VersaLaser® Laser ablation system CO₂ laser. This image is reprinted with permission from [111].

Figure 57: Cilia structures fabricated from the same material using two different techniques. (a) Using a mold made by patterning commercial poly(methyl methacrylate), PMMA, using a CO₂ laser cutter (height = 2 ± 0.5 mm), similarly to [9] except employing highly magnetic rare-earth materials and (b) using the new fabrication technique described in this work (height = 1.8 ± 0.01 mm). Both of these structures are actuated using a 110 mT permanent magnet, showing higher flexibility for cilia fabricated using the new fabrication technique presented in this thesis. This image is reprinted with permission from [111].

As a result of the low magnetization of the Fe-doped polymer and the reduced flexibility due to the cone shape of the cilium, Riahi et al. need to put a large permanent magnet (length = 20 mm, width = 3.5 mm and height = 2.5 mm) providing 75 mT next to the cilia in order to deflect the beam sufficiently (illustrated in Figure 58(b)). A large-scale magnet connected to an electromotor next to each mixer microstructure adds considerable complexity to the packaging of the microfluidic chip, and is impractical for complicated microfluidic systems with multiple chambers and mixers such as that
required for, e.g., the µROAMS project. On the other hand, using the technique proposed in this thesis, sufficient deflection can be achieved using miniature electromagnets providing only 7 mT. Each electromagnet can be located underneath or above the chamber, (illustrated in Figure 58(a)), which is far more manageable for large scale or complex multi-chamber/multi-mixer microfluidic chip designs.

Other prior results are also not as effective as the solution presented in this thesis. For example, Liu et al. [106] present Fe-doped artificial cilia fabricated using a modified 3D printer system. Although using this technique results in fabrication of filaments with high aspect-ratio and vertical side walls, it still suffers from some major limitation. Similarly to the structure fabricated by Riahi et al., the structures fabricated using the Liu et al. technique employ Fe-doped composite polymer which results in much lower magnetization level than the rare-earth M-CP presented in this thesis. Also, bi-directional actuation is not possible in both cases of [20] and [106] due to the use of soft-magnetic materials. In addition, the composite polymer used by Liu et al. [106] can be only doped up to 40% w/w with iron particles because a 40% doping level is the maximum percentage to be extruded without blocking the tip of the printer. This relatively low achievable doping level, compared to 80% doping level with rare-earth magnetic powder used in this thesis, reduces the actuation efficiency even further. As a result, a relatively large permanent magnet (diameter = 25 mm, and thickness = 5 mm) providing 245 mT magnetic field mounted on a moving linear stage must be used for the cilia actuation (illustrated in Figure 58(c)). Another significant limitation of the fabrication technique proposed by Liu et al. is that their fabrication technique employs a long filament structure that is printed on a glass slide. After the polymer is cured, the filament is manually cut into the desired sizes and the fragments are manually mounted on the desired surface. Although the paper does not explain the details involved in the mounting of individual cilia fibers on their desired locations, fixing individual small cilia-like structures one by one on a surface is expected to be a highly labor-intensive process that does not scale well for high volume production. This limitation becomes even more significant as the number of cilia structures required for a device increases.
Figure 58: (a) M-CP cilia structure and actuation mechanism fabricated in this thesis. (b) Fe-doped cilia structure and actuation mechanism suggested by Riahi et al. [19], and (c) Fe-doped cilia structure and actuation mechanism suggested by Liu et al. [106]. Both of the rotating magnet used by Riahi et al. and the moving magnet used by Liu et al. need to be orders of magnitude stronger than the electromagnet used in this thesis due to the use of weakly magnetic Fe-doped polymers. The doping level of Fe powder in PDMS used by Liu et al. is limited to a maximum of 40% w/w as opposed to 80% rare-earth magnetic powder in PDMS used in this thesis. The cone shape of the structure proposed by Riahi et al. significantly reduces the flexibility of the cilia structure. Both of the structures suggested by Riahi et al. and Liu et al. are not capable of providing bi-directional actuation since Fe doped polymers are only capable of providing attraction force. As a result the achievable vibration range in both cases is at most half of that of the cilia structure suggested in this thesis.
In other research, Timonen et al. [117] presented flexible magnetic artificial cilia based on spontaneous surface deformation of ferrofluids in the presence of a magnetic field. Cobalt particles are mixed with toluene and an elastomeric polymer. In the presence of the magnetic field, the cobalt particles form conical structures. While still under an applied magnetic field, the toluene is allowed to evaporate and the polymer solidifies. The length and the aspect-ratio of the cilia structures depends strongly on the vertical magnetic field gradient applied during the cilia formation. Aspect-ratios of 20 and 120 are achieved under 0.1 T/m and 20 T/m vertical gradients, respectively. Their presented technique has many positive aspects, such as mechanically stable structures and ease of fabrication. However, there are some disadvantages. For example, actuation requires fairly high magnetic fields of 450 mT. The most major shortcoming of the Timonen et al. fabrication technique is that it is relatively hard to precisely control the density, length, diameter and thickness of the cilia fibers. As the technique suggested by Timonen et al. resulted in a high density of imprecisely placed cilia, the cilia could potentially act as particle traps. Hence, the structures reported by Timonen et al. may not be suitable for use as a mixer for liquids that contain particulates or biological entities of sufficient size to become trapped. The fabrication technique presented in this thesis, in contrast, results in consistent dimension of each individual cilium and precise positioning within the microfluidic device. As previously discussed in Section 4.1, the length, height and diameter of each cilium can also be individually determined and placed precisely. Furthermore, Timonen et al. found that if the magnetic field is suddenly removed, their cilia structures never completely relax back to a vertical position without applying a vertical magnetic field to erect the cilia. For the design presented in this thesis, whenever the magnetic field is removed, the cilia relax to their original well-controlled positions. For example, in one experiment, it takes less than 0.5 seconds for the cilia structures to relax back to their original vertical position after removal of the magnetic field. Similarly to the work by Riahi et al. [19] and Liu et al. [106] discussed previously, Timonen et. al. [117] also do not discuss the performance of their artificial cilia structure as microfluidic mixers employing their cilia. Thus, it is not possible to compare the mixing performance of the mixers presented in this thesis with any of those proposed in [19], [106] or [117].
The cilia structures demonstrated by Toonder et al. [90,116,123] are based on electrostatically actuated polymer structures. The Toonder et al. cilia structures are curled microbeams consisting of a double layer of a thin polymer film, polyimide, and a thin conductive chromium layer. The cilia has a length of 100 µm and a width of 20 µm, and are actuated by applying a voltage difference between the indium tin oxide electrode and the Cr layer (Figure 59).

![Cross-sectional view of the cilia structure](image)

Figure 59: Cross-sectional view of the cilia structure reported in [116]. This image is reprinted with permission from [111].

Because of the relatively small size of their structures, the structures presented by Toonder et al. may be advantageous over the design presented in this thesis for microfluidic systems that require microfluidic channels with very small sizes (e.g., < 100 micrometers). However, the Toonder et al. mixer suffer from problems of fabrication complexity and durability. As reported in [116], the Toonder et al. mixers show signs of failure after $6 \times 10^5$ switching cycles at 1 Hz [116]. In addition, the mixers demonstrated by Toonder et al. require a relatively complicated multilayer fabrication process with access to expensive microfabrication equipment, such as a sputtering machine and plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD). The relatively complex fabrication techniques are a limiting factor for rapid prototyping applications where low fabrication cost is required. In contrast, the fabrication technique described in this thesis is simple and of relatively low cost. Furthermore, cilia structures made using the PDMS-based microfabrication technique described in this thesis are flexible and are not prone to fracture due to mechanical stress or handling of the microfluidic chip. For example, there was no sign of any failure or fatigue after running the M-CP cilia mixers for 168 hours (one week) at 60 Hz either with or without liquid, which represents approximately
3.6 \times 10^7\text{cycles. From a mixing performance point of view, the performance of an array of cilia structures suggested by [116] is comparable with the performance of a single cilium mixer suggested in this thesis. The single cilium mixer presented in this thesis results in a mixing time per volume of liquid (T_{90\%\text{mix}} / V) of 10 s/\mu L and this value reduces to less than 2.7 s/\mu L for an array of 8 cilia. This is similar to T_{90\%\text{mix}} / V ranging from 10 - 1 s/\mu L reported by Toonder et al. [116].

Oh et al. [91,121] have presented a mixer that consists of PDMS cilia structures actuated by the vibration of an off-the-shelf lead-zirconate-titanate (PZT) piezoelectric stage. Cilia structures as tall as 400 \mu m are fabricated using a novel underwater fabrication technique. The cilia structures are also fabricated separately and then assembled horizontally inside the chambers, which required additional assembly steps. As all cilia must be actuated at once by the piezoelectric stage used for cilia excitation, the mixers described by Oh et al. are limited in that multiple microfluidic mixers on the same chip cannot be individually controlled, and all mixing chamber must be actuated at once with the same parameters. The technique described by Oh et al. is particularly limiting if there is a need to have independent control over individual chambers’ excitation frequency and/or amplitude, or even whether fluid in each individual chamber is being actively mixed or not. The actuation technique described by Oh et al. also requires complicated packaging, especially as the number of mixing chambers and the complexity of the design increases. In contrast, the fabrication process employed for the microfluidic mixers in this thesis allows production of the cilia structures directly inside the microfluidic system with no additional assembly steps. By using miniature electromagnets for each microfluidic mixer, each mixing chamber can be controlled individually. Comparison of the mixing performance of the new microfluidic mixer structures suggested in this thesis and the mixer presented by Oh et al. is shown in Table 9.
Table 9: Characteristic parameters of microfluidic mixers form Oh et al. [91,121] and the present thesis. This data is reprinted with permission from [111].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Cilium per chamber</th>
<th>Vol. (µL)</th>
<th>Approx. ( T_{90% \text{mix}} ) (s)</th>
<th>( T_{90% \text{mix}} / V ) (s/µL)</th>
<th>Approx. ( T_{90% \text{mix}} ) (s)</th>
<th>( T_{90% \text{mix}} / V ) (s/µL)</th>
<th>([T_{90% \text{mix}}/V]<em>{\text{diff}}/ [T</em>{90% \text{mix}}/V]_{\text{mix}} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This thesis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.1 **Summary and Conclusion**

In this chapter, the design and fabrication of ultra-high aspect-ratio artificial cilia actuators are developed. A novel fabrication technique is presented for manufacturing ultra-high aspect-ratio cilia structures directly inside microfluidic structures, such as reaction chambers and microfluidic channels. A new microfluidic mixer based on the high aspect-ratio artificial cilia actuator is designed and fabricated employing the novel fabrication technique. Neither the use of the proposed M-CP materials for fabrication of artificial cilia structures nor the use of PEG as the sacrificial mold for fabrication of any micro-structures has been previously reported in the literature prior to this research work.

The cilia micro-structures fabricated using these new techniques are used to create active microfluidic mixers. The cilia mixer is one of the highest performing cilia-based microfluidic actuators designs reported in the literature, with the capability of extreme aspect-ratios under a controlled fabrication process, providing far more design flexibility than previously available artificial cilia-based actuators. The high flexibility of the cilia structures and highly magnetic rare-earth composite polymer material provide greatly enhanced actuation and mixing performance for the cilia-based mixer developed in this research work. The mixing performance of these cilia mixers are characterized and compared with passive diffusion mixing, as well as other microfluidic mixer designs discussed in prior work. The experimental result presented in this chapter shows that a single cilium structure reduces the 90 % mixing time per unit volume by about 22 times compared to passive diffusion \([T_{90\% \text{mix}}/V]_{\text{diff}}/ [T_{90\% \text{mix}}/V]_{\text{mix}} = 22.5\). The performance of the mixer while actuated under different frequencies and different magnetic fields is
evaluated. It is shown that the change in the actuation magnetic field has a more profound effect on the mixing efficiency than the change in frequency. Increasing the amplitude of the magnetic field results in an increase in the vibration range of the cilia and hence increases the amount of fluid disturbance created enabling higher mixing efficiency. An array of cilia structures, instead of a single cilium mixer, reduces this mixing time even further. It is also shown that approximately 85% mixing can be achieved in about 70 seconds by using an array of 8 cilia, as opposed to 3.5 minutes for single cilium mixer, when both are actuated under the same 7 mT and 60 Hz frequency magnetic field. A thorough comparison of the new cilia actuators with the prior art is also provided in this chapter. As a result of both the high magnetic forces and high flexibility of the high aspect-ratio cilia, the cilia actuator presented in this thesis can be effectively actuated using very small and localized magnetic field of 7 mT (which can be provided by a miniature electromagnet), as compared to higher actuation magnetic fields required in previously existing work. Highly flexible material allows the use of a smaller, easier to integrate electromagnet for actuation. In addition, the cilia actuators described in this thesis are robust and not prone to fracture due to mechanical stress or extended usage. For example, there was no sign of any failure or fatigue after running the M-CP cilia mixers for $3.6 \times 10^7$ cycles.

The novel fabrication technique proposed in this chapter that is based on the sacrificial PEG mold is relatively easy as well as being low cost. It can be used for high volume and large scale productions. The combination of effective fabrication, actuation technique, and effective mixing performance of these cilia mixers make their design attractive for integration with many complex microfluidic designs in LOC and μTAS devices.
4.2 Related Publications

The results of this work have been presented in details in the following peer reviewed publications:


Chapter 5 - Flap-based Magnetic Composite Polymer Actuator

Flap-based, or beam-type, actuators are fairly simple actuators, and have widespread use in microfluidics and MEMS [18,22,24,107,139–143]. The simplicity and applicability of flap-based (or “flap”) actuators is a major motivation for the development of new flap-based actuators described in this chapter. Previously presented flap-based actuators generally suffer from two main limitations: 1) many are limited in scope to passive structures such as check valves, and are not capable of sophisticated actuation control [141–143]; and 2) the majority are implemented primarily in rigid or semi-rigid materials such as silicon, SU-8, and thin metal layers such as aluminum [18,21,23,105,139,140]. While highly compatible with, e.g., silicon microfabrication techniques, these materials have lower compliancy and flexibility than polymers in general, and elastomers in particular, requiring higher actuation force compared to a similar structure fabricated using more flexible materials.

The flap-based microfluidic actuator described in this chapter addresses both of these problems by utilizing the highly flexible and compliant PDMS-based M-CP in the design of the magnetic flap-based actuator with a high level of actuation control. More rigid materials such as silicon, SU-8, etc., have lower compliancy and flexibility than polymers such as elastomers. This is especially less desirable for devices such as microfluidic valves where fluid-tight seals and a large-stroke actuation are required for high performance. A rigid structure also requires higher actuation force compared to a similar structure fabricated using a more flexible material. PDMS elastomers and PDMS-based M-CPs, on the other hand, offer high flexibility as well as ease of fabrication and relatively low cost, and are highly effective at providing fluid-tight seals.

This chapter thus describes the development of a microfluidic flap-based actuator primarily for use in valves for the µROAMs project. However, the valves developed in

---

3 The material presented in this section is mainly based on the material previously published in [126]. The reprinted parts are author-created, un-copyedited version of the article accepted for publication in Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering. IOP Publishing Ltd is not responsible for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or any version derived from it. The Version of Record is available online at [10.1088/0960-1317/26/5/055012].
this chapter also represent a simple actuator type that could be used for more applications beyond the scope of valves for the µROAMs project.

5.1 Flap-based Actuator Design and Fabrication

The degree of deflection of a simple flap or beam depends on the following parameters: length of the beam, cross-sectional shape of the beam, material from which the beam is fabricated, where the deflection force is applied, and how the beam is supported. An M-CP flap-based actuator in the presence of fluid flow can be simplified as a singly supported beam with uniformly distributed load.

![Beam Diagram]

Figure 60: A beam structure actuated under a uniformly distributed load of \( W \).

For such a beam structure as shown in Figure 60, the maximum deflection of the beam, i.e. deflection at the unsupported end, with a total uniformly distributed load of \( W \), can be calculated using the following formula:

\[
\delta_{\text{max}} = \frac{Wl^4}{8EI}
\]

(5.1)

where \( E \) is the modulus of elasticity, \( I \) is the moment of inertia, \( W \) is the total load on the beam, and \( l \) is the beam’s length.

The second moment of inertia, \( I \), of the beam is a constant determined by the beam’s cross-sectional shape and thickness. The second moment of inertia does not
depend on the beam’s length or material. For a rectangular beam, the moment of inertia can be calculated using the following formula:

\[ I = \frac{bh^3}{12} \]  

(5.2)

where \( h \) is the dimension in the plane of bending and \( b \) is the width of the beam.

Therefore, as the thickness of the beam, \( h \), increases, the moment of inertia of the beam, \( I \), increases. As a result, the maximum deflection of a thicker beam under the same total load is lower when compared to a thinner beam.

The thickness of the flap is related to the M-CP material’s flux density according to the following formulas. As it was earlier described in Section 3.3.1, the flux density for cylindrical M-CP magnets at the surface of M-CP, \( z=0 \), can be estimated using the following formula:

\[ B = \frac{B_r}{2} \left( \frac{D}{\sqrt{R^2 + D^2}} \right) \]  

(5.3)

where \( D \) is the thickness of the M-CP and \( R \) is the diameter of the M-CP.

According to this formula the relationship between the magnetic flux density and the thickness of the magnetic material is expected to be an exponential relationship. Figure 61 shows the normalized graph that illustrates the relationship between the magnetic flux density and the thickness of the M-CP cylinder.
As shown above, for small thicknesses there is a nearly linear relationship between the magnet’s thickness and the magnetic flux density. Once the thickness of the magnet exceeds the diameter of the magnet, the magnet is working at an optimum level and further additions to magnetic length will provide only small increases in performance [144]. For the flap actuators described in this chapter, the flap’s thickness is well below the diameter of the flap and as a result it is acting in the linear region of the curve shown in Figure 61. Therefore, increasing the thickness will affect the magnetic flux density and as a result the magnetic force and the total load applied to the M-CP flap under a given external magnetic field. Therefore, there is a trade-off between increased actuation force and decreased flexibility as the beam’s thickness increases. A similar argument can be used for the semi-circular-shaped flap actuators developed in this chapter. As a result, for the actuator’s shape, a step-shaped flap design (Figure 62) is developed rather than the more commonly used simple flat flap with the same thickness for the entire flap structure.
Figure 62: Photograph of a step-shaped circular M-CP flap used for the valve fabrication in this chapter.

As described above, the step-shaped design allows increased actuation force, while maintaining the flexibility of the flap. Figure 63 shows a comparison between the maximum deflections of a 2 mm thick flat beam and a 2 mm step-shaped flap-type actuator actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field. As shown in this figure, no visible deflection is achieved by using a flat flap. In contrast, large deflection is created by employing a step-shaped flap design.

The step-shaped flap consists of two parts, the thicker segment of the flap and the thinner segment of the flap. The following part of this section describes how each of these two parts contributes to the improved performance of the step-shaped flap.
The thick segment of the step-shaped flap increases the actuation force under a given magnetic field. To demonstrate the effect of increased thickness of the thick segment of the step-shaped flap on the actuation force, samples of M-CP, 2 × 2 mm² in size with thicknesses ranging from 0.09 to 0.64 mm and made from M-CP, are mounted on a scale and actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field. This field is provided by a permanent magnet located 1 mm above the top surface of each M-CP sample. The change in measured weight due to attraction force between the permanently magnetized M-CP and the permanent magnet is measured and converted into the force applied to the M-CP. An 80 mT actuation magnetic field is chosen, as it provides sufficient actuation force for the flap-based microfluidic valve developed in this thesis to perform effectively in both on/off and flow steering configurations, as is discussed in Section 5.2.1. Figure 11 from Section 3.3.1 shows the relationship between the thickness of the M-CP material and the magnetic actuation force.

The thin segment of the step-shaped flap design helps maintain flexibility of the flap in the segment that experiences the maximum bending. Figure 64 demonstrates how the thin segment of the step-shaped flap helps to effectively maintain the flap’s flexibility compared to a single thickness flap with the same actuation field. As discussed in Section 5.2, when this step-shaped flap actuator is used in a microfluidic valve design, the highly flexible and compliant flap with high actuation forces produces effective sealing in the closed valve position, as well as large displacement in the open valve position.
Figure 64: Comparison between the maximum deflections achievable by (a) a 1 mm thick flat-flap actuated under 80 mT external magnetic field; and (b) a 1 mm thick step-shaped flap (similar to the design used in the valve’s fabrication) actuated under 80 mT external magnetic field. Diameter of the M-CP flaps = 6 mm. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

The flap actuators are fabricated from M-CP using PMMA molds (Figure 65) that are fabricated via laser ablation using a Universal Laser System’s VersaLASE® CO2 Laser ablation system. These molds are successfully used for micro-molding the M-CP without the use of any releasing reagent. The step-shaped actuators are used for fabrication of microfluidic valves explained in Section 5.2.

Figure 65: Fabrication process for the step-shaped flap actuators. (a) fabrication of PMMA mold using a Universal Laser System’s VersaLASE® CO2 Laser ablation system, (b) pouring M-CP, (c) removing excess M-CP from the surface, (d) curing M-CP at 80 °C for 2 hours, (e) de-molding of M-CP valve flap (6 mm in diameter).

The flap-based actuators are employed for fabrication of a magnetically-actuated, all-polymer flap-based microfluidic valve, which is designed for easy integration into polymer-based microfluidics, straightforward and low cost fabrication, and harnessing the full benefits of magnetic actuation using rare-earth hard-magnetic materials for flap-based actuators and valve applications. It can be relatively easily integrated with other polymer and magnetically actuated components, including other valves to form arrays,
and/or the previously described magnetic cilia mixers \[111,112\]. As previously mentioned in 3.3, the newly developed hard-M-CP used to fabricate the flap-based actuator used in the microfluidic valve structure is capable of high forces and large displacement. Permanently magnetizing the M-CP also allows for bi-directional actuation in the flap-based actuator used in the design of the valve.

5.2 Flap-based Magnetic Composite Polymer Actuator Application: All-polymer Microfluidic Valve

5.2.1 Introduction

Microfluidic valves, or microvalves, are one of the most commonly required elements for realization of fully functional microfluidic systems, making them in high demand for LOC and µTAS. Microfluidic valves allow the precise control of routing, timing, and separation of fluids within a microfluidic system, and hence, allow creation of more complex and automated microfluidic systems \[145\]. Many different approaches to create effective valve systems integrated with other microfluidic structures have been previously reported. However, many previously reported microfluidic valves suffer from one or more significant limitations as outlined below.

A large number of previously existing microfluidic valves are passive valves, such as check valves and pH-sensitive hydrogel valves \[67,79,141,143,146\]. Similar to other passive microfluidic elements, passive valves use no externally controlled actuators to control the valve operation. These valves are appropriate for fluid isolation and separation, or to eliminate sample contamination and sample evaporation over long periods of time \[79\]. With no need to accommodate external actuation mechanisms and control systems, passive valve structures usually allow for high density of microfluidic valves on a single microfluidic device or system. However, the lack of external control severely limits the applications where they can be effectively used. Active microfluidic valves, employing some form of externally controlled actuation, allow for more controlled valve actuation and creation of more complex microfluidic systems.
As discussed in the introduction of this chapter, similar to many other elements of microfluidic systems, many previously reported microfluidic valves are implemented primarily in rigid or semi-rigid materials such as silicon, SU-8, and thin metal layers such as aluminum [18,21,23,105,139,140] due to their reliance on fabrication techniques derived from the semiconductor industry. These materials have lower compliancy and flexibility than elastomers such as PDMS with a higher level of compliancy [12], and thus require much higher actuation force compared to a similar structure fabricated using more flexible materials. This makes them less desirable for microfluidic valves where fluid-tight seals and a large-stroke actuation are required for high performance [12,88,89]. This flexibility also results in lowered actuation forces for the microfluidic valve, hence decreasing the size of the required actuation mechanism and allowing for a higher density of valves on a device.

The actuation mechanism for a microfluidic valve is one of the most important aspects of its design, and is the source of limitations associated with many of the previously reported active microfluidic valves. Some of the more commonly employed actuation techniques for microfluidic valves include: pneumatic [18,145,147–149], thermo-pneumatic [150], piezoelectric [18,145,151,152], electrostatic [153], shape memory alloy [18,145,154], and magnetic/electromagnetic [14–16]. As previously discussed in Section 2.2.5, magnetic actuation offers distinct advantages for many different microfluidic applications in general. The following are some of the distinct advantages of magnetic actuation for microfluidic valves in particular as compared to other actuation techniques commonly used for active microfluidic valves, such as electrostatic, piezoelectric, pneumatic, and thermal actuators. Magnetic actuation is advantageous for microfluidic valves as compared to electrostatic and piezoelectric actuators in terms of strength, polarity, and distance of actuation [13]. Magnetic actuators are also considerably more robust actuation mechanisms than electrostatic, thermal, and piezoelectric actuators [22,85] which can be crucial in high performance valve applications. Compared to pneumatic actuation techniques, some of the most commonly used actuation mechanisms for microfluidic valves, magnetic actuation offers more compact design and is more suitable for miniaturization and portable applications [76]. Compared to typically slow responding thermal actuation techniques, such as hydrogel
valves, magnetic valves offers rapid response time [57], which can also be a crucial requirement in microfluidic valve application. However, despite the many advantages of magnetic and electromagnetic actuation for microfluidic valve application, magnetically actuated valves are still relatively less established than other actuation techniques such as pneumatic valves. Further development of all-polymer magnetic valves could be highly advantageous to the future of microfluidic systems [16].

The valve design and fabrication process are described in Section 5.2.3. Section 4.2 discusses the experimentation and experimental results. Performance characterization of a single valve as an on/off valve, as well as the effect of the permanently magnetized M-CP valve seat on the valve’s performance and latching mechanism, are presented in Section 5.2.5.1. Operation of multiple valves for flow steering is presented in Section 5.2.5.2. Section 5.2.5.3 provides details on the actuation mechanism optimization for the microfluidic valve design. In Section 5.2.6, the structural design and performance of the valve system presented in this thesis is compared with previous membrane- or flap-based microfluidic valves presented in the literature. Finally, a conclusion is presented in Section 5.3.

5.2.2 Material

The same M-CP described in Section 3.3 is employed for the fabrication of the flap-based microfluidic valve presented in this section. As discussed in Section 3.3, this highly magnetic, yet highly flexible and compliant polymeric material is capable of providing strong bi-directional actuation at the micro-scale. It also provides both attraction for closing the valve and repulsion for assisted opening of the valve. Furthermore, the M-CP is employed for a permanently magnetized valve seat fabricated in M-CP; to the author’s knowledge, this is the first reported use of a magnetic polymer valve seat. The use of permanently magnetized M-CP for both the valve seat and the valve flap ensures intimate contact between both structures and enhances the closing performance. As a result, a relatively small magnetic field of 80 mT, created by a small permanent magnet, is used for valve actuation. This compares to relatively larger
magnetic field used in many previously reported membrane- or flap-based magnetic actuators such as 500 mT by So et al. [156] and 1000 mT by Bintoro et al. [140]. Furthermore, the hard-magnetic powder used in the M-CP is isotropic and can be magnetized in any direction with equal performance. As a result, any consideration for alignment of the magnetic poles during the valve’s fabrication processes is eliminated which reduces the complexity of the valve’s fabrication and integration [24,85]. The use of simple fabrication techniques and a highly compatible material that integrates easily with existing polymer microfluidic devices addresses bottlenecks in fabrication and integration that have limited the use of magnetic actuation techniques in microfluidic valve applications.

5.2.3 Valve’s Design and Fabrication

Design of the valve is shown in Figure 66. Figure 66(a) shows the top view of a single valve structure. Figure 66(b) and (c) show a detailed cross-sectional view of the proposed microfluidic valve in the open and closed positions, respectively. Each valve consists of three main components: a valve flap fabricated in M-CP (Figure 66(d)), a valve chamber fabricated in PDMS (Figure 66(e)), and a hybrid microfluidic channel system, which includes passive microfluidic channels fabricated in PDMS and a valve seat fabricated from M-CP (Figure 66(f)). Figure 67(a) shows a top view diagram of a two-valve array system using the valve structure, while Figure 67(b)-(d) show photographs of the microfluidic channel system with printed valve seats, a single M-CP flap, and an assembled device with the flaps and valve chambers mounted on the valve seats, respectively.
Figure 66: (a) Top view of a microfluidic system with a single valve structure, (b) cross-sectional view of the function of a single valve in open valve position, (c) cross-sectional view of the function of a single valve in closed valve position (the permanent magnet is employed to actuate the valve flap), (d) a valve flap fabricated in M-CP (6 mm in diameter), (e) a valve chamber fabricated in PDMS, (f) a hybrid microfluidic channel system, which includes passive microfluidic channels fabricated in PDMS and a valve seat fabricated from M-CP. These diagrams are for illustration purpose and do not represent exact dimensional ratios. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].
Figure 67: (a) Top view of a microfluidic channel system with printed M-CP valve seats (two valves are shown in a flow switching configuration), (b) photographs of the microfluidic channel system with printed valve seats (thickness of the valve seats = 200 µm, diameter of the valve seats = 6 mm), (c) photograph of a single M-CP valve flap (diameter of the valve flap = 6 mm), (d) photograph of an assembled device with the flaps and valve chambers mounted on the valve seats. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

5.2.4 Valve’s Fabrication Process

Figure 68 shows a cross-sectional view of the fabrication process steps for the microfluidic channel system and valve seat shown in Figure 67(b). The microfluidic channel system is first fabricated in PDMS and then the M-CP valve seat is printed on the top surface using a shadow mask. The microfluidic channel system micro-molds are fabricated by one of the following two ways: 1) using a poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) substrate micro-patterned using a CO₂ laser ablation system (Universal Laser System’s VersaLASER®), or 2) using SU-8 on a silicon substrate fabricated by standard photolithography techniques. PDMS is then poured onto the micro-mold, degassed for 30 minutes, cured at 80 °C for two hours, and de-molded. To create the M-CP valve seat, a thin layer (200 µm) of M-CP is printed with a shadow mask on the top surface of the PDMS channels at the location where the valve flaps are assembled in later steps (Figure 68(d)-(h)). After printing the M-CP valve seat on the PDMS, the M-CP is cured at 80 °C.
for 15 minutes. The M-CP is then permanently magnetized by inserting the microfluidic chip into a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla external magnetic field (provided by a custom made magnetizer by Dexter Magnetic Technologies Inc., Elk Grove Village, Illinois, USA). The attraction between the permanently magnetized valve seat and valve flap facilitates a strong contact between the flap and the valve seat, improving sealing between the two components and reducing the risk of leakage due to poor surface contact. In addition, the attraction force between a permanently magnetized valve flap and seat provides a latching mechanism for the closed valve position. To the author’s knowledge, this is the first reported use of permanently magnetized valve seat to enhance sealing in a polymer based microfluidic valve. Further details of the effect of the M-CP valve seat on the valve’s performance are presented in Section 5.2.5.1. In the next step (Figure 68(i)), inlet and outlet holes are punched using a 21 gauge needle, creating access holes 0.82 mm in diameter. To seal the channels, the PDMS microfluidic channel system is permanently bonded to a glass slide (1“ × 3”, or 2.54 cm × 7.62 cm) by corona surface activation techniques using a Corona Treater model BD-20AC by Electro-Technic Products Inc. (Figure 68(j)).
Figure 68: Fabrication process of the microfluidic channel systems and M-CP valve seat. (a) patterning of PMMA mold using a Universal Laser System’s VersaLaser© CO2 laser ablation system, or SU-8 mold using standard soft lithography techniques, (b) molding of PDMS microfluidic channel system, (c) de-molding of PDMS, (d-h) patterning of the valve seat, a thin (200 µm) layer of M-CP on top of the microfluidic channel system using shadow mask screen printing, (i) punching inlet/outlet holes using a 21 gauge dispensing needle, (j) bonding the PDMS channels to a glass slide substrate using plasma surface activation technique. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

The M-CP flaps are fabricated using the same fabrication process steps previously shown in Figure 65. Similar to the valve seat, the M-CP flap is then permanently magnetized by inserting the microfluidic chip into a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla external magnetic field (provided by the same custom-made magnetizer). Finally, the valve chambers are fabricated out of un-doped PDMS using standard soft lithography techniques and PMMA molds.

For fabrication of the microfluidic valves presented in this thesis, the three parts are manually aligned and assembled. Due to the relatively large size of the parts (M-CP flap’s diameter = 6 mm), the assembling process is relatively straightforward. However, for high throughput and large scale fabrication, a more automated method should be developed for higher placement precision and faster fabrication process.
5.2.5 Experimentation Results and Discussion

In this section, the performance of the valve as both an on/off fluidic switch, and in an array as a flow steering element, is discussed. The results of valve actuation mechanism optimization are also presented. Finally, a comparison between the structure and performance of the microfluidic valve presented in this thesis and previously reported membrane- or flap-based magnetic valves is presented in Section 5.2.6.

For all valve tests presented in this manuscript, the inlet reservoirs of the microfluidic channels are connected to a Harvard Apparatus model 11 syringe pump through polyurethane tubing, as shown in Figure 69. The tubes are fixed to the inlet hole using silicone sealant (34 Flowable Sealant from Dow Corning®). The microfluidic chips are then mounted under a microscope for visual monitoring. A dark blue dye solution containing de-ionized water and hand soap foam is used to visualize the flow. The presence of air bubbles in the foam greatly enhances the ability to visually monitor the fluid flow under the microscope to determine the pressure at which the closed valves fail and start to leak, which is the burst pressure of the valves. A digital pressure gauge (MediaGauge MGA-30-A-9V-R by SSI Technologies) is mounted on the syringe to measure the burst pressure (Figure 69).

![Figure 69: Test setup used for the evaluation of valve performance.](image)

For the actuation of the valves presented in this chapter, small permanent magnets, 6 mm in diameter and 3.54 mm in height, are used. The permanent magnets are located 1 mm below the microfluidic channel systems to provide an 80 mT magnetic field
at the M-CP valve seats location. A 5180 Hall Effect portable Gauss/Tesla meter by F.W. BELL® is used to measure the magnetic field at the M-CP valve seat. An 80 mT actuation magnetic field is chosen, as it provides sufficient actuation force for the valve application to perform effectively in both on/off and flow steering configurations.

5.2.5.1 Performance Characterization of a Single Valve as an On/Off Valve

In this section, the effect of having a permanently magnetized M-CP valve seat on the valve performance is discussed first by comparing the burst pressure of valves with M-CP valve seats to valves with un-doped PDMS valve seats. This section also discusses the performance of a single valve to completely block the flow of the liquid in a microfluidic channel system when configured as an on/off valve. Finally, the dead volume of a single valve is evaluated.

To show the effect of the M-CP valve seat on the valve performance, as well as to characterize the performance of a single valve, straight channels consisting of a single valve chamber similar to the one shown in Figure 70 are fabricated. Two different sets of microfluidic valves are fabricated for testing, both with a flap thickness of approximately 2.3 mm. One set uses the valve seat shown in Figure 68, fabricated using M-CP as described previously in Section 5.2.4. The valve seat is permanently magnetized with a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla magnetic field with the same polarity as the valve flap. In this device, both valve flap and valve seat are permanently magnetized; thus, there is an attraction force between the valve seat and the valve flap in the absence of any external magnetic field. In the second set of tests, the valve seats are fabricated following similar fabrication steps as the ones shown in Figure 68, except the valve seats are fabricated using un-doped PDMS with no magnetic particle filler. The devices are connected to the pressure sensor to measure the burst pressure for valves without applying any external magnetic actuation force. The results for these burst pressure tests comparing the two different valve seats are shown in Table 10.
Figure 70: Schematic of the microfluidic device used for determining a single valve’s burst pressure in its closed position. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

Table 10: Burst pressure for valve designs with permanently magnetized M-CP valve seat and non-magnetic PDMS valve seat in the absence of any external magnetic field (flap thickness = 2.3 ± 0.1 mm, valve seat thickness = 200 ± 10 µm, microfluidic system channels sized 200 µm wide and 200 µm deep, flow rate of 1 mL/min). The measurements are done on 3 valves for each M-CP valve seat and un-doped PDMS valve seat. This data is reprinted with permission from [126].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Average Burst Pressure (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PDMS Valve Seat</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-CP Valve Seat</td>
<td>5.52 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As can be seen from Table 10, the M-CP valve seat provides a much higher attraction force between the flap and the valve seat, allowing complete blockage of fluid flow for pressures up to 5.52 kPa for a 2.3 mm thick valve flap with a 200 ± 10 µm thick valve seat. The microfluidic channel systems used for these tests have a channel size of 200 µm wide and 200 µm deep. A flow rate of 1 mL/min is used for these tests. A regular PDMS valve seat with no magnetic particles has a measured burst pressure of 0.34 kPa.
The small, yet non-zero value, for the un-doped PDMS may be attributed to either experimental error or surface effects.

This result is particularly useful for applications such as flow steering between multiple channels under continuous flow condition where there is always an open path for the fluid flow at any given time. In such low pressure applications, where the fluid pressure in the microfluidic channels does not build up to a pressure above 5.52 kPa, the valves presented here can be considered as normally closed switches. For applications with higher pressure than 5.52 kPa, the valves with 2.3 mm thick flap would be considered as normally open valves and an active external magnetic force would be required to keep a valve in its closed position.

Valves with M-CP valve seats are further characterized for the effect of flap thickness on burst pressure. A small permanent magnet, 6 mm in diameter and 3.54 mm in height, is located 1 mm below the microfluidic channels system to provide an 80 mT magnetic field at the M-CP valve seat location for the valve actuation. Valves with flap thicknesses ranging from 0.3 to 2.3 mm are tested for burst pressure when actuated. The experimental results for these tests are presented in Figure 71. A 2.3 mm thick valve flap, the thickest tested flap, is able to block the flow completely without any leakage for pressures up to approximately 9.65 kPa. Higher leakage pressures can be achieved by increasing the flap thickness further, or by increasing the strength of the actuation magnetic field.
Figure 71: Results of the burst pressure measurements performed on valves with different flap thicknesses actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field. Five valves are used for each flap thickness. Accuracy for the flap thickness measurements is ± 0.1 mm. Vertical error bars represent one standard deviation over 5 valves at each flap thickness. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

By dividing the data obtained from Figure 11 for the force measurement by the surface area of the samples we can obtain a first order approximation of the pressure produced by the M-CP material actuated under an 80 mT external magnetic field (Figure 72).
Comparing the results shown in Figure 71 and Figure 72 confirms that the measured burst pressure is close to the experimentally measured actuation pressure for the M-CP.

The dead volume, which is the space above and around the flap inside the valve chamber cavity required to accommodate the geometry of the moving valve flap, is a potentially undesirable characteristic. It can be calculated based on the flap dimensions. The dead volume increases as the flap thickness is increased. Figure 73 shows an example of the dead volume calculation for a flap with a height of 1 mm. Based on the approximated values shown on this figure, the dead volume of the valve chamber is calculated to be approximately 12 µL. The volume of the space inside the valve chamber where the valve flap is housed can be found using the following calculation:

\[
V_{\text{chamber}} = \text{Volume of the chamber} = A_{\text{chamber}} \times l
\]

where

\[
A_{\text{chamber}} = \text{the area of the circle segment, and}
\]

\[
l = \text{the length of the cylinder.}
\]
The total volume of the space inside the valve chamber where the valve flap is housed can be calculated using the following formula:

\[ A_{chamber} = r^2 \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{r-h}{r}\right) - (r-h)\sqrt{2rh-h^2} \]

where

- \( r \) is the radius of the circle of which the segment is a part = 3.2 mm
- \( h \) is the height of the segment = 3.4 mm

The volume of the flap inside the valve chamber can be divided into two parts. The volume of the thick section of the flap, \( V_{flap,thick} \), and volume of the thin part of the flap inside the chamber, \( V_{flap,thin} \).

\[ V_{flap,thick} = \text{volume of the thick segment of the flap} = \frac{\text{Volume of the cylinder}}{2} \]

\[ V_{flap,thick} = \frac{\frac{3^2 \times \pi \times 1}{2}}{2} = 14.1 \text{ mm}^3 \]

and

\[ V_{flap,thin} = \text{volume of the thin segment of the flap inside the valve chamber} \]

\[ V_{flap,thin} = 3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 = 0.12 \text{ mm}^3 \]

Therefore, the dead volume can be calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Dead volume} = V_{chamber} - V_{flap,thick} - V_{flap,thin} = 26.4 - 14.1 - 0.12 = 12.1 \text{ mm}^3 \]
Figure 73: Diagram of geometry used for the approximation of dead volume in a valve structure with a flap thickness of 1 mm and a gap size of 0.5 mm on top of the flap. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

The 0.5 mm gap above the flap is chosen for ease of fabrication and assembly in prototyping. The dead volume could be further reduced in this case by decreasing the size of gap between the flap and the top layer of the cavity from 500 to 200 µm, which is the channel depth for the underlying microfluidic channel system.

Viewed together, these results show that a thicker flap structure increases the burst pressure and the valve flap should be made as thick as possible to allow the valve design to be as robust as possible. On the other hand, there is a direct relationship between the valve’s dead volume and the flap thickness: excess thickness increases the undesired dead volume, affecting miniaturization and density of the devices. Ultimately, the valve must be designed for sufficiently large burst pressure for the system being designed, while also minimizing the dead volume, as the two characteristics result in a trade-off.

5.2.5.2 Operation of Multiple Valves for Flow Switching

In this section, arrays of two parallel valves are fabricated and tested to evaluate use of the valve design in arrays and flow routing mechanisms in continuous flow applications (Figure 74). In many applications, it is important to be able to route flow through the different pathways in a system without disturbing the flow rate in a
continuous flow situation. Furthermore, the valves developed in this thesis are also intended to be part of the μROAMS platforms previously described in Section 1.1 in which samples are manipulated throughout a device according to reconfigurable flow paths, which requires flow switching between multiple channels using a valve array. As shown in Figure 74, the two parallel valves are used to regulate fluid flow direction within the microfluidic system by switching the flow from one branch of a Y-shaped channel to the other, with one valve in each branch. Small permanent magnets providing 80 mT at each M-CP valve seat location are used for actuation of the valve flaps. A similar test setup to that shown in Figure 69 is connected to the array of two parallel valves for the flow switching tests. In these tests, the flow direction is switched between the two branches of the Y-shaped channel by near-simultaneously opening one valve and closing the other. As a result, the flow path (inlet to outlet) is not fully blocked at any time during operation and continuous flow is successfully maintained in one of the two branches. The valves show great performance for regulating flow, and are tested for flow rates as high as 1 mL/min in microfluidic channels 200 μm wide and 200 μm deep, and up to 5 mL/min for larger channels 1 mm wide and 500 μm deep. The fact that a 2.3 mm thick flap is able to stop the flow for pressures up to 9.65 kPa means that such valve can be used to successfully change the flow direction in, for example, a 10 cm long microfluidic flow path with the following microfluidic channel cross-sectional dimensions: width =200 μm, height = 200 μm for flow rates as high as 280 μL/min (resulting in a back pressure of 9.1 kPa). This is acceptable considering the typical flow rate for many microfluidic systems ranges from few μL/min to tens of μL/min [21,23,31,79,105,139,140,155–159].
Figure 74: Microfluidic channel system designed to test the performance of the valves in an array for flow switching between two branches in a Y-shaped microfluidic channel system. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

5.2.5.3 Actuation Mechanism

A method of controlling the external magnetic field applied to each valve is needed to provide electrical actuation control of each valve in an array. An electromagnet-based array, where each valve is under separate electromagnetic control, is currently under development by other researchers in μIL [160]. For the purposes of the testing detailed in this thesis, permanent magnets are mounted on miniature linear motors, which are then used to move the permanent magnets under the appropriate valves, as shown in Figure 75. In this configuration, the permanent magnet is used to hold a given valve in the closed position. To open the valve, the linear motor is actuated to move the magnet away from the flap, allowing fluid pressure to build up behind the flap, thus opening the valve. In addition to providing an easy platform for testing, this set-up also enables testing of important parameters required for determining the best method to actuate an array of valves using external fields, such as the most effective direction to move the permanent magnet under the valve for the sharpest and most effective transition from the closed valve position to the open valve position, and vice versa.

The motion of the linear motor between open and closed positions is shown in Figure 75. This method, when used with an array of linear motors, provides individual electrically-controlled actuation of each valve in an array. Furthermore, each linear motor only consumes power when the motor is moving the permanent magnet to change the valve state. This is an advantage over magnetic actuation mechanisms using electromagnets, which require power to be continuously applied to keep the valve at its
closed position. This issue is addressed by using a permanent magnet mounted on a miniature linear motor, as well as latching force supplied by the magnetized M-CP valve seat. This method provides bi-stable actuation that does not consume any power when not switching.

A single Miniature Linear Motion Series PQ12 (Firgelli Technologies Inc.) is used for the proof-of-concept of this magnetic force application technique, as shown in Figure 75 and Figure 76. Figure 75 shows a cross-sectional view of the experimental setup, where a single valve is shown for demonstration purposes.

Figure 75: Diagram of the microfluidic system used to test the valve’s actuation mechanism. (a) top-down view, (b) cross-sectional view of the valve chamber with the actuation mechanism for providing the external magnetic force to the valve. This diagram includes the miniature linear motor and permanent magnet located under the valve chamber. This diagram is for illustration purpose and does not represent exact dimensional ratios.
Figure 76: Miniature Linear Motion Series PQ12 from Firgelli Technologies Inc. used to move an external magnetic field, as provided by a small permanent magnet, to actuate different valves in the microfluidic system.

In the experiments previously described in Sections 5.2.5.1 and 5.2.5.2, magnetic actuation is only used to hold the valve flap in the closed position. The valve is opened by relying on the pressure of the liquid to push the valve flap open when the permanent magnet moves away from the valve seat. However, a common issue with PDMS-based microfluidic systems is failure of moving elements due to static friction between the moving parts in the device. For the valve presented in this chapter, it is discovered through testing that there is a 20% chance of the valve failing to open properly after switching to the open valve position at least once during 50 actuation cycles for valves operating at 200 μL/min flow rate. This is likely due to the inability of the fluid pressure to immediately overcome the static friction between the flap and the valve seat, thus interrupting the continuous fluid flow. As one of the major design goals is to achieve a reliable routing system for continuous flow conditions, this issue has to be addressed. Active bi-directional actuation, where the valve is forced both open and closed by a magnetic field, is employed to improve the performance of the valve. The permanent magnetization of the valve flap actuator facilitates this bi-directional operation. Figure 77 shows an example of such bi-directional actuation, where two small, oppositely polarized permanent magnets are attached to a linear motor. Conversely, an electromagnet can be used, and the opposite polarities can be achieved by reversing the electromagnet’s current. However, this solution will require continuous current to hold the valve closed.

A reversed magnetic field actively repels the flap and forces the valve open, as the M-CP is permanently magnetized and retains its specified polarity. Soft-magnetic Fe-based particles used in previous microfluidic designs by other researchers can only be
attracted to a magnetic field, not repelled by it. As a result, the apparatus shown in Figure 77 would result in attraction regardless of the polarity of the externally applied field. The ability to use bi-directional actuation is one of the main advantages of using a hard-magnetic material, such as the NdFeB-based powder used in all of the devices presented in this thesis. It is confirmed through testing that failure of the valve to open when commanded is successfully reduced from 20% in 50 cycles to 0% using the bi-directional actuation mechanism shown in Figure 77.

As the valves are not symmetrical in all directions, a series of experiments is performed to determine the optimum direction of motion for the permanent magnet beneath the valve seat to achieve the sharpest and most effective transition between the open and closed valve position. The magnet travel distance required to switch between the open valve and closed valve positions in each direction is also determined.

Valves with a flap thickness of approximately 2.1 mm are used for these characterization tests. The center of the circular magnet is initially aligned directly beneath the center of the circular valve flap. Next, the permanent magnet is moved away from the center of the valve flap along the 3 axes shown in Figure 78. Four possible distinct scenarios are tested for the movement of the permanent magnet: a) moving the magnet vertically downwards away from the valve seat in the “Z” direction (indicated as “Z” in Figure 79); b) moving the magnet horizontally in either the positive or the negative “Y” direction (indicated as “Y” in Figure 79); c) moving the magnet horizontally in the
negative “X” direction (indicated as “-X” in Figure 79); and d) moving the magnet in the positive “X” direction (indicated as “+X” in Figure 79). In each set of tests, the magnet is moved in 1 mm intervals and the resulting burst pressure is recorded. The results of these measurements are shown in Figure 79.

Figure 78: Illustration of the setup used to determine the most effective direction for moving the magnet as determined by the sharpest transition from closed valve position to open valve position. The axes indicate the direction along which the permanent magnet is moved. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].
Figure 79: Effect of the different directions in which the permanent magnet is moved under the valve on the valve’s performance when switched between open and closed valve positions. These results indicate that moving the permanent magnet in the “-X” direction provides the sharpest transition from closed valve position to open valve position. This image is reprinted with permission from [126].

Along the X and Y axis, the magnet is moved in both positive and negative directions. As expected, there is no significant difference for the results obtained in the two different directions along the Y axis because the valve design is symmetric in this direction. However, the results for the opposite directions along X axis are very distinctive due to the asymmetric shape of the valve flap in this direction.

The experimental results show that moving an externally applied magnetic field in the “-X” direction provides the most effective actuation, with the sharpest transition from closed valve to open valve, among the 4 different aforementioned scenarios which is explained below. As shown in Figure 79, as the magnet is moved in the “-X” direction, the burst pressure initially increases and then drops with a much greater rate than any of the other directions, which provides the sharpest transition between open and closed Valve positions as described below. This is a result of the magnetic field set up produced by the permanent magnet, which is shown in Figure 80. The lines in Figure 80 show a simplified illustration of the magnetic field of both the permanent magnet and the M-CP valve flap. As the magnet moves in the “-X” direction, the center of the magnet aligns with the center of the thickest part of the flap, providing the maximum attraction force. This causes the burst pressure to increase from the 8.82 kPa initial value. By moving the
magnet further, the attraction force rapidly decreases because there is less overlap between the permanent magnet and the M-CP flap (point C on Figure 79). Eventually, the misalignment becomes large enough that the magnetic field from the edge of the magnet becomes effective and starts to repel the flap (point D on Figure 79). This repulsion drops the burst pressure to below 5.52 kPa, which is the burst pressure measured in absence of any external magnetic field. The smooth transition between the points is due to the continuous change in the actuation magnetic field acting on the flap as the permanent magnet is moved from point A to perfect alignment in point B, maximum burst pressure, and then position D where the magnetic field from the edge of the permanent magnet starts to repel the M-CP flap as shown in Figure 80.

Figure 80: This simplified schematic illustrates the interaction between the magnetic field (illustrated as magnetic field lines) of the permanent magnet and the valve flap as the external magnet field is moved away from the valve’s center. This image is adapted with permission from [126].

A similar phenomenon affects a valve when the externally applied magnetic field (permanent magnet) is moved in the “+X” and the “Y” directions. However, the effect of
this phenomenon on the burst pressure is not significant in these cases because the thin segment of the step-shaped flap is fixed under the valve chamber cover and is not free to move as a result of the repulsion force. Therefore, the most effective actuation scenario is as follows:

- **Closed position**: Aligning the center of the permanent magnet (externally applied magnetic field) with the center of the free-moving part of the flap (i.e. the thick section), shown as position B in Figure 79 and Figure 80.
- **Open position**: Moving the externally applied magnetic field at least 3 mm away from the central position in the “-X” direction, shown as position D in Figure 79 and Figure 80.

It should be noted that, although the prototype actuation design can easily be used for small and medium sizes of valve arrays, it becomes increasingly complicated to physically integrate linear motors for valve actuation into a microfluidic system with an increasingly high number of valves in the array. The footprint of each linear motor is much bigger than the valve itself, which can be a limiting factor for miniaturization. To provide a more scalable design for a large array containing tens or hundreds of valves, other researchers in µIL are investigating the replacement of the linear motor, used to demonstrate the valve developed in this thesis, with a solution that requires a smaller area and power footprint.

### 5.2.6 Comparison with the Prior Art

In this section, structural properties and performance of the step-shaped flap actuators presented in this thesis are compared with prior membrane- and flap-based magnetic microfluidic valves from other researchers. The structural properties and performance are shown in Table 11 and Table 12, respectively.
Table 11: Structural properties of membrane- and flap-based magnetic microfluidic valves. This data is reprinted with permission from [126].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Structural materials</th>
<th>Valve seat</th>
<th>Support structures</th>
<th>Polymer based actuator</th>
<th>Size (mm²)</th>
<th>Displacement (µm)</th>
<th>Fabrication complexity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flap [21]</td>
<td>NiFe and Magnetic foil&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Rubber ring glued to NiFe orifice</td>
<td>NiFe Si</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>High&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [155]</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>Si</td>
<td>plated Ni-Fe and Si</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Several µm</td>
<td>High&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [140]</td>
<td>NiFe</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>NiFe Si/SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>High&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [105]</td>
<td>Si/Al plated on PDMS</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Si/SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>High&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [23]</td>
<td>NiFe CoNiMnP&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Au</td>
<td>NiFe Si</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>High&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [156]</td>
<td>PDMS doped with iron oxide</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>Low&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve presented in this thesis</td>
<td>Rare-earth M-CP</td>
<td>Rare-earth M-CP</td>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>Low&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> A piece of rolled magnetic coil (1.5 mm × 5 mm) is mounted on the NiFe membrane.

<sup>b</sup> The CoNiMnP hard-magnetic material is electroplated on a NiFe membrane.

<sup>c</sup> The fabrication process requires multiple steps of overly complicated surface micromachining processes.

<sup>d</sup> The fabrication process can be done with relatively low cost prototyping equipment and involves process steps with relatively low complexity.
Table 12: Comparison of the performance of membrane- and flap-based magnetic microfluidic valves. This data is reprinted with permission from [126].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Valve type</th>
<th>Latching</th>
<th>Bi-directional actuation</th>
<th>Actuation magnetic field strength (mT)</th>
<th>Flow rate (µl/min)</th>
<th>Leakage rate (µl/min)</th>
<th>Burst pressure (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flap [21]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3-30</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [155]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [140]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [105]</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>1.4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [23]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>NS&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>10-50</td>
<td>0.16-0.8</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane [156]&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve presented in this thesis</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>1000&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.65&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> NS = Not Specified in the paper
<sup>b</sup> The membrane actuator developed in [156] is tested for drug delivery applications as a pumping element and not for valve applications. Therefore, the performance of the membrane actuator as a microfluidic valve is not characterized in the paper.
<sup>c</sup> This result is for valves with flap thickness of approximately 2.3 mm actuated under 80 mT magnetic field.
<sup>d</sup> This result is for a microfluidic channel system with channels sized 200 µm wide and 200 µm deep. Flow rates up to 5 mL/min for larger channels of up to 1 mm wide and 500 µm deep was achieved. However, because the widths of the larger channels are in mm range, 1 mm wide, this result is not shown in the comparison table.

Among the membrane- or flap-type valves listed in Table 11 and Table 12, the membrane actuator reported by So et al. [156] is the only one that features an all-polymer actuator, and as a result it is the closest example to the valve structure presented in this thesis. Their magnetic membrane actuator uses PDMS doped with a soft-magnetic material, iron oxide powder, to create a magnetic polymer. The use of a Fe-based soft-magnetic material means that a relatively large external magnetic field of 500 mT is required to actuate the membrane. It should be noted that the membrane actuator developed by So et al. in [156] is tested as a pumping element for drug delivery
applications and not for valve applications. Therefore, the performance of the membrane actuator as a microfluidic valve is not characterized in the paper.

All the other valve structures listed in Table 11 and Table 12: Comparison of the performance of membrane- and flap-based magnetic microfluidic valves. This data is reprinted with permission from [126].

are based on complicated surface and bulk micromachining of Si and metallic layers. In comparison, the simple fabrication techniques described in this thesis, based on low-cost polymer material, makes it much more suitable for the development of low cost disposables and rapid prototyping polymeric systems, as well as easier integration into sophisticated polymer based microfluidic systems. Furthermore, as can be seen in Table 11 and Table 12: Comparison of the performance of membrane- and flap-based magnetic microfluidic valves. This data is reprinted with permission from [126].

, these advantages do not come with a significant sacrifice on the valve performance, with the valve presented in this thesis being comparable with prior devices in terms of burst pressure, maximum flow rate and the required actuation magnetic field strength.

5.3 Summary and Conclusion

In this chapter, a step-shaped flap actuator is developed using the hard-magnetic composite polymer. The hard-magnetic M-CP structures used for the valve actuator can achieve high forces and large, bi-directional displacement. The proposed step-shaped flap actuator design is easy to integrate with other commonly used PDMS-based microfluidic components, as well as other active microfluidic components that use the same novel M-CP material, including the ones presented in this thesis such as cilia actuators presented in Chapter 4 and membrane actuators presented in Chapter 6. Furthermore, the performance of this step-shaped M-CP flap actuator is demonstrated as an active magnetically-actuated all-polymer microfluidic valve. The design, fabrication,
and detailed performance characterization of the new microfluidic valve is also presented in this chapter. This new valve also employs the same M-CP material in a novel magnetic valve seat that enhances the valve’s performance. Furthermore, similar to what was earlier discussed for the cilia actuators in Chapter 4, the valves presented in this thesis can be fabricated into arrays for the creation of complex microfluidic systems. This design avoids the use of non-polymeric hard-magnetic solid pieces and metallic thin films incorporated into many of the previous magnetic microfluidic valve designs, giving this valve the advantages of easy fabrication, easy integration, and high degree of flexibility, as well as resilience against mechanical failure. Experimental results presented in this chapter show that the valve can function as either an on-off valve for completely stopping flow inside a microfluidic channel, or in arrays to regulate the flow direction inside a microfluidic system with parallel flow channels. It is demonstrated that a 2.3 mm thick step-shaped flap, 6 mm in diameter, and actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field completely stops fluid flow in a single microfluidic channel, sized 200 µm wide and 200 µm deep, for pressures up to 9.65 kPa. In an array, this valve design also shows high performance for flow switching between multiple microfluidic channels under continuous flow conditions. An array of valves for flow routing is demonstrated for flow rates up to 5 mL/min in relatively larger microfluidic channels of up to 1 mm wide and 500 µm deep. Failure of the valve to open when commanded within the first 50 on/off cycles is successfully reduced from 20 % to 0 % through an active opening scheme, which is made possible due to the bi-directional actuation capability of the permanently magnetized M-CP material used in the valve design. Use of an M-CP valve seat provides a latching mechanism for pressures up to 5.52 kPa for a 2.3 mm thick flap actuated under an 80 mT magnetic field, as well as ensuring close contact and strong sealing between the valve flap and seat, further enhancing the valve’s performance. Finally, optimization tests are performed on the actuation mechanism to find the effective actuation scheme that can provide the most effective transition from closed valve position to open valve position and vice versa. Future work will include replacement of the miniature linear motor with a different mechanism that will significantly reduce the area and power footprint of the actuation mechanism and will be more suitable for integration of a large number of valves.
5.4 Related Publications

The results of this work have been presented at the following peer reviewed conferences:

1. Rahbar, M., Shannon, L., Gray, B. L., “Arrayable Microfluidic Valves Based on Rare Earth Permanently Magnetic Polymer for Use in Microfluidic Flow Switching,” MicroTAS Proceedings The 18th International Conference on Miniaturized Systems for Chemistry and Life Sciences, 45, 2014. (Selected for oral presentation at MicroTAS conference. Less than 10% of the submitted papers were accepted for oral presentation)

Chapter 6 - Hybrid M-CP/PDMS Actuators for Large and Bidirectional Surface Deflections

In addition to cilia and flap-type actuators, actuators used to deflect the surface of a device are another commonly used type of actuator for many microfluidic systems. For example, surfaces that are supported in all sides, membrane actuators, are used in many applications, including but not limited to microfluidic pumps and valves [23,105,140,155,156]. In this section, the focus is on development of an effective hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator capable of providing large and bi-directional cyclic actuation on the surface of PDMS devices with microfluidic structures patterned on the surface, which can be easily integrated with other PDMS based microfluidic systems. The hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators used for surface deflection developed in this thesis needs to be also compatible with other magnetic actuators based on the same M-CP material including the other M-CP component developed in this thesis and previously described in Chapters 4 and 5.

There are three main motivations for the development of the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator described in this chapter. These main motivations are explain bellow:

- As previously shown in Section 3.3.1, M-CP (PDMS doped with rare-earth magnetic powder with a weight percentage of 80 wt-%) results in a relatively stiffer membrane when compared to the un-doped PDMS, requiring larger external forces for actuation. This means increased electromagnetic fields are required for effective actuation of a doped actuators as compared to one without any particles. Use of a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator with the majority of the surface made out of un-doped PDMS increases the overall compliancy and actuation range of the actuator due to the much higher elasticity of un-doped PDMS. This higher elasticity also provides a higher degree of conformity. Therefore, a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator needs less magnetic force to actuate the membrane and as a result a smaller magnetic field can be utilized for the actuation mechanism.

- In addition, doping of PDMS with rare-earth magnetic powder makes it opaque to visible and ultraviolet (UV) light. Loss of transparency can be a big limitation in many applications where visual monitoring and optical detection of samples are required such as the cell manipulation platform developed and explained later in this chapter (Section 6.2). Using a hybrid M-CP/PDMS structure limits the

---

4 The material presented in this section is based on the work that has been published in [109,125] with permission.
regions of the actuator that are opaque due to the M-CP, keeping the majority of the surface of the structure, including the areas that contain microfluidic channels and reaction chambers, transparent for proper optical monitoring and detection.

- For microfluidic devices that contain multi-layers of structures (e.g., μROAMS), proper alignment of multiple layers of opaque material and components containing M-CP can add considerable difficulty to the fabrication and integration processes, particularly with small feature sizes (in the micrometer range). Keeping the component as transparent as possible by reducing the footprint of the areas fabricated from M-CP reduces the fabrication and integration difficulties in such complex platforms.

To meet these aforementioned requirements, a modified soft-lithography micro-fabrication technique is employed to micro-pattern M-CP directly on top of PDMS. This technique limits the opaque and less compliant magnetically-doped areas only to the small actuating structure on top of the un-doped PDMS microfluidic structure, leaving the majority of the structure as un-doped and more compliant and transparent PDMS. This fabrication of hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators is achieved with no need for extra assembling processes, which makes it simple to fabricate and integrate these actuators into the complex microfluidic systems.

The design and fabrication of the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators are described in Section 6.1. This section also contains a comparison of the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator with a similar actuator fabricated entirely from M-CP. In Section 6.2, the performance of hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators are characterized by employing them in the fabrication of a cell stimulation platform used for applying mechanical extracellular stimulation on a biological cell monolayer. This capability is combined with the possibility of continuously monitoring of the monolayer alignment and individual cell migration. This cell stimulation platform demonstrates the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators in fabrication of effective microfluidic elements in complex LOC and μTAS devices.
6.1 Hybrid M-CP/PDMS Actuators for Increased Actuator Compliancy and Actuation Range

In order to realize the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators, M-CP active structures are patterned on top of a thin un-doped PDMS layer using a damascene-like process similar to the fabrication technique described in Figure 81. Figure 82 shows an example of M-CP structures patterned on top of a 500 µm PDMS substrate using the process steps shown in Figure 81.

Figure 81: Process steps of micro-patterning of M-CP actuators on the top surface of a thin PDMS substrate. (a) Patterning PMMA mold using a CO$_2$ Universal Laser System’s VersaLASER$^\text{®}$ laser ablation system. (b) Pouring M-CP. (c) Removing excess M-CP from the surface. (d) Pouring PDMS and curing. (e) Demolding.
Figure 82: Photograph of M-CP structures patterned on top of a 500 µm PDMS substrate using the process steps shown in Figure 81.

It was previously shown that un-doped thin PDMS has higher elasticity compared to a similarly sized M-CP material (see Section 3.3.1 for more details). However, this improved elasticity comes at a cost, as switching from a structure made entirely out of M-CP to a hybrid M-CP/PDMS design reduces the volume of magnetic material presented in the structure, reducing the actuation force for the same actuation magnetic field. The hybrid design must use PDMS as appropriate to improve flexibility of the device, while retaining sufficient M-CP material to ensure high actuation forces and large deflection, and also maintaining a straightforward design for assembly. To justify the more complicated fabrication process, the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator must be capable of achieving higher compliancy and actuation range compared to a similar magnetic actuator fabricated entirely from M-CP. In order to show this, two series of devices are fabricated and their performances are compared. One series of devices are made entirely from M-CP material with a M-CP base plate that have thicknesses ranging from 100 µm to 1 mm and M-CP structures at the middle of the base as shown in Figure 83(a). The devices from the other series are made from hybrid M-CP/PDMS structures, with a PDMS base that have thicknesses ranging from 100 µm to 1 mm with and M-CP structures at the middle of the base as shown in Figure 83(b). Both sets of structures are supported on 3 sides and free on one side and they are actuated under a 300 mT external magnetic field provided by a small permanent magnet (Figure 83).
Figure 83: Illustration of the actuators used for testing the effect of M-CP doping of the base plate on the actuation range for two actuator types: (a) M-CP actuator with an M-CP base plate and (b) hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator with an un-doped PDMS base plate. Actuation magnetic field = 300 mT. This diagram is for illustration purpose and does not represent exact dimensional ratios.

Table 13 and Figure 84 show the average values for maximum actuation range for the two types of actuators shown in Figure 83. All of these actuators are actuated under a 300 mT external magnetic field.

Table 13: Actuation range for hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators (Figure 83(a)) and M-CP actuators (Figure 83(b)) with different thicknesses for the base plate ranging from 100 µm to 1 mm. Width of the base plate = 1 mm for all actuators. Three samples are measure for each thickness.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thickness of the base plate (µm)</th>
<th>M-CP/PDMS hybrid actuator measurements</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>M-CP actuator measurements</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Actuation range improvement (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>115 110 115</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>70 70 65 68</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>90 95 90 92</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>55 55 55 55</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>75 75 70 73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>50 45 45 47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>50 50 45 48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40 35 40 38</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>30 30 30 30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30 25 25 27</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0 5 5 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0 0 0 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As can be seen in Figure 84, using an un-doped base increases the actuation range significantly for thin actuators. For a base with 100 µm thickness and 1 mm width, a 67% increase in actuation range is achieved under the same applied magnetic field of 300 mT. Increasing the thickness of the base reduces the flexibility in both cases. Figure 84 shows the deflection data in Table 12 overlaid for both actuator designs. For thin base plates with large membrane deflections, the increased flexibility of the base material using the hybrid approach substantially increased the actuation range. For example, a 100-µm-thick, un-doped base achieved a 67% increase in actuation range as compared to an M-CP plate under the same 300 mT actuation. Hybrid actuators with thicker bases (between 600 and 800 µm) performed poorer than all M-CP structures, as the actuation force present with the M-CP base is more important than the flexibility of the material for the low deflections in this range.

Figure 84: Actuation range for hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators (Figure 83(a)) and M-CP actuators (Figure 83(b)) at different base thicknesses. Width of the base plate = 1 mm for all tested actuators. Vertical error bars represent one standard deviation over 3 samples at each thickness.

Increasing the thickness of the base reduces the flexibility of the actuator in both cases for the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator and the M-CP actuator.
The deflection of a plate which is fully supported along three edges and free on the fourth edge such as the actuator structure shown in Figure 85 can be calculated using the beam action analysis. The deflection of the free edge of the plate is found by using the following formula:

\[
d = \frac{5qa^4b}{384EI} \left(1 - \frac{5a^2 - 6b^2}{5a^2 + 6b^2}\right)
\]  

(6.1)

where \(d\) is the maximum deflection of the plate on the midpoint of the free edge, \(E\) is Young’s modulus, \(t\) is the plate’s thickness, \(I\) is the second moment of inertia \((I = bt^3/12)\), \(q\) is the total load, \(a\) is the plate width, and \(b\) is the plate depth.

As shown in this formula, maximum deflection of such a plate is inversely proportional to its thickness \((t)\) to the power of three. Therefore, as the membrane’s thickness increases, the membrane actuation range rapidly decreases for both M-CP and hybrid M-CP/PDMS membrane actuators. In addition, the above formula shows that a membrane’s maximum deflection is also inversely proportional to the Young’s modulus \((E)\) of the plate. According to the Table 4 previously presented in Section 3.3.1, the undoped PDMS has a Young’s modulus of 0.522 MPa whereas the M-CP (PDMS doped with 80 wt-% of rare-earth magnetic powder) has an increased Young’s modulus of 1.623 MPa. This increased Young’s modulus value of the M-CP explains the decreased actuation range of the M-CP actuator when compared to the hybrid M-CP/PDMS membrane actuator. The relationship between the reduced deflection range, and membrane thickness predicted by this formula is in agreement with the experimental data presented in Table 11 and Figure 80. The relationship between the M-CP membrane’s
flexibility and the Young’s modulus of the material is also as predicted. This provides experimental verification of our model of M-CP actuator performance.

The hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators are further characterized by a series of tests to find the relationship between the actuator’s width and the actuation range of the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators. As shown in Figure 86, hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators with different widths ranging from 0.5 mm to 3 mm are used for these characterization tests. The PMMA structures are fabricated using a CO₂ Universal Laser System’s VersaLASER© laser ablation system to provide a testbed for the actuation.

Figure 86: Design of the test structure used to characterize the relationship between the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator’s width and actuation range. This diagram is for illustration purpose and is not to scale.

Figure 87 shows the relationship between the actuator’s width and the maximum deflection range under a 300 mT actuation magnetic field using hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators.
Figure 87: Relationship between the actuator’s width and the actuator’s maximum deflection in a 300 mT magnetic field (thickness = 150 µm). This graph is adapted with permission from [109].

As shown in Figure 87, for a 150 µm thick actuator and with a width of 1 mm, a 100 µm maximum deflection is achieved under a 300 mT actuation magnetic field.

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators in fabrication of microfluidic devices for use in LOC and µTAS devices, a third application device is fabricated and successfully tested. In this device, the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators described above are incorporated into a thin PDMS substrate containing microfluidic channels, used for culturing cell monolayers. The device is used to apply mechanical stress and strain stimulations on the biological cell monolayer. The details of this device are described in the following section.

6.2 Hybrid M-CP/DPMS Actuator Application: Cell Stimulation Platform

The endothelium is a monolayer of cells called endothelial cells that line the interior surface of all blood and lymphatic vessels [166]. Many researchers have studied the behavioral response of endothelial cells when subjected to mechanical stimulations [167]. These cells, at the interface of the bloodstream and the vesicular wall, are continuously subjected to mechanical stimulations such as cyclic stretch, fluid dynamic shear stress due to blood flow, and stretch forces due to the transmural pressure
difference, in vivo [128,167]. It is widely recognized that these mechanical stimulations play an important role in cardiovascular physiology and regulate vascular function through a variety of mechanotransduction-related signaling pathways, which result in altered cell shape, function, and migration [128,167–169]. The majority of previous studies on these cells have focused on the effects of shear stress and stretch forces separately. The accuracy of these tests are partly compromised and influenced by using macro-scale instruments, in which mechanical stimulation cannot be easily controlled or visualized [125,164,165]. Employing micro-scale devices provides a testing environment that is closer to the in vivo micro-environment can provide valuable information on the effect of these forces on the endothelial cells in micro-environments.

Microfluidic devices offer the desired micro-environment and new ways to study the effect of the extra cellular stimuli on the spreading and migration of endothelial cells with locally controlled mechanical and chemical stimuli [125,166]. Furthermore, microfluidic channels make it possible to control the shape of the endothelial cells in the absence of fluid flow by simply confining the cells, in order to determine the impact of function properties on the shape of the cells [125]. Shear stress-induced elongation of endothelial cells can trigger a wide range of functional responses. Therefore, control of the cell shape using the relatively non-invasive technique of microfluidics confinement has the potential benefit of altering endothelial cell shape without eliciting the other responses, allowing for analysis that hasn’t been achievable with previous methods [125].

Although many studies have been previously done on the effect of mechanical stimuli on cells [167–171], only a few previous works have been done on endothelial cells [125]. Therefore, there is a great need for the development of microfluidic platforms that provide simultaneous non-invasive control of the endothelial cell shape, as well as controlled fluid flow mechanical shear stress and controlled tension/compression of the endothelial cell culture surface. Such a combination of endothelial cell stimulations would provide a valuable tool for vascular cell mechanics research [125].

In one study by Y. Kamotani et al. [172], a device is developed which consists of 24 miniature cell stretching chambers with flexible bottom membranes that are deformed using the elements of a Braille display system. The tests performed on human dermal
microvascular endothelial cells (HDMECs) and mouse myogenic C2C12 cells showed increasing degrees of alignment and elongation in response to cyclic stretch at increasing frequencies of 0.2, 1, and 5 Hz, after 2, 4, and 12 hours. Strain amplitude is not mentioned in the manuscript. The main limitation of this system is the lack of microfluidic flow capabilities in the system [125].

In another study by Huh et al. [173], a bio-mimetic micro-systems is developed which contains two parallel microfluidic channels separated by a 10 μm thick, porous, flexible membrane made of PDMS. Human alveolar epithelial cells are cultured in one side of the thin membrane, which is in contact with air. Human pulmonary microvascular endothelial cells are cultured on the other sides of the thin membrane which is filled with liquid. This structure mimics the air-liquid alveolar-capillary interface. The thin membrane can be stretched cyclically using adjacent vacuum channels. The performance of this micro-system is also limited by a lack of microfluidic flow, as well as the relatively large size of the substrate sheet and supporting pneumatic systems [125].

Optical laser tweezers have also been used to locally apply mechanical tensile and compressive forces on single cells trapped in wells in a microfluidic system [174]. However, these microfluidic systems are unable to apply simultaneous forces to multiple cells or multilayers, and the applied forces are limited in magnitude [125].

There is a great need for the development of microfluidic platforms that provide simultaneous non-invasive control of endothelial cell shape as well as simultaneous controlled fluid flow, mechanical shear stress, and controlled tension/compression of the endothelial cell culture surface. Such a combination of endothelial cell stimulations would provide a valuable tool for vascular cell mechanics research [125]. The magnetic composite polymer developed in this thesis offers numerous advantages as an actuation mechanism for flexible and highly responsive microfluidic systems which require fast, highly controlled, and localized actuations [12,125]. As such, a magnetically actuated system using the M-CP technology developed in this thesis is an ideal candidate for developing such a microfluidic platform.

The next section, Section 6.2.1, details the design and fabrication of the microfluidic platform employing the new hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator described in
Section 6.1, which provides bi-directional actuation for controlled stretch/compression of endothelial cell culture. The experimental results are obtained by Dr. Gray and our collaborator, Dr. Abdul Barakat at Ecole Polytechnique, France, using devices that are designed jointly between the candidate, Dr. Gray, and Dr. Barakat; and fabricated primarily by the candidate. Experimental setup and experimental results are discusses in Section 6.2.2 and Section 6.3 respectively.

6.2.1 Design and Fabrication

Two different fabrication techniques are used for fabrication of the test platforms. One uses an SU-8 mold, while the other uses a PMMA mold. In both cases, each of the platforms contains a thin layer of PDMS substrate with a microfluidic channel and two integrated M-CP actuators, which are micro-patterned on the PDMS surface. Each of the two M-CP structures are located on one side of the microfluidic channel along the entire length of the channel (Figure 89 and Figure 91). Each of the tested microfluidic platforms are permanently mounted on a 1 mm thick PDMS spacer that allows bi-directional actuation of the actuator, and are fixed inside a 100-mm-diameter Petri dish. Fixing the platforms inside the Petri dish helps to prevent horizontal movement of the platform in the Petri dish when subjected to an external actuation magnetic field. Without this step, when the actuators are in an opposite polarity with the applied external magnetic field, the repulsion force from the thicker M-CP actuators is great enough to repel an un-mounted platform out of the Petri dish.

Figure 88 and Figure 90 illustrate the different steps involved in the fabrication of each microfluidic platform using the SU-8 and PMMA molds, respectively[125], while Figure 89 and Figure 91 illustrate the resulting platform in each case.
Figure 88: Fabrication process using the SU-8 molds: (a) SU-8 micro-mold is fabricated following standard SU-8 fabrication techniques; (b) PDMS is molded, cured, and de-molded; (c) M-CP is filled where actuators will be located, and the excess M-CP is removed; device is cured at 80 °C for 2 hours and placed in a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla external magnetic field after curing for permanent magnetization; (e) PDMS lid is bonded to the chip using corona surface activation technique.

Figure 89: (a) schematic and (b) photograph of the device fabricated using SU-8 mold.
Figure 90: Fabrication process using the PMMA molds: (a) PMMA micro-mold is fabricated using multi-step laser ablation to achieve multi-level mold; (b) M-CP is applied where actuators will be; (c) excess M-CP is scraped off, and M-CP is cured; (d) PDMS is molded; (e) PDMS is cured, and de-mold; device is placed in a 1.8 ± 0.2 Tesla external magnetic field after curing for permanent magnetization; (f) PDMS lid is bonded to the chip using corona surface activation technique.

Figure 91: (a) schematic and (b) photograph of the device fabricated using PMMA multi-level mold and modified soft-lithography technique.
Both fabrication techniques are employed to achieve different size ranges for the microfluidic channel’s width and depth, as well as the M-CP structure’s width and height, and the distance between the microfluidic channel edges and the edges of the M-CP structures. Table 14 shows the dimensional ranges for both the SU-8 molded devices and the PMMA molded devices. Three different channel lengths are used for both types of molded devices: 1 cm, 2 cm, and 3 cm. The SU-8 molds are fabricated following standard SU-8 fabrication techniques in a single SU-8 layer for simplicity, while the PMMA molds are fabricated as two-level molds. The two level PMMA mold helps to further increase the thicknesses of the M-CP actuator and achieve actuator heights that are much larger than the microfluidic channel depths. This is not the case for the single layer SU-8 molds, which results in the same depth for the channel and the M-CP structures.

The double layer PMMA mold and thicker M-CP structures help to increase the magnetic attraction/repulsion of the actuators, as compared to the platforms fabricated using SU-8 mold. However, as shown in Figure 92 it is noted that using these methods for lid attachment are not optimal; a gap between the lid and the device surface in the area between the M-CP actuators, and over the microfluidic channel, often results due to either an overfilled M-CP reservoir and/or difficulty in manually sealing the lid close to the channel. It is estimated that a gap of up to 70 μm may exists between the device surface and the lid.
Figure 92: Illustration of (a) and (b) ideal case for attaching the lid to the microfluidic base, and (c) and (d) a small gap, up to approximately 70 µm, that may exist between the lid and the base due to either (c) an overfilled M-CP reservoir in case of the devices made using SU-8 molds, or (d) difficulty in manually aligning and sealing the lid close to the channel in the case of devices fabricated using PMMA molds.

Table 14: This table shows the dimensional properties of fabricated cell stimulation platforms using the SU-8 Molds and PMMA molds. This data is reprinted with permission from [125], © 2014 IEEE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Master Mold Employed</th>
<th>SU-8 molds</th>
<th>PMMA molds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>channel widths</td>
<td>50 – 500 µm</td>
<td>100 – 200 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channel depth</td>
<td>60 µm</td>
<td>160 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actuator width</td>
<td>2-4 mm</td>
<td>2 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>actuator height</td>
<td>60 µm</td>
<td>400 – 500 µm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gap between actuator and channel</td>
<td>100 µm</td>
<td>1 – 2 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2.2 Experimental Setup

A similar controlled actuation system to the one used for valve actuation (Section 4.2) is used for testing the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators. As shown in Figure 94, a small permanent magnet is mounted on a miniature linear motor. For proof-of-concept testing,
a testing apparatus with a permanent magnet attached to a linear actuator (L12-P-50, Fergelli, Inc) is employed. Based on the polarity of the permanent magnet and the actuators on the platform, the permanent magnet can provide either tension or compression on the microfluidic channel surface. The use of permanently magnetized M-CP in the actuation fabrication allows the tension and compression force that can be reversed using the same miniature linear motor by using two permanent magnets with opposite polarities as shown in Figure 95. The magnetic actuators are attracted toward the bottom of the Petri dish, resulting in a compressive force on the microfluidic channel bottom surface (Figure 93).

![Diagram](image)

Figure 93: Illustration shown endothelial cells grown in microfluidic channels in devices made using (a) SU-8 molds and (b) PMMA mold.

The actuation magnetic field is measured to be approximately 50 mT at the microfluidic channel, providing 1 mm deflection, which is equivalent to the height of the spacers used in the tests. The platforms are then mounted under an inverted microscope equipped with a CCD camera for cell migration/elongation monitoring.
Figure 94: Photograph of the linear actuator, L12-P-50, Fergelli, Inc, used to move the permanent magnet underneath the platform.

Figure 95: Using two permanent magnets with opposite polarities in the actuation mechanism can provide bi-directional actuation.
The hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator provides large and bi-directional actuation due to the use of permanently magnetized M-CP.

The speed and degree of mechanical stimulation is controlled by closed-loop computer-controlled movement of the magnet. The microfluidic channel devices are viewed under an inverted microscope (Nikon TS100) equipped with a CCD camera, and images are captured using Micromanager, which allowed cell monitoring over the entire course of each experiment (Figure 97).

Two sets of experiments are performed to demonstrate that the proof-of-concept devices are compatible with ECs and could be employed to provide mechanical
stimulation, compression/tension, potentially simultaneously with other stimuli such as microfluidic channel confinement and/or fluid flow shear stress.

In the first set of test, the endothelial cells are cultured on the bottom surface of the microfluidic channel without covering the channels and without providing fluid flow. In these tests, cells are viewed on the surface of the PDMS in the gap between the microfluidic channel and the M-CP actuators rather than within a microfluidic channel. Devices fabricated with PMMA molds are used to ensure a wide gap. A 50 mT magnetic field at 1 Hz is applied to compress BAECs grown on the bottom surface of the channel for a 2-hour period. Images are taken every 20 minutes. Photographs of control (un-stimulated) cells are also taken over a 2-hour period.

In the second set of tests, the microfluidic channels are enclosed with lid to provide fluid flow conditions. In these tests, cells are subject to both microfluidic channel confinement and flow using microfluidic channels approximately 60 μm deep, 150 μm wide, and 2 cm long, using devices that are fabricated employing SU-8 molds. A 40 μL/min flow rate of cell culture medium (corresponding to a minimum of 20 dyne/cm² fluid flow shear stress on the BAECs) is applied to the cells for 2 hours without magnetic actuation, followed by 2 hours of flow and magnetic actuation at a frequency of 1 Hz.

The magnetic actuation frequency is produced by the linear actuator providing and removing the magnet beneath the microfluidic devices. A completed cycle consisted of the magnet starting from home position away from the microfluidic device, extending to beneath the microfluidic device thus actuating its M-CP magnets, and then returning to home position, with the process being immediately repeated.

6.3 Experimental Results

Analysis of time-lapse movies from both sets of experiments demonstrates the feasibility of the actuator, both with and without fluid flow. The results indicate that the instrument is indeed compatible with bovine aortic endothelial cells (BAECs) and can be employed to provide simultaneous microfluidic channel confinement, cell stretch/compression, and microfluidic flow (Figure 98 and Figure 99). Furthermore, as
indicated by the circled cells in each photograph, it is possible to track individual cell migration over time (Figure 99) using the instrument and microscope set-up shown in Figure 97.

![Figure 97: Instrument and microscope set-up](image)

Figure 98: Stills from time lapse movie showing cell movement over 2 hours for no-stretch (a and b) and stretch (c and d) under enclosed microfluidic channel and flow (40 µl/min) conditions. Channel depth is approximately 60 µm. Individual cells (circled) can be monitored over time. This image is reprinted with permission from [125], © 2014 IEEE.

![Figure 98: Stills from time lapse movie](image)

Figure 99: Stills from time lapse movie showing cell movement for stretch (140-180 minutes) and no-stretch (180-220 minutes) under open channel, no flow conditions. Individual cells (circled) can be monitored over time. This image is reprinted with permission from [125], © 2014 IEEE.
6.4 Summary and Conclusion

In this chapter, a hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator is developed to achieve large and bi-directional surface actuation for microfluidic devices and systems. It is demonstrated that the hybrid actuator can achieve increased actuation range and flexibility while providing optical transparency for easier integration and optical monitoring and detection of biological cells grown inside the microfluidic system. To further characterize the performance of the hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator, a cell stimulation platform is developed and experiments are performed to show that the fabricated devices are compatible with endothelial cells and could be used to provide mechanical stimulation potentially simultaneously with other stimuli, such as microfluidic channel confinement and/or fluid flow shear stress. It is successfully demonstrated that these platforms are capable of creating simultaneous stretch/compression forces and fluid flow, while providing the possibility of contentiously monitoring of the cells alignment and migration. This application gives an example of the wide variety of applications in microfluidics that can benefit from magnetic actuation in general, and actuators based on the M-CP investigated in this thesis specifically.

6.5 Related Publications

The results of this work have been presented at the following peer reviewed conferences:


Chapter 7 - Summary of Thesis Contributions

This thesis presents novel magnetic composite polymer actuators for microfluidics systems, offering significant improvement in performance and ease of integration over existing polymer magnetic actuators.

The contributions to the microfluidic instrumentation field can be broken down into the following:

- Detailed characterization of the physical and functional properties of a novel magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) material suitable for microfluidic actuators, as well as development of guidelines for the use of the material in the development of magnetically-actuated polymer microfluidic systems.
- Design, fabrication, and characterization of novel magnetic microfluidic actuators employing the new M-CP material, demonstrating the robustness and versatility of the polymer material for key actuator types, the ease of integration of M-CP actuators with existing polymer processes, and demonstration of consistently improved performance over existing actuator designs and techniques.
- Development of new fabrication processes specific for the new M-CP material, focusing on straightforward techniques that are low-cost, scalable for volume production, and that allow for fabrication of microfluidic actuators and other structures not achievable with pre-existing processes.

These contributions are achieved by meeting the following objectives:

- Material development
- Technology development
- Application outcome: Development and performance characterization of rare-earth all-polymer actuators
  - Artificial cilia actuator as a microfluidic cilia mixer
  - Flap-based actuator as a microfluidic valve
  - Hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuator as a microfluidic actuator for applying extracellular stimulation on biological cell monolayer

Detailed descriptions of how these specific objectives are met are presented in Sections 3-6.

The main results of this thesis have been published in three journal papers and four conference papers that are directly related to the development of M-CP actuators described in this thesis. The initial results of work on the development of ultra-high
aspect-ratio artificial cilia actuators and the microfluidic mixers based on the cilia actuators were presented at SPIE MOEMS-MEMS and awarded “The Best Student Paper Award in the MOEMS-MEMS symposium” at SPIE MOEMS-MEMS, International Society for Optics and Photonics, 2014. This award covered eleven 2014 SPIE Photonics West conferences, with each conference supplying up to two student paper entries. Expanded results of this work were published in the Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering (JMM); this paper was selected as a JMM highlight for the year 2014. The initial work on the development of flap-based M-CP actuators was presented at the MicroTAS 2014 conference. It was selected for oral presentation, with less than 10% of the submitted papers accepted for oral presentation. A journal paper containing additional work on the M-CP microfluidic valves based on the flap actuators was recently published in the Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering in 2016. A complete list of academic publications achieved during the period of the thesis work is presented in Section 7.4, with academic publications directly related to the material presented in the thesis indicated by an asterisk, “*”.

7.1 Material Development

To address limitations in the employment of rare-earth magnetic materials in the development of highly effective magnetic actuators, the Micro-Instrumentation Laboratory (µIL) at Simon Fraser University has developed effective and highly magnetic composite polymers (M-CP), using neodymium-based rare-earth permanently magnetic powders [85,175–177]. Throughout the research work presented in this thesis, this novel material is used for the first time for development of several key types of all-polymer magnetic actuators. To effectively use this new material, the magnetic and mechanical properties of this new material are characterized in detail. The results of these characterizations are used for design and development of several key magnetic microfluidic actuators. As part of the long term goals of the µROAMS project (see Section 1.1), these results will be used for further optimization of the next generation of magnetically actuated components and other magnetic polymer devices.
In previously reported work on magnetically actuated components for micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) and microfluidics, relatively weak soft-magnetic iron-based materials are typically used. These magnetic materials suffer from several key limitations, including limitation to uni-directional actuation (attraction but not repulsion), requirements of relatively large magnetic fields for actuation, and the creation of relatively small displacements. Permanently magnetized hard-magnetic materials can be used to alleviate these limitations. Neodymium rare-earth magnetic materials in particular are capable of much higher magnetic forces. Existing designs that use rare-earth magnets typically involve discrete magnets (i.e., small separately-fabricated magnets that must be embedded or attached to the moving structure), which are difficult to integrate into systems in the micro-scale and are prone to physical failure. Magnetic powders can be combined with structural polymer materials to form composite polymers. However, the majority of previously published works utilizing magnetic composite polymers are based on combining iron-based soft-magnetic nano- or micro-particles. The use of hard-magnetic rare-earth micro- and nano-particles in magnetic composite polymers is far less reported by previous researchers. This is mainly due to the fact that soft-magnetic particles are readily available in a wide range of compositions and sizes, are easy to process into magnetic composite polymers, and are low cost [12].

The rare-earth hard-magnetic powder, \((\text{Nd}_{0.7}\text{Ce}_{0.3})_{10.5}\text{Fe}_{83.9}\text{B}_{5.6}\), used in the newly developed magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) employed throughout this thesis results in stronger magnets with higher magnetic saturation compared to the more common Fe-based soft-magnetic materials, with four times the magnetic remanence of isotropic ferrite materials and two times the remanence of anisotropic ferrite powders. Structures made with this M-CP can be actuated in much smaller magnetic fields and achieve much higher actuator displacement than the same structures made with soft-magnetic powder. The capability of bi-directional actuation is another key advantage of the hard-magnetic M-CP used in this thesis, as compared to the soft-magnetic materials previously used in microfluidic actuators. Furthermore, the composite polymer in this work overcomes the difficulties in fabrication, assembly, and weak adhesion associated with other methods of integrating hard magnetic materials into polymer systems, resulting in creation of highly flexible actuators with strong actuation force.
7.2 Technology Development

In this research work, several novel fabrication and integration techniques are developed in order facilitate effective use of the newly developed M-CP material in development of new magnetic actuators for microfluidic applications. These fabrication and integration techniques are relatively low cost, straightforward, and compatible with existing polymer fabrication techniques used for other structures on the device. These techniques allow for the creation of arrays of vastly different types of key M-CP actuators on the same polymer-based microfluidic platform.

Various methods have been previously reported for micro-machining magnetic materials for use in MEMS and microfluidics but these attempts face difficulties in integration of magnetic materials into the fabrication of the device [12]. To allow for more straightforward integration of hard-magnetic material into polymer-based microfluidic actuators and development of higher performing actuators, the contribution of this thesis includes development of new fabrication techniques for actuators based on our magnetic composite polymer using neodymium-based hard-magnetic powder:

- A novel fabrication technique for creation of ultra-high aspect-ratio, highly flexible M-CP artificial cilia with controlled positioning by using poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) as a sacrificial mold. The use of PEG as a sacrificial mold for micro-fabrication purposes in micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) and microfluidics has not been previously reported in any literature, and is developed and presented as part of this research work for the first time to the best of the author’s knowledge.

- Screen printing of magnetic composite polymers using shadow masks for patterning of a thin layer of M-CP on the surface of un-doped PDMS to realize a wide variety of structures and key actuator components.

- A modified soft lithography technique for the creation of hybrid M-CP/PDMS systems. This fabrication technique is adapted for M-CP from a similar technique introduced by Khosla et al. [24] which was used for creating conductive composite polymers structures on PDMS substrates.

The development of these new fabrication techniques allows the use of the newly developed M-CP material for easy development of a wide variety of actuator and other structures. These fabrication techniques are relative straightforward and low cost, and are compatible with each other and with other existing polymer fabrication techniques and
materials. This allows for easy integration with other structures on a polymer microfluidic system, and is well suited for volume production.

7.3 Application Outcome: Development and Performance Characterization of Key Rare-earth All-Polymer Actuators

Development of effective polymeric magnetic actuators that employ hard-permanently-magnetic materials, and that can be more easily integrated with other polymeric components in a microfluidic system, are essential to harness the full benefits of hard-magnetic materials for microfluidic applications. The final key contribution of this thesis is the development of key magnetic actuators using the new M-CP material and fabrication techniques developed in this work: cilia actuators, flap-based actuators, and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators for surface deflection. These actuator types (cilia, flap, and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators) appear commonly in microfluidic systems, as they can be employed for many different applications. However, by using the results of the materials and technological development outcomes discussed in the previous two sections, significantly improved actuators of each of these key types are developed as part of the thesis, and are shown to have improved performance in various microfluidic devices: a cilia mixer, a flap-based valve, and a microfluidic actuator for applying extracellular stimulation on biological cell monolayer. The performance of each of these microfluidic devices is compared with the performance of pre-existing devices to demonstrate the great enhancements that these newly developed devices can offer to the field of MEMS and microfluidics. All three types of magnetic actuators employ materials, fabrication techniques, and actuation mechanisms which are compatible with each other and with previously existing polymer microfluidic structures, reducing the fabrication and integration complexity for large arrays of microfluidic components in complex microfluidic systems.
7.4 List of Publications

Publications directly related to the thesis proposal are identified with an asterisk, “*”.


Chapter 8 - Conclusion and Future Work

This thesis presents new all-polymer magnetic actuators employing neodymium-based rare-earth permanently magnetic composite polymer (M-CP) for integration into complex, all-polymer microfluidic systems offering significant improvement in performance and ease of integration over existing polymer magnetic actuators. The improved performance and integration of the all-polymer magnetic actuators presented in this thesis are achieved by two means: use of a new M-CP and use of newly developed fabrication techniques. Mechanical and magnetic characterization of the newly developed M-CP material is presented with a view toward employing the M-CP material for improved actuation techniques and structural compatibility with other devices. Three new fabrication techniques are developed that rely on the unique properties and polymer compatibility of the developed material, and are in turn employed for the design and fabrication of various types of new M-CP actuators based on commonly employed actuator types. All fabrication processes are designed to be highly compatible with other commonly used microfluidic materials and fabrication techniques, and include: a modified soft lithography technique for the creation of hybrid M-CP/PDMS systems; a screen printing technique using shadow masks for the creation of thin-layer-M-CP/PDMS hybrid systems; and a novel fabrication technique for creation of bio-inspired, ultra-high aspect-ratio, highly flexible M-CP artificial cilia using poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) as a sacrificial mold. Results of the material characterizations and the newly developed, compatible fabrication techniques are used to develop three types of magnetic actuators based on three key actuator types common in microfluidics: ultra-high aspect-ratio artificial cilia actuators; flap-based magnetic actuators; and hybrid M-CP/PDMS actuators for large and bi-directional surface deflection. The efficiency and performance of each of the newly developed microfluidic magnetic actuators are investigated in the context of commonly used and highly in demand microfluidic applications: a microfluidic mixer, a microfluidic valve, and a microfluidic platform for cell stimulations. Each of these devices shows high performance in their respective fields when compared to existing devices for these applications.
The M-CP cilia mixer presented in this thesis is one of the highest performing cilia-based microfluidic actuators designs reported in the literature [19,84,106,128]. It can be fabricated in extreme aspect-ratios, providing far more design flexibility than previously available artificial cilia-based actuators. The high flexibility of the cilia structures and highly magnetic rare-earth composite polymer material provide greatly enhanced actuation and mixing performance for the cilia-based mixer developed in this thesis. As a result of both the high magnetic forces and flexibility of the magnetic composite polymer, the cilia actuator presented in this thesis can be effectively actuated using very small magnetic field of 7 mT provided by a miniature electromagnet. The highly flexible M-CP material allows the use of a physically smaller electromagnet for actuation, easing integration of the magnet into the design. Furthermore, using a less powerful electromagnet reduces the cross-talk between actuators, allowing for the cilia array density to be increased.

A similar degree of improvement is seen for the flap-based actuators, where a magnetic field of 80 mT is used for flap-based valve actuation, as compared to much larger magnetic fields used for the actuation of other polymeric membrane- or flap-type actuators in the literature [140,156]. The all-polymeric magnetic flap actuator, with a two level step shaped design, provides much higher actuation force for a given magnetic field than previous designs, while the use of permanently magnetized material allows for bi-directional actuation, improves actuation speed, and eliminates some actuation failures. The use of this flap-based actuator in the microfluidic valve designed in this work provides a highly effective valve structure that shows high performance as both on/off valve and flow switching element for continuous flow. Additionally, the novel use of magnetic composite polymer in the valve seat, in addition to the valve flap, provides a latching mechanism and enhances valve performance. This is achieved using materials and production processes that are compatible with the other elements of the µROAMS platform, as well as other polymeric microfluidic devices.

Large force, large stroke hybrid M-CP/PDMS hybrid actuators for surface deflection are capable of providing bi-directional actuation, which allow development of a device that can apply both tensile and compressive forces on biological cells. Actuation of the surface can be applied cyclically, with the transparent PDMS material allowing for
continuous optical monitoring of the cell monolayer’s response to extracellular stimulations. The M-CP polymer material is shown to be bio-compatible with monolayers of endothelial cells grown in microfluidic channels, under both flow and no-flow conditions.

Although the preliminary results have already been presented for the work on valve actuation mechanism and the cell stimulation platform, work is continuing in further characterization of these actuators. Future work will include replacement of the miniature linear motor with an electromagnet-based mechanism, where each actuator in an array is under separate electromagnetic control that will significantly reduce the area and power footprint of the actuation mechanism and will be more suitable for integration of a large number of these actuators.

For the cilia mixer, all of the presented characterization test results are obtained using two-dimensional microscopy images due to the limitation of available imaging equipment. As a result, the efficiency of mixing in vertical direction is not fully analyzed. This aspect of the mixing performance will be explored in future work.

Further theoretical studies and characterization of microfluidic structures using multiphysics simulation software tools such as COMSOL and ANSYS may result in better optimization of the next generation of M-CP actuators, as will applying detailed models for the behavior of particles and fluids at the microfluidic level. For example, the Scallop theorem [178] for the motion of objects in fluids with low Reynolds number may help with the design and development of new types of actuators under laminar fluid flows.

Also, collaborative work with other researchers in µIL and MIL will be continued on the integration of these actuators with other sensor and actuator components currently under development at Microinstrumentation Laboratory towards the long term goal of achieving a fully functional generic microfluidic platform compatible with many application areas.

In summary, this thesis provides a foundation for future development of various types of all-polymer magnetic actuators using M-CP that can be integrated with complex polymer microfluidic systems and devices.
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